The following comments are taken from the Public Comment Portal, and are categorized by comment type as seen below.

Comment Type	Definition
Substantive	This type of comment provides a proposed alternative or change in language.
Commentary Mechanical Edits	This type of comment provides positive or negative opinions on the regulation, and proposed no alternative or change in language. This type of comment provides grammar or sentence structure edits.
Other	This type of comment is unique from the other categories.

Bucket 1

		Family	Intent and	Professional	
Comment Type	Child Outcomes	Engagement	Authority	Development	Total Count
Substantive	3	2	2	8	15
Commentary	9	5	7	64	85
Mechanical Edits	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	2	1	3	7
Total	13	9	10	75	107

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title		Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	170-300-0065					
	School readiness					
	and family			There should also be emphasis placed on each child's unique developmental timeline and		
Child	engagement			inform parents that the importance lies with the quality of each developmental stage,		
1 Outcomes	activities	No		not how fast the child gets to it.	Agree	Commentary
	170-300-0065			This proposed WAC doesn't target an age group. School readiness information would not		
	School readiness			be relevant to parents of infants and toddlers. Enough communication winds up in the		
	and family			garbage that IS directly relevant to an individual child. It seems that passing out		
Child	engagement			Kindergarten readiness information to Pre-K children would be the only group this WAC		
2 Outcomes	activities	No		pertains to.	Disagree	Substantive
	170-300-0065					
	School readiness					
	and family					
Child	engagement			The link to the page with the document is broken. It goes to a page saying "Page not		
3 Outcomes	activities	No		found". Please correct.	Neutral	Other
Child 4 Outcomes	170-300-0065 School readiness and family engagement activities	No		170-300-0065, item (2) (b) on School readiness and family engagement activities. This WAC requires that providers supply families with local school district activities. A provider that fails to provide this to families four times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE and technical assistance. This WAC has no bearing on the safety and well-being of any child in their care. This is relevant to local school districts and families should be responsible for seeking this information. Providers should never be penalized for things that are provided to parents as a courtesy "this should not be required or regulated.	Disagree	Commentary
	170-300-0055 Developmental			An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system "weight 4 is attached to WAC 170-300-0055, items (1) and (2) on Developmental screening, communication to parents or guardians. This WAC requires that providers communicate with families the importance of developmental screenings, document such communications, and provide information about agencies that provide screenings. A provider that fails to provide this to families four times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE and technical assistance. This WAC has no bearing on the safety and well-being of any child in their care. Providers		
	screening,			should never be penalized for things that are provided to parents as a courtesy "this		
	communication to	1		should not be required or regulated. This is due to the State deciding to align the		
Child	parents or			WAC's with State run ECEAP centers, who have the State funding for extra time and		
5 Outcomes	guardians	No		staffing to provide additional services.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title		Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	170-300-0055					
	Developmental					
	screening,					
	communication to			While we see the value of developmental screening as a quality tool, we do not see this		
Child	parents or			as a health and safety issue that should be covered by the WAC. We feel it should be		
6 Outcomes	guardians	No		removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	170-300-0065					
	School readiness					
	and family			While we see the value of kindergarten transition information as a quality tool, we do not		
Child	engagement			see this as a health and safety issue that should be covered by the WAC. We feel it		
7 Outcomes	activities	No		should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	170-300-0055					
	Developmental					
	screening,					
	communication to					
Child	parents or			Just as with the Strengthening Families Assessment, I do screeners due to EA, but I do not		
8 Outcomes	guardians	No		feel like it should be required but optional.	Disagree	Commentary
	170-300-0055					
	Developmental					
	screening,					
	communication to					
Child	parents or	A1.		I do not agree that a provider should have to keep documentation of this communication	Б.	
9 Outcomes	guardians	No		to parents in facility records. It just creates more busy work for the provider and licensor.	Disagree	Commentary
				DISAGREE - 170-300-0065 Stop taking the responsibility away from the parent and		
				putting it on the provider!! We (Provider) should have some material available for the		
	170-300-0065			parents if they ask. This is why parents have become lackadaisical at best in taking care of their children because we are so content on putting their responsibility onto others. If a		
	School readiness			parent really cares about the learning patterns of their children they will ask. Our job is to		
				help along the way! Definition of Child Care the action or skill of looking after children.		
	and family			, - ,		
10 Outcomes	engagement activities	No		the care of children by a day-care center, babysitter, or other provider while parents are	Disagroe	Commontant
To Outcomes	170-300-0065	INU		working.	Disagree	Commentary
	School readiness					
	Juliou Leadilless					
	and family					
Child	and family engagement					

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
‡	Category Title	e SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
		170-300-0055					
		Developmental					
		screening,					
		communication to			document??? more documenting??? You want to bury us in paperwork and care for		
	Child	parents or			EVERY NEED of the PARENTS and their CHILDRENrun a business and raise our own		
12	Outcomes	guardians	No		families??? You are running us into the ground and out of business.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0065					
		School readiness					
		and family			Why are you trying to take away the parent's responsibilities? This is not our job, hunting		
	Child	engagement			down all the school information take this out. We are busy providing child care, let		
13	Outcomes	activities	No		the parent collect the school information	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	WeightedV		Concur	
(CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	alue	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
		170-300-0080					
	Family	Family support self-			Other than more paperwork, what is the purpose of a self evaluation? Is it to be submitted to DEL?		
1	Engagement	assessment	No		Also, what is the requirement for already licensed providers?	Neutral	Commentary
		170-300-0085					
ļ!	Family	Family partnerships			The link to see the page with the document is broken. It goes to a page that says, "Page not found".		
2	Engagement	and communication	No		Please correct.	Neutral	Other
		170-300-0085					
I	Family	Family partnerships					
3 1	Engagement	and communication	No		Why is this page unable to be viewed? Please make available.	Neutral	Other
		170-300-0080		- 			
ļ	Family	Family support self-			While we see the value of the Strengthening Families Self Assessment as a quality tool, we do not see		
4 1	Engagement	assessment	No		this as a health and safety issue that should be covered by the WAC. We feel it should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0085					
ı	Family	Family partnerships			While we see the value of family partnership and engagement as a quality tool, we do not see this as a		
5 1	Engagement	and communication	No		health and safety issue that should be covered by the WAC. We feel it should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Family Engagement	170-300-0080 Family support self- assessment	No		extremely important but this is over the top. This is an ECEAP requirement and they he funds to support this where a privately own center does not. Early Achievers covers this and centers that are participating do this. The goal, I'm assuming is to help families of low income and high risk to become an important part of their child's learning. Any center that takes low-income families has to be a part of Early Achievers. Why do we need to require every center to do this? 170-300-0080 Family support self-assessment I am confused as to the point of requiring ever center to complete the survey. What are we hoping to accomplish by having everyone complete the survey? The survey just asks how important you think each item is, it does not mean that you are implementing it in	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0080			your center. It is busy paperwork to have a center just fill it out. Early Achievers has an action plan		
ļ	Family	Family support self-			portion to help centers work towards achieving some of the different parts of the survey. This WAC is		
7 1	Engagement	assessment	No		completely pointless.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0080		- 			
ļ	Family	Family support self-					
8 1	Engagement	assessment	No		I have done this for Early Achievers. I feel like it is unnecessary, however, to be required.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0080			I do not feel that completing this assessment will accomplish anything other than creating more		-
	Family	Family support self-			busywork for the provider, something else for the licensor to check, and will discourage current and		
1.7			No		potential providers.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted	l	Concur	
ł	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					Having WACs regarding unlicensed programs is great, but why isn't there a single person dedicated to look		
					into unlicensed care? Unlicensed care has gotten out of control with the ease of advertising on social media		
					and on craigslist. The biggest barrier to REPORTING an unlicensed program is that it required interaction with		
					CPS. Once upon a time, a provider could call up a licensor and report someone they've learned about		
		170-300-0020			providing unlicensed care. Also, if a family mentions someone that's providing unlicensed are, even if they		
	Intent and	Unlicensed			WANT to report it, convincing them to call CPS is nearly impossible. Parents do not want any interaction with		
1	Authority	programs	No		CPS. There ought to be a hotline for reporting unlicensed care.	Neutral	Commentary
					According to this WAC, the state legislature directed DEL to create a single set of licensing rules for centers		
					and family homes. I was under the impression that the legislature had required an alignment with ECEAP, but		
		170-300-0001			nothing in this state that. So who's idea was it to align ECEAP to child care WAC's? It places a huge burden on		
	Intent and	Intent and			child care providers - time, paperwork, financial, etc. ECEAP has state funding for these standards, child care		
2	Authority	authority	No		providers do not.	Disagree	Commentary
					We put the health and safety as our paramount duty. We support DEL's periodic review, evaluation, and		
		170-300-0001			updates to licensing standards and/or rules or policies that address the health and safety of our children.		
	Intent and	Intent and			However, we believe measures of quality should not be standardized and centers should be free to choose		
3	Authority	authority	Yes	NA	those quality measures that meet the unique needs of their children and families.	Disagree	Commentary

	CatagoraTitle	SubSoctions	Weighted	Weighted		Concur	Commont Time
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
4	Intent and Authority	170-300-0005 Definitions	No		The current Family home WAS is fine there are no issues with it. Have infants sleep in the main area when sleeping. Otherwise for children twelve months and up. 170-296A-5750 Agency filings affecting this section WAC 170-296A-5750 Supervision. Supervising children. (1) The licensee must provide required staffing levels, staff-to-child ratios and supervision for the number of children in attendance. (2) The licensee or primary staff person must be aware of what the children are doing at all times and be available and able to promptly assist or redirect activities when necessary. If unable to see the children, the licensee or primary staff person must frequently go to the area where the children are located to check on them. For the purposes in this section frequently is defined as on many occasions with little time between them. (3) The licensee must consider the following when deciding how closely to supervise the children: (a) Ages of the children; (b) Individual differences and abilities; (c) Layout of the indoor and outdoor licensed space and play area; (d) The risk associated with the activities children are engaged in; and (e) Any nearby hazards including those in the licensed or unlicensed space. (4) A baby monitor or video monitor must not be used in place of direct supervision of the children. Additional requirements when the children are indoors. (5) The licensee or primary staff person must be within sight or hearing range when children are indoors and be available and able to respond if the need arises for the safety of the children. (6) When children are present on more than one level (floor) of the home, the licensee or primary staff person must be supervising the children on each level and maintain required staff-to-child ratios. Each level of the home used by the children must be licensed space. Additional requirements when children are outdoors. (7) The licensee or primary staff person must be within sight and hearing range when children are outdoors space and be available and able to respond if		Commentary
5	Intent and Authority	170-300-0001 Intent and authority	No		I want to comment on the one comment on 6/15/2017. In aligning the WAC they could have had a WAC with the intent and then clearly defined how each unique type of childcare could meet it, not try to make it only be one way. It appears it typically tries to force homes with environments that closely resemble the child's culture to mimic center care. Center care is done in a facility often with a revolving staff. The only thing that is constant for children who attend centers is the building. In a home they have consistent care where the child is cared for by one or two individuals who have a good understanding of the actual child and can anticipate their needs and provide feedback to parents about their development. When people say they agree and add nothing else it makes me wonder do they agree for all types of care ECEAP, Center, Home and School- Age. I will be curious to see what WAC's Outdoor Pre- Schools will be required to follow?	, Neutral	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
		170-300-0015					
	Intent and	Licensee					
6	Authority	absence	No		#1 and #2 are blanket statements and needs to be removed#3 Is what need to be #1.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0016					
		Inactive status -	-				
		voluntary and					
	Intent and	temporary					
7	Authority	closure	No		bad gatewayfix!!!!!	Neutral	Other
					"Active supervision" or "actively supervise" need to revised so a family home provider can use the bathroom		
					when needed. There is NO WAY anyone can comply with such an item. You have too many items listed for us		
	Intent and	170-300-0005			to doIf you want us to do this then all the documentation, paperwork, cleaning, etc need to go away and		
8	Authority	Definitions	No		we all will need colostomy bags and cathetersthen we may be able to comply.	Disagree	Commentary
					I have been licensed for many years and try my best to comply with all the rules and regulations! Only to be		
					out numbered by the unlicensed childcare's that are everywhere without consequences for running them		
		170-300-0020			because of not enough staff to do anything about them?? Seems to me you spend plenty of time on our rules		
	Intent and	Unlicensed			and regulations, why not on theirs!!! I'm getting tired of them even if reported not having anything		
9	Authority	programs	No		happening!! I think you need to get busy on the safety of children in unlicensed care!! Thank you, Lorraine	Disagree	Commentary
	Intent and	170-300-0005			Please change the definition of infant and toddler to match the DEL Early Learning and Development		

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				Described to the state of the second of the		
Duefeesienel				Requiring teachers to have an ECE degree is prohibitive to a majority of our staff. Many cannot afford to live		
Professional	470 000 0400			in Seattle. Asking them to attend school in addition is not reasonable. Can this be funded by the State? Is		
Development,	170-300-0100			there a way to look at previous training in MERIT? for example calculate STARS hours training and years of		
Training and	General staff			experience and see if that equates to a certain amount of clock hours credit? The intent of having highly		
1 Requirements	qualifications	No		trained and qualified teachers is good - but the reality of implementing this seems absurd and unrealistic.	Disagree	Commentary
				I do not agree with this! I think that there should always be a few years to allow a lead to get their degree.		
				While grandfathering current leads in upon the passing of this is a good idea, I do not agree with any new		
Professional				hire needing to have their degree. Some centers require everyone to have lead qualifications because they		
Development,	170-300-0100			are all left alone with the care of children so that means every person that is hired must have a degree upon		
Training and	General staff			hire. I think that this will create a lack of teachers and close alot of doors. People will not want to work in the		
2 Requirements	qualifications	No		field requiring a degree upon hire and not get the appropriate compensation.	Disagree	Commentary
Professional						
Development,	170-300-0100			I recognize the intent of this as being to bring higher quality care through staff who have more education and		
Training and	General staff			training. However, if an ECE initial or state certificate is required, it is going to make it even harder to hire.		
3 Requirements	qualifications	No		Most child care centers can't afford to pay what people with certificates and degrees are worth.	Neutral	Commentary
				Yes, I agree with the requirements for lead teachers, assistant teachers, and instructional assistance. If we		
Professional				want Early Childhood education to be considered a profession, we need to have qualified employees with the		
Development,	170-300-0100			required credentials and certifications. For those who do not have the required certification/degree an		
Training and	General staff			incentive program/funding would be good. It may be the needed boost needed for them to complete the		
4 Requirements	qualifications	No		needed requirements.	Agree	Commentary
- 1	1			170-300-0100 Requiring of Certificate or Degrees. While I think it is important for the field of ECE to be	0	,
Professional				recognized as a profession, I think it is not wise to require all new hires (Leads and assistants) to have a		
Development,	170-300-0100			certificate or degree. Sadly, wages for ECE providers do not make getting a degree affordable. It is not		
Training and	General staff			realistic or wise to require degrees for incoming workers. I support a pathway to certification. New hires		
5 Requirements	qualifications	No		should have the same amount of time as 'currently working in the field' teachers and staff.	Disagree	Commentary
3 requirements	quamications	110		should have the same amount of time as carrently working in the field teachers and stain.	Disabice	Commentary
				This proposed WAC would require that newly hired staff (for even assistant positions) already possess the		
				ECE initial certificate PRIOR to their first day of work. This would discourage applicants that are interested in		
				working in child care for the first time. These newly hired staff would also be required to complete the		
				department created (?) pre-service trainings, that would likely take several hours to complete. Is there no		
Professional				value for on-the-job training anymore? Some of our best staff have had little to no prior child care education		
Development,	170-300-0100			or experience, but have now become extremely competent. This proposed WAC places zero value on prior		
The second secon						
Training and	General staff	NI -		experience working in child care. Yes education is important, but experienced providers are often vastly more		
6 Requirements	qualifications	No		successful than highly educated individuals that are new to the field of child care.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		170-300-0100 (3)(a)(ii)Have an ECE State Certificate or equivalent, as approved and verified in the electronic workforce registry by the department This is a ridiculously small amount of education for a Director. The Director of a program should have an Associate's degree as a minimum. I can support the requirement of having a Director OR Assistant Director with that level of education, but there should be a level of education that separates the Lead Teaching Staff and the Directing staff. Previously it's been required that the Director or Assistant Director have an AA or higher but the proposed rules say that the bar is being lowered. I believe that is the wrong direction. If someone has an issue with having an AA as a minimum for education in this field, I don't believe they should be allowed to be in leadership at a center, no matter the type.	Disagree	Substantive
	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		170-300-0100 (6)(a)(vi) Assistant Teacher who have an ECE Initial Certificate or high school diploma equivalent may occasionally work alone with children for short periods of time (generally 60 minutes or less). I disagree with the requirement. If an Assistant Teacher does not have the Initial Certificate, they will be work toward getting it, per the requirements stated earlier in this particular section. Any teacher who has the Initial Certificate or higher, should be allowed to work alone with the children. Especially if it's the group of children they work with every single day. By requiring that only Lead Teachers are able to work alone with children, you are requiring that centers only hire Lead Teacher qualified employees. This is impossible to do with salaries. There does not need to be more than one Lead Teacher in each classroom. What happens when the Lead Teacher is out sick and there are no other sub staff and ratios allow for the Assistant Teacher, who works in that same classroom every day, to work alone with the children? Are we to let the parents know that since their child's teacher is sick there will be no class today? This is unreasonable and does not seem very effective. This will restrict how many teachers a center may hire and will limit the amount of children to less than the licensed capacity, simply to compensate for salaries of Lead Teachers. Teachers who are required to have an Initial Certificate or higher, should be allowed to work alone with children, with a cleared PBC and if they are over 18 years of age.	Disagree	Substantive
	Duefessional				170-300-0100 (7)Instructional Aides and (8)Aides. I see no reason for the distinction between the two. The qualifications are the same for each. No one under 18 years old can be alone with children regardless of the		
	Professional	170 200 0400			education level, so why would I waste pay a salary for an aide who cannot be counted in the staff-to-child		
	Development,	170-300-0100			ratio? Seems a ridiculous waste of time and money. Instructional Aides should be counted in the staff-to-		
	Training and	General staff			child ratio if they are 18 years old. If they have an Initial Certificate or higher, they should be allowed to work	5.	6.1
9	Requirements	qualifications	No		alone with children.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
10	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		170-300-0100 (9)(a)(i) Be at least 14 years oldthis number should be raised to 16 years of age. In my schoolage program, I have children who are 12 years of age, I will not allow a 14 year old to volunteer in that same classroom. I need to depend on the WACs to back up my decision if I need to tell a person they cannot volunteer. 14 years of age is too young to volunteer in a childcare setting. If Assistant Teachers cannot be alone with children, even with as much education as they're required to have as a minimum, then a 14 year old teen should not be allowed to volunteer in the same program.	Disagree	Substantive
11	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		I believe FH assistants situation is not realistic. I think 14 year old assistants SHOULD count in ratio. I use my daughter from time to time for less than an hour to fill a spot of my assistant so she can leave so I don't have to pay her time and a half since a parent is running late. Family home providers occasionally use 14/15 year olds to help keep costs down. Allowing these young people to count also aids in "Consistent care" you are requiring.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category	yTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					170-295-1030-Who can be a lead teacher in a child care center 170-295-1040-Who can be an assistant in a		
					child care center Lead Teacher and Assistant must have college ECE (Early Childhood Education) credentials.		
					We have preschool teachers that have dedicated 8 years to our program and 10 years plus in the ECE field.		
					With the new "proposed WAC Alignment Standards" their commitment, knowledge, and experience is worth		
					nothing. They will be required as Lead Teacher with years of experience and training to take college courses		
					and obtain 42 Early Childhood Education credits. One aspect of early childhood education that is consistently		
					difficult is the rate of turnover among staff. The teacher turnover rate in Early Childhood Education is at		
					about 30%. Caregiver stability promotes our students' socio-emotional development, fostering the growth of		
					secure attachment while high staff turnover hinders optimal socio-emotional development. Since I have been		
					in the Early Childhood education field, I have witnessed many staff come and go. There are many reasons why they leave, including low pay, not enough benefits, and because it is a difficult field that is not made for		
					everyone. We must ALWAYS fulfill the appropriate child to staff radio and if a staff quits we only have a small		
					window of time to find a replacement. For some, even just to obtain the bare minimum of a TB Test,		
					Background check, CPR/First Aid before they start can be a process that sometimes take a month or more.		
					When a staff leaves, we must fill the position as soon as possible. If they were required to have college credit		
					before they start we would have to pay them more right from the beginning. Even though I feel all ECE staff		
					deserve more pay I also know it need to be balanced. I feel staff should get pay raises as they obtain more		
					education (If they choose) and as they stay in the field each year. Staff should work their way up to higher		
					wages by staying with your ECE program and if they themselves decided to pursue further education. We		
					have already felt the jab in our budget of all staff having higher salaries all at once with the new minimum		
					wage increase that is still rising higher than many programs can afford. For many of my staff this will be very		
					time constricting. Many are already working full time shifts and have families to care for. College should be		
					great option, not a requirement. Trainings, Best Practices Research and online courses with the Successful		
					Solutions training that we now utilize is sufficient for many. We as educators know no method is timeless.		
Profession		470 200 0400			We are constantly gaining new insight and awareness when new research or practices are studied. I		
Develop	•	170-300-0100			definitively feel the mandatory yearly 10 STARS training in addition to the initial 30-hour childcare training		
Training 12 Requirer		General staff qualifications	No		that is required is important. We should focus our funding towards conferences and on-line trainings that are engaging and centered on these new practices instead of college courses that are a onetime program. Many	Disagree	Commentary
12 Nequirer	Hents	quanneacions	NO		engaging and centered on these new practices instead or conege courses that are a offerine program. Many	Disagree	Commentary
Profession	onal						
Develop					New staff must receive orientation that includes CCDF - Child Care and Development Fund. WHY? As if there		
Training		170-300-0107 In			aren't enough things relevant to actually providing quality child care every day to deal with. Over-regulate		
13 Requirer		service training	No		much?	Disagree	Commentary
Profession		170 200 0144			Tabiah sharta in a good iday to form, and hard to mish shaff about the in an form, and the state of the 19		
Develop		170-300-0111			I think that it is a good idea to frequently check in with staff about their performance, and I am glad that it		
Training 14 Requirer		Supervision of staff	No		can be spontaneous. I think having to schedule a monthly meeting with each employee would be a waste of time and paperwork.	Agree	Commentary
14 Nequilei	1161112	Jan	INU		unic and paper work.	Agree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted	I	Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					How can DEL require staff members to provide immunization records? Prior to the now debunked study that linked vaccinations to autism, people immunized their children. Schools required it - some of us received		
	Professional				shots from the school nurse. My point is - many people working in child care received their vaccinations 20,		
	Development,				30, or 40 years ago. Accessing those records would be nearly impossible for many. It would be more practical		
	Training and	170-300-0115			to require immunization records for staff that were born after ? whatever year that study came out. Simply		
15	Requirements	Staff records	No		asking if a person is vaccinated would seem to be sufficient.	Disagree	Commentary
16	Professional Development, Training and	170-300-0115 Staff records	No		Proposed WAC 170-300-015, Staff records, item (3) pertains to records that have never been requested by DEL. Records of this nature are not kept on site at all centers, and some centers may choose to keep these confidential records (Social Security Number, Federal tax documents, etc) at an off-site location, like an accountants office. The federal tax records have nothing to do with child care and are only relevant to the financial side of the hydrogen which DEL has no hydrogen requiring or requesting access to	Disagrapa	Commonton
16	Requirements	Starr records	No		financial side of the business, which DEL has no business requiring or requesting access to.	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional				170-300-0100 (3)(a)(ii)Have an ECE State Certificate or equivalent, as approved and verified in the electronic workforce registry by the department This is a ridiculously small amount of education for a Director. The Director of a program should have an Associate's degree as a minimum. I can support the requirement of having a Director OR Assistant Director with that level of education, but there should be a level of education that separates the Lead Teaching Staff and the Directing staff. Previously it's been required that the Director		
		470 200 0400					
	Development,	170-300-0100			or Assistant Director have an AA or higher but the proposed rules say that the bar is being lowered. I believe		
47	Training and	General staff	NI.		that is the wrong direction. If someone has an issue with having an AA as a minimum for education in this	D'	6
1/	Requirements	qualifications	No		field, I don't believe they should be allowed to be in leadership at a center, no matter the type.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Cate	goryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					170-300-0100 (6)(a)(vi) Assistant Teacher who have an ECE Initial Certificate or high school diploma		
					equivalent may occasionally work alone with children for short periods of time (generally 60 minutes or less).		
					I disagree with the requirement. If an Assistant Teacher does not have the Initial Certificate, they will be work		
					toward getting it, per the requirements stated earlier in this particular section. Any teacher who has the		
					Initial Certificate or higher, should be allowed to work alone with the children. Especially if it's the group of		
					children they work with every single day. By requiring that only Lead Teachers are able to work alone with		
					children, you are requiring that centers only hire Lead Teacher qualified employees. This is impossible to do		
					with salaries. There does not need to be more than one Lead Teacher in each classroom. What happens		
					when the Lead Teacher is out sick and there are no other sub staff and ratios allow for the Assistant Teacher,		
					who works in that same classroom every day, to work alone with the children? Are we to let the parents		
					know that since their child's teacher is sick there will be no class today? This is unreasonable and does not		
Profe	essional				seem very effective. This will restrict how many teachers a center may hire and will limit the amount of		
Deve	elopment,	170-300-0100			children to less than the licensed capacity, simply to compensate for salaries of Lead Teachers. Teachers who		
	ning and	General staff			are required to have an Initial Certificate or higher, should be allowed to work alone with children, with a		
	uirements	qualifications	No		cleared PBC and if they are over 18 years of age.	Disagree	Commentary
		1			170-300-0100 (7)Instructional Aides and (8)Aides. I see no reason for the distinction between the two. The		,
					qualifications are the same for each. No one under 18 years old can be alone with children regardless of the		
Profe	essional				education level, so why would I waste pay a salary for an aide who cannot be counted in the staff-to-child		
Deve	elopment,	170-300-0100			ratio? Seems a ridiculous waste of time and money. Instructional Aides should be counted in the staff-to-		
Train	ning and	General staff			child ratio if they are 18 years old. If they have an Initial Certificate or higher, they should be allowed to work		
19 Requ	uirements	qualifications	No		alone with children.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0100 (9)(a)(i) Be at least 14 years oldthis number should be raised to 16 years of age. In my school-		
					age program, I have children who are 12 years of age, I will not allow a 14 year old to volunteer in that same		
Profe	essional				classroom. I need to depend on the WACs to back up my decision if I need to tell a person they cannot		
Deve	elopment,	170-300-0100			volunteer. 14 years of age is too young to volunteer in a childcare setting. If Assistant Teachers cannot be		
Train	ning and	General staff			alone with children, even with as much education as they're required to have as a minimum, then a 14 year		
20 Requ	uirements	qualifications	No		old teen should not be allowed to volunteer in the same program.	Disagree	Substantive
					I believe FH assistants situation is not realistic. I think 14 year old assistants SHOULD count in ratio. I use my		
Profe	essional				daughter from time to time for less than an hour to fill a spot of my assistant so she can leave so I don't have		
Deve	elopment,	170-300-0100			to pay her time and a half since a parent is running late. Family home providers occasionally use 14/15 year		
Train	ning and	General staff			olds to help keep costs down. Allowing these young people to count also aids in "Consistent care" you are		
21 Requ	uirements	qualifications	No		requiring.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		170-295-1030-Who can be a lead teacher in a child care center 170-295-1040-Who can be an assistant in a child care center Lead Teacher and Assistant must have college EC (Early Childhood Education) credentials. We have preschool teachers that have dedicated 8 years to our program and 10 years plus in the ECE field. With the new "propose WAC Alignment Standards" their committenet, knowledge, and experience is worth nothing. They will be required as Lead Foreward with years of experience and training to take college courses and obtain 42 Early Childhood Education redits. One aspect of early childhood education that is consistently difficult is the rate of turnover aran in Early Childhood Education is at about 30%. Caregiver stability promotes our students' sociol-onal development, fostering the growth o secure attachment while high staff turnover hinders optimal socio-emotional development. Since I have been in the Early Childhood education field, I have witnessed man staff crome and go. There are many reasons why they leave, including low pay, not enough benefits, and because it is a difficult that is not made for everyone. We must staff come and go. There are many reasons with yell low paw, not enough benefits, and because it is a difficult that is not made for everyone. We must staff come and go. There are many reasons with yell low pay, not enough benefits, and because it is a difficult that is not made for everyone. We must staff come and go. The staff action and it is a staff quits we only have a small window of time to find a replacement. For some, even just to obtain the bar minimum of a TB Test, Background check, CPR/First Aid before they start can be a process that sometimes take a month or more. When a staff leaves, we must fill the position as soon as possible. If they were required to have college credit before they start we would have to pay them more right from the beginning. Even though I feel all ECE staff deserve more pay I also know it have now the staff should work their way to the some and th	doctors of the control of the contro	Commentary
	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0106 Training requirements	No		An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system weight 7 is attached to WAC 170-300-0106, items (5) on Training Requirements. Apparently DEL will be providing training on 'Recognizing and Reporting Suspected Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation' and it must be completed by each employee BEFORE they actually begin working (which is a problem in itself for a variety of reasons). If an assistant or another staff member begins working (under the supervision of another qualified staff member) and has not completed that training ON DAY ONE, and this violation occurs ONE time in 36 months "the license could be SUSPENDED or put in a probationary status, there will be a hefty fine (\$250 per day), technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan!	Disagree	Commentary

#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		If the proposed standards were implemented today very few of our staff would meet the minimum education and experience requirements. Bringing our staff into compliance would cost approximately \$300,000, not including the on-going additional wages that would be expected from the higher level of experience and education. Changing this standard in no way increases the safety and health of our children and unnecessarily increases the cost to our parents. We believe the existing WAC provides sufficient qualifications and recommend leaving the existing rules in place.	Disagree	Commentary
	Requirements	qualifications	INO		quantications and recommend leaving the existing rules in place.	Disagree	Commentary
25	Professional Development, Training and Requirements Professional Development, Training and	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications 170-300-0106 Training	No		170-300-0100: My entire staff has informed me that they refuse to get a degree on a minimum wage job. I don't blame them. If this gets voted in, my entire staff will leave me and my business is being doomed to closure after 30+ years. This has kept me awake at night and I have shed many tears over this. The families that we serve are outraged that this is being asked of us and do not feel that our center will benefit from it. In fact it will hurt us because if our teachers get scholarships through Early Achievers (and that's a BIG if due to how much of this scholarship is eaten up by Head Start employees), we still have to schedule time for all of them to attend these courses many of which are in the middle of the day which will then affect consistency for our children (see WAC 170-300-0495). In the end, even if my staff members drudge through all of the time and money necessary to acquire this "State Certificate", our business cannot afford to pay them for what they will be worth when it is all said and done. Please, PLEASE do not do this to us. Expanding the training requirements to volunteers and aides, who would already be working under the supervision of trained employees, adds unnecessary time and expense to programs. Several of these training either do not exist or it is very difficult to find a qualified trainer to administer the class. Changing this standard in no way increases the safety and health of our children and unnecessarily increases the cost to our parents. We believe the existing WAC provides sufficient qualifications and recommend leaving the	Disagree	Commentary
26	Requirements	requirements	No		existing rules in place.	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0111 Supervision of staff	No		Requiring for lead teachers to now be present except for very small portions of the day puts a significant staffing burden on centers, especially those with extended hours to accommodate a variety of family scheduling needs. Some of the more impactful situations this WAC will effect include the opening hours, closing hours, lunch breaks, staff absences, field trips, transportation to and from school, etc. Requiring monthly feedback is a quality issue and should be left up to the centers to evaluate as needed. Given the spontaneity in the WAC, we feel this will be difficult to track.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
		170-300-0120					
		Providing for					
	Professional	personal,			We specifically have an issue with section 3 of this WAC that states staff must now follow the same		
	Development,	professional, and			exclusions as the children. Although we feel it is important for our staff to be comfortable and healthy at		
	Training and	health needs of			work, as professionals they should be able to determine for themselves when they are putting the health and		
28	Requirements	staff	No		safety of the children at risk.	Disagree	Commentary
					PLEASE DO NOT ACCEPT THIS PROPOSED CHANGE! IT WOULD CAUSE MY WONDERFUL CHILDCARE CENTER TO CLOSE AND WOULD HURT OUR LOCAL ECONOMY!!! I have had my children in daycare for the past 6 years and some of the best teachers in my daycare would not meet the requirements of this proposed change. Many are students at the local community school pursuing a degree or young professionals that do not have the funds to attend college since most are paid minimum wage. These teachers are fantastic care givers. The needs of my children at this age (0-6 years) are NOT dependent on the education of their teachers but rather their ability to care for children and meet their emotional needs (something a degree would never be able to		
	Professional				gauge). My strongest objection to this change is that my beloved daycare and most of the other childcare centers in Wenatchee, WA would be forced to shut down if this proposal is approved. Apple a Day Daycare is a wonderful facility with fantastic teachers. There is already a shortage of centers in the area and loosing any more would significantly hurt our local economy. Most childcare centers in the area are affordable for		
	Development,	170-300-0100			working parents in the area because they can employ younger professionals (some without a degree).		
	Training and	General staff			Without an affordable place to send our kids many parents would be forced to guit their jobs. PLEASE DO		
20	Requirements	gualifications	No		NOT ACCEPT THIS PROPOSED CHANGE TO STAFF QUALIFICATIONS.	Disagree	Commentary
	requirements	qualifications	110		While I appreciate a trained and educated staff, this requirement would place an undo burden on our	Disagice	Commentary
					daycares current staff and from my understanding cause them to close their facility. There is already a		
					shortage of facilities for full time working parents in our area and if our daycare closed it would cause major		
	Professional				issues for my husband and I to find quality care for our children and maintain our jobs which we both need to		
	Development,	170-300-0100			do in order to pay our bills. I urge you to please not include this in the new standards, or if it does remain to		
	Training and	General staff			include a provision where current employees are exempt or grandfathered in without the extra		
30	Requirements	qualifications	No		requirements. Thank you, Angela	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				TV and at VAIDO NDC Valing Name and the disc Detected do one or plating about a large in both		
				TV report KNDO NBC Yakima News report: Heading Potential day care regulation changes worrying in-home providers. Frank Ordway, Assistant Director of the Department of Early Learning Is quoted saying: "But		
				Ordway says that's not true. He says no position that didn't require one before won't need one in the future.		
				"There are no new education requirements," Ordway said. "People are reading the education requirements		
				in the draft and thinking that's new. There will be no changes to the education requirements." I'm confused		
Professional				The Deputy Director says no new education requirements. This Draft WAC appears to have significant		
Development,	170-300-0100			changes in education requirements. Has this Draft been written per the director and deputy directors		
Training and	General staff			directive and their goals of how that want licensed childcare that is reasonable regulated not overly		
31 Requirements	qualifications	No		prescriptive, keeping licensed childcare affordable and available in the state of Washington?	Disagree	Commentary
31 Requirements	qualifications	NO		prescriptive, keeping neerised emideare anordable and available in the state of vvasilington:	Disagree	Commentary
				170-300-0100 General staff qualifications. The new proposed WAC would hurt all of our ECE centers in WA.		
				We are a Christian organization that operates 20 centers as well as centers in ID, MT, and OR. These		
Professional				proposed guidelines would financially hinder our already low paid teachers. We believe in quality, and		
Development,	170-300-0100			education but requirements of this nature would mean that dedicated teachers would no longer qualify and		
Training and	General staff			would need to spend a significant amount of funds (they don't have) in order stay in this field. I disagree with		
32 Requirements	qualifications	No		these proposed changesthey won't work for us.	Disagree	Commentary
				WAC 470 200 0400 Decrease hazard accorded a significant This states that the animate in animate condition		
				WAC 170-300-0108 Program-based new staff orientation. This states that the orientation prior to working		
				with children. I wish this would be changed to within one week of working with children. An orientation for a childcare has a lot of information to it. I have found that it works much better to have a person shadow a		
Professional	170-300-0108			teacher and see things first had and experience them before I do the orientation. This is the way that we		
Development,	Program-based			have done this for years and have found a great success rate. It could also be put that they cannot have		
Training and	new staff			unsupervised access to children until this has been completed. I agree that there needs to be a strict timeline		
33 Requirements	orientation	No		for the orientation but before starting just does not seem like a good idea.	Disagree	Substantive
33 Requirements	Jiiciitation	110		WAC 170-300-0111 Supervision of staff. An assistant teacher has to be supervised by the lead teacher except		Jassanave
				for short periods of time. This is a bit much. So if my lead teacher is absent but has a written plan in place my		
				assistant teacher, who is familiar with the routine of the classroom and the children in the classroom cannot		
Professional						
Professional Development,	170-300-0111			be the sub for the absent lead? Why would I bring in someone who is not familiar? This goes against		
	170-300-0111 Supervision of			be the sub for the absent lead? Why would I bring in someone who is not familiar? This goes against consistent care that is listed in a different WAC. This WAC will make it impossible for a Lead teacher to call in		

			Weighted	Weighted	d	Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					170-300-0100 A person as myself after having been in this business as Director for 30 years should not have to return to college for a degree. I have 30 years of continuing 10 hrs of childhood education which is far and above what an ECE degree would require. If we have a program supervisor, why would each teacher have to have a degree when they are solely under her direction and mentoring. We are a fortunate center with a		
	Professional				program supervisor who has a K-8 Teaching degree. her She is responsible for each teacher implementing her		
	Development,	170-300-0100			plans. Our staff as ASST. teachers when they know the classrooms schedule, ,each of the children, and assist		
	Training and	General staff			with curriculum, why would they not be able to be responsible for that class in the teachers absence? Again		
35	Requirements	qualifications	No		teachers with degrees will not work for minimum wage!!!!	Disagree	Commentary
36	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		Lead teacher requiring a degree? for a minimum wage job with no benefits? I wouldn't get a degree to work at a child care facility for that,yet the "step below" is entitled to more responsibility even though all they'd be missing is a degree? how is that fair?	Disagree	Commentary
37	Professional Development, Training and Requirements	170-300-0100 General staff qualifications	No		I own and operate 3 childcare facilities. I have 36 employees. Only 4 meet these requirements, including myself and I do not work FT in a facility. I collaborate with the other 3 licensed childcare facilities here in our little Lewis/Clark Valley. They too will not be able to employee all employees with this credential and all 374 licensed childcare spots here in Asotin County would cease to exist. In addition, I currently graduated from Walla Walla Community College with my AA in ECE. I graduated with 5 other girls and they all were not going to work in the field. This field is not where the money is and with a college degree, they are seeking further education to help them work in other areas of early childhood that is not in the private childcare setting. This is going to be absolutely disastrous and very detrimental to the community that we serve if these minimal educational requirements are put into effect. Our little Washington State town of Clarkston, WA and the children we serve deserve to have high quality childcare. I am an advocate for educated staff, but this is pushing it too far. Is no childcare better than the EA Level 3 quality childcare we are currently offering?	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional Development, Training and	170-300-0100 General staff			Disagree with wac 170-300-0100 As a long time degreed ECE professional, I disagree with the education requirement for lead and assistant teachers: Center Lead Teachers must have a minimum of an ECE State Certificate within three years of the date this section becomes effective or from being employed at any licensed early learning program. At a minimum this will affect tuition costs via programs having to increase wages. This will have negative effects on a high percentage of non-corporate centers, likely causing less availability of care options, as centers are forced to close due to lack of qualified available staff that are able to work within the budgetary constraints of small centers. Requirement of college level classes is not guarantee of quality staff. DEL needs to put proper consideration toward life experience, as it often provides more real life application ability than a college credits. Further importance on character traits should be considered versus educational credits. It appears DEL is trying to institutionalize child care. This affects diverse options. Sadly if this requirement is approved the industry will lose seasoned professionals that are not able to afford schooling or it is not feasible. This will be detremental to programs. Truly childcare should		

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Professional						
	Development,	170-300-0100			Look at the 20 comments before mine. The education requirement is totally ridiculous. We cannot find		
	Training and	General staff			teachers now and the current ones most assuredly cannot afford the time or expense to attain an ECE. If you		
39	Requirements	qualifications	No		want to put child care out of the reach of most parents then go ahead and pass this regulation.	Disagree	Commentary
					Absolutely Impossible. I understand the intent, but there are just not enough qualified applicants out there		
					and the pay does not justify going back to school over the next three years to get a degree. Over my 20 years		
					in the ECE field some of the best teachers I've seen did not have degrees, but kept up to date with training		
	Professional				and professional development. We can barely hire people and to fill positions and we pay for them to get a		
	Development,	170-300-0100			CDA. This will cause every center to be out of compliance on an ongoing basis. Many CDs only have a CDA, so		
	Training and	General staff			they will automatically be unqualified. This is such a difficult field to hire for and this will just cause it to		
40	Requirements	qualifications	No		become way worse. Let's focus on fixing the problem, not making it worse!	Disagree	Commentary
					As an Early Childhood Professional and Director, we currently struggle finding applicants with certificates and		
	Professional				degrees. We hire for potential and train them for the job when needed. If this were to change there would		
	Development,	170-300-0100			not be child care facilities to help families where both parents work full time. My teachers without degrees		
	Training and	General staff			will not go back to school to get a degree- that takes time and money that they do not have because they		
41	Requirements	qualifications	No		need to work.	Disagree	Commentary
					I don't think this is something that could happen. There is not enough programs/incentives to make this		
					happen. Teachers work hard in child care setting but asking them to get a degree on top of putting in time in		
	Professional				the classroom is not going to happen. If they have the time to get a degree what is going to make them work		
	Development,	170-300-0100			at a lower paying job and care for children which might be there passion. We need to worry about funding		
	Training and	General staff			the teachers that are currently in the roles to show them they are valued and with that value they can choose		
42	Requirements	qualifications	No		to further their education.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0100 General staff qualifications. I strongly disagree that lead and assistant teachers should have to		
					have a certificate or degree in ECE. What about Montessori training? There is no credit for other trainings or		
					experience? Every childcare is unique and so are the families that choose them. Let families chose if they		
					want to come to a center that has "uncertified" and "under-educated" teachers (according to the proposed		
	Professional				rules). This rule would be impossible to follow, especially if the teachers have to have the credentials PRIOR		
	Development,	170-300-0100			to hiring them. Has anybody writing these rules ever tried to hire someone in this field? It is so hard to find		
	Training and	General staff			teachers who are caring, loving and genuinely interested in the development of the child. Please reconsider		
43	Requirements	qualifications	No		this rule or many families will lose a safe and loving space for their child.	Disagree	Commentary
-+3	Professional	444111164110113	.10		It is very difficult to hire staff the pool of potential hires is very small. This would dry up the pool. We provide	Disagree	Commentary
	Development,	170-300-0100			training and provide opportunities to go to school. I have team members that have worked in the field for 30		
	Training and	General staff			plus years and they are not returning to school. High stress, high expectations and very modest wage. This		
44	Requirements	qualifications	No		would paralyze our field.	Disagree	Commentary
	ricquir ciricitis	444111164610113	110		modia paratyze our nera.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				The proposed regulations for childcare teachers to have degrees and certificates to be qualified to teach in		
				our centers will be cost prohibitive, both for the teachers and for the programs. We already have a teacher		
				shortage, with few ECE programs in our colleges, and increasing requirements will only limit our hiring pool		
Professional				further. This will cause many programs to shut their doors, hurting our local economies and impacting		
Development,	170-300-0100			hundreds of families as they will be unable to find quality care. Prices will go up for quality care, as centers		
Training and	General staff			will need to pay these teachers more to make up for the cost of the education that they have had to get. This		
45 Requirements	qualifications	No		is a lose-lose situation for all involved.	Disagree	Commentary
Professional						•
Development,	170-300-0100					
Training and	General staff			It's already incredibly difficult to find teachers in Washington State. If we can hire teachers and help them		
46 Requirements	qualifications	No		grow over timel think then we can retain good quality teachers.	Disagree	Commentary
· ·						•
				The area of staff qualifications covers a lot of information and much of it is concerning. In a field that already		
				struggles to find quality staff to hire passing this rule change would negatively impact ECE programs		
				tremendously. While I believe it is also great to have an education, it definitely is not the only way to be able		
				to provide quality care in the ECE field. ECE degree programs are limited, education is expensive, and the pay		
				in this field does not always equal what one should get for the degree requirement. Teachers returning to		
				school would impact not only the staff but the business and families. While many ECE program strive to		
				maintain consistency of care, this would become extremely challenging as staff would need time away from		
Professional				work to complete these classes. As with most professions, pay increases with your degree and/or training.		
Development,	170-300-0100			Where will this money come from to support staff obtaining their degrees? Parents are already taxed to their		
Training and	General staff			limits to pay for quality care. Is the state going to step up their subsidy payment to help support the needed		
47 Requirements	qualifications	No		wage increase with these degrees?	Disagroo	Commentary
47 Requirements	quanneations	INU		wage increase with these degrees:	Disagree	Commentary
				Regarding WAC 170-300-0100: While requiring costly and time-consuming certifications and training for		
				teachers is great in theory, in practice this is a measure that will discourage many from finding jobs in child		
Drafassianal						
Professional	170 200 0100			care or cause our already-prohibitive child care bills to increase. It is simply unreasonable to expect that		
Development,	170-300-0100			candidates pay out of pocket to obtain superfluous training and certifications for what is usually a minimum		
Training and	General staff	No		wage part time job. State-wide mandates like these negatively impact rural communities disproportionately	D:	C
48 Requirements	qualifications	No		where candidate pools and median household incomes are far smaller.	Disagree	Commentary
Dunfania	170 200 0100			Regarding WAC 170-300-0108: Requiring that all training and orientation be completed prior to working with		
Professional	170-300-0108			the children seems to place too high a burden on center providers who may already be working with smaller		
Development,	Program-based			candidate pools or are in urgent need of filling a position. I would understand not allowing new employees to		
Training and	new staff			be unsupervised in classrooms, but shadowing experienced teachers as a part of the learning process is a		
49 Requirements	orientation	No		valuable experience.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
t	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					Come on - In a recruiting climate where there are FEW early childhood teachers and the field is struggling to		
					hire ECE teachers, you have got to wake up on this section of requirements or you will have an even BIGGER		
	Professional	470 000 0400			crisis on your hands. We have to work together to have reasonable expectations in this section that will work		
	Development,	170-300-0100			hand in hand with the reality of the work force. Centers and home care providers must be able to hire for		
	Training and	General staff			potential and train. That is how we have survived in this state over the last 5-6 years and this is not letting up	. .	
50	Requirements	qualifications	No		anytime soon. PLEASE have others comment and come to a more reasonable solution folks!	Disagree	Commentary
					I've been a center director for several years now and its been increasingly difficult to find quality teachers. I		
					would love to have all of my teachers in my building have degrees in the field but the logistics would be a		
					nightmare and the market is so flooded with schools/child care centers that its very difficult to find teachers		
	Professional				with degrees in the field. Sadly the unfortunate truth is the majority of teachers I hire are new to the field or		
	Development,	170-300-0100			looking for a career change and honestly those are some of my best teachers. Having a degree doesn't		
	Training and	General staff			always translate into being a great teacher but great people translate much easier into great teachers. I		
51	Requirements	qualifications	No		disagree with this whole heartedly.	Disagree	Commentary
					As it is already challenging to find qualified teachers in the current recruiting environment, I oppose the staff		
	Professional	.==			qualifications portion of the proposed WAC. With each year seeing early childhood education programs		
	Development,	170-300-0100			closing, it will be prohibitive to find teachers who can work in our centers. The goal of higher education for all		
	Training and	General staff			teachers is a definite goal-however the roadblocks are many and until those are thoughtfully and		_
52	Requirements	qualifications	No		intentionally removed-we cannot in good conscience pass this WAC.	Disagree	Commentary
					Child care is already a high turnover job, and it is incredibly hard to hire competent teachers. Making the		
					requirements stricter will make this even harder. We require our Lead and Assistant staff to pursue an ECE		
					college degree, but it is not required to be in place upon hire. If it was, almost none of my staff would be		
					working here as they are still working towards there degrees. As nice as it is that you are allowing staff on		
					hand a long time to finish these requirements, anyone new we hire will have to already have the		
	Professional				requirements in place. It is also hard to find people willing to work for minimum wage or not much more with		
	Development,	170-300-0100			college education. Until we have better funding to support staff pay, this is just not feasible. Possibly it could		
	Training and	General staff			be changed to say that staff must create a plan to start school within a certain amount of time after hire, or		
53	Requirements	qualifications	No		that they work with their directors to create a plan?	Disagree	Substantive
	Professional				I also forgot to mention that even without the education requirements, hiring people with the "pre-service		
	Development,	170-300-0100			requirements" already in place will also be difficult. Most of my staff get their PBC, CPR, and Basic STARS		
	Training and	General staff			after hire. This is time consuming, so hiring someone and telling them they can't start for a month when their		
54	Requirements	qualifications	No		fingerprints come through would make people not take a job.	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional				There are a let of new trainings that must be taken. Will these he are yided earling and/or through DEL2 Safe		
		170 200 0106			There are a lot of new trainings that must be taken. Will these be provided online and/or through DEL? Safe		
	Development, Training and	170-300-0106			Sleep is easy enough to take, as long as the new trainings are online and/or easy to find and access, this should not be a problem. Currently, it is nearly impossible to find a restraint training, and the ones we have		
	Requirements	Training requirements	No		found happen all day during Center hours and are costly.	Neutral	Other
22	nequirements	requirements	INU		iouna nappen an day during center nours and are costly.	iveuildi	Other

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
CategoryTitle Sul	bSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Drofossional						
Professional Development,						
· ' '	0-300-0107 In-			Once again, as long as these new trainings are easy to access, this should not be a problem. I just worry that		
		No			Neutral	Commontani
56 Requirements ser	vice training	No		you are requiring trainings that we will not be able to find or access.	Neutrai	Commentary
Professional 170	0-300-0108					
	ogram-based			Our orientation has many parts, one of which is going into the classrooms to observe and shadow so that		
	w staff			they aren't being lectured at for 3 hours and expected to remember everything. I have found this to work		
	entation	No		much better. So they would technically be working with kids before the orientation is completed.	Neutral	Commentary
				, , ,		,
				This works fine except for when staff are sick. When you are open for 12 1/2 hours and have 6 classrooms		
				with 3 teachers in each classroom, when a Lead is sick, you don't have another Lead to replace them and a		
				caregiver/aide would need to be alone for portions of the day either in the morning or at night. Our subs are		
				all caregivers/aides due to budgetary reasons. We have Office staff in the building at all times who can help,		
				if needed, and Lead qualified staff in other classrooms who could also help, if needed. But it would be		
				impossible when staff are sick or vacationing to guarantee that an assistant or aide would not be alone		
				without paying staff excessive amounts of overtime or combining classrooms and going over in the amount		
Professional				of children in a classroom. This would be stressful to both staff and children. I feel like it should be okay to		
Development, 170	0-300-0111			have the normal schedule set up so that there is proper supervision, with the addition that if a staff is sick or		
Training and Sup	pervision of			on vacation, this can be waived as long as the staff have knowledge of the children and the classroom and		
58 Requirements sta	ff	No		there are other staff in the building who can assist if needed.	Disagree	Commentary
170	0-300-0120			When measles was going around, I asked my staff to turn in their immunizations in case we got a case. Most		
Pro	oviding for			of my staff did not have records and their files were not accessible from their doctor since it had been so		
	rsonal,			long since they had seen them. With no health benefits in childcare due to costs and most staff members not		
Development, pro	ofessional, and			having the money to pay to get this taken care, I feel like having this documentation is not necessary except		
Training and hea	alth needs of			when there is an outbreak. It is costly to get tested to see what immunities you have if you can't find your		
59 Requirements sta	ff	No		records.	Neutral	Commentary
				WAC 170-300-0111 (2): Requiring lead teachers to be present to supervise at all times is a significant burden		
				on smaller centers where additional staffing is not otherwise required. The cost of child care is already		
				prohibitive for families at this point, and regulations like this one will only cause rates to increase with no		
				additional benefit. At a time when child care costs are ranked as the single largest cost for the majority of		
Professional				households with children, we need to work to push costs down instead of devising regulations that will force		
Development, 170	0-300-0111			more costs and headaches on families. Please consider these burdens and the effects they will have on		
Training and Sup	pervision of			struggling families, particularly those in rural areas where child care centers are not as prolific as they are in		
60 Requirements sta	ff	No		larger cities.	Disagree	Commentary
				170-300-0106 - food handlers card. Why does every staff member need a food handlers card when food IS		
Professional				NOT prepared in the center. When food is passed out - we use gloves or tongs. The only ones that have a		
' '	0-300-0106			food handlers card is the director and program supervisor. We have our annual food safety training - using		
	nining			the food safety manual from WA. State food & Deverage worker's manual. Requiring this is another cost		
61 Requirements red	quirements	No		for centers- only \$10 but with staff turn over it will add up.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
CategoryTitl	e SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				170-300-0107 -inservice. So in addition to the required 10 hrs.STARS now there is Enhancing		
				Quality/Leadership & Dusiness and Child Development (which I am assuming is different from child		
				development taken thru STARS). Where does on find these trainings and what's the cost/time involved?		
				What if a good teacher doesn't want to do this - she needs to be terminated? Or maybe they will all just		
Professional				decide to quit. This seems to go along with the "forced" educational certificate without a pay increase. And		
Developmer	t,			why would a lead teacher need to take a business class? All they want to do is to work with children. I can		
Training and	170-300-0107 In-	-		see with all your educational certificates/in-service requirements it may stress a number of people out in		
62 Requiremen	ts service training	No		order to meet the requirements.I don't understand this rationale.	Disagree	Commentary
Professional				As a family home provider, I am confused as to whether I would need an ECE Initial Certificate or not. 1a says		
Developmer	t, 170-300-0100			a certificate or high school diploma, but the chart says ECE Initial Certificate of equivalent. If I would be		
Training and				required to go back to college to earn another degree(I already have a bachelors in business), I would close		
63 Requiremen	ts qualifications	No		my family home childcare after 23 years rather than go back to college.	Disagree	Other
Professional						
Developmer	t, 170-300-0106					
Training and	Training			This is a lot of new trainings that must be completed. Will they be easy to access, for example on-line. Or		
64 Requiremen	ts requirements	No		perhaps there could be one class to cover all of these trainings at once.	Disagree	Other
Professional						
Developmer	t,					
Training and	170-300-0107 In-	-		I do not agree with adding more and more mandatory trainings for providers. More providers will quit and		
65 Requiremen	s service training	No		less will open new programs after reading all of the requirements.	Disagree	Commentary
Professional				Please do not add any more DEL directed training if it is offered thru the same on line training site as the		
Developmen	t, 170-300-0106			SAFE Sleep. That site is outdated and very inadequate. The Safe Sleep training should be moved to an		
Training and				updated site that WORKS and self reports to MERIT. To require training and then to make it very difficult to		
66 Requiremen	-	No		take because the DEL website is so bad is just not fair and professional on DEL's part	Neutral	Commentary
- Contequirement	is requirements	140		take because the BEE website is 30 Bau is just not fail and professional on BEE3 part	Neutrai	Commentary
				I disagree with rule 170-300-0100 about General staff qualifications. The requirement to have a ece degree		
				will prove a hardship to many teachers who have been working in early childhood for many years. I have		
				been a preschool teacher for 20 years. As a parent of 2 children I do not have the time or resources to go		
				back to school on my salary. There are many long time teachers at my school who would find this		
				requirement to much to fulfill and will end up leaving the profession. These are teachers with 15-20 years of		
Professional				experience who will be losing their jobs that they love. Our many years of experience and our merit hours		
Developmer	t, 170-300-0100			should be counted as our required credits. The passing of this rule would be extremely harmfull to early		
Training and	General staff			childhood centers and will have a very negative impact on the children as all the experienced teachers are		
67 Requiremen	ts qualifications	No		forced to leave the workforce.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					DISAGREE 170-300-0106 Why doesn't DEL & Early Achievers come up with a website that houses all		
					appropriate training. So if it is a class that is "REQUIRED" meaning something you are requiring us to have to		
	Professional				do the job we can go there. If it is a "in person" training please make sure that the person giving the class is		
	Development,	170-300-0106			QUALIFIED to do so. If they are going to read from a book or a piece of paper and then ask "what we think"; I		
	Training and	Training			would much rather do that at home or while I am at work on my own time where my time can be better		
68	Requirements	requirements	No		served instead of a Saturday morning where I miss my personal time with my own family.	Disagree	Commentary
					Accreditation was never supposed to be part of EA. It was supposed to be in lieu of EA, an alternative route		
	Professional				for providers who chose not to be part of Early Achievers. It is disappointing to see that DEL and CCA chose		
	Development,				to eliminate that alternative. Anyone who received accreditation would have scored a level 3. If the provider		
	Training and	170-300-0107 In-			wanted a higher score then they could pursue accreditation through EA for the 5 extra points. Providers		
69	Requirements	service training	No		deserve a choice in their own QRIS. Thank you for your time. William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional					-	•
	Development,	170-300-0106			3 MONTHS!!! You expect thousands of providers and their staff to getting all this training in the first 3		
	Training and	Training			monthsthis better all be FREE and EASILY accessible online and not be required in person!!! You need to		
70	Requirements	requirements	No		keep in mind those providers that are rural and don't have internet!!!	Disagree	Commentary
					Regarding 170-300-0100 General staff qualifications. I think the Volunteer and Aids need to be counted		
	Professional				towards the child to staff ratio. My daughters (age 25 & 23) and my husband are volunteers when I have a		
	Development,	170-300-0100			staff member who is sick. They are more than qualified and have met all staff/aid/volunteer requirements		
	Training and	General staff			that the state & DEL wants and needs. This needs to be re-looked at because or revised to not punish in		
71	Requirements	qualifications	No		home care with limited staff.	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional	470 200 0400					
	Development,	170-300-0100			The Market Market Mark to the Constitution of Constitution of the		
70	Training and	General staff	NI.		I have Masters degree WHY should I go for another certificate especially when I am running FCC and NO	D:	C
	Requirements	qualifications	No		TIME to go to school we are humans too and need some family time on weekends and not go to school	Disagree	Commentary
	Professional						
	Development,	170-300-0100			Thank you for changing the ECE requirement to an "or" High School diploma. I have been teaching for over		
	Training and	General staff			20 years without an ECE I am very good at what I do. Our kids go on to excel in school and life. I hope you		
73	Requirements	qualifications	Yes		plan to send a letter to all providers with a list of where all this new training can be attained.	Neutral	Commentary
	nequirements	qualifications	103		Yes, I agree with the educational requirements. If we want Early Childhood education to be considered a	11Catiai	Commentary
	Professional				profession, we need to have qualified employees with credentials and certifications. There is assistance in		
	Development,	170-300-0100			place to help qualifying program staff go back to college and the requirements are not that much. The initial		
	Training and	General staff			cert is only 12 credits. However, I also think that compensation should be addressed as we start to educate		
					tititi tim, == titititi titititi tili tili tili til		

#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	S Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
	Professional Development, Training and	170-300-0100 General staff			In regards to 170-300-0100, it is imperative that those caring for children in our state are educated in best practices for providing quality services. The community college system has risen to the occasion to provide clear pathways and quality content in line with national standards. Washington state is considered a leader in		
75	Requirements	_qualifications	No		teacher preparationlet's keep it that way and tackle compensation to match the educational requirements.		Commentary

The following comments are taken from the Public Comment Portal, and are categorized by comment type as seen below.

Comment Type	Definition
Substantive	This type of comment provides a proposed alternative or change in language.
	This type of comment provides positive or negative opinions on the regulation, and proposed no
Commentary	alternative or change in language.
Mechanical Edits	This type of comment provides grammar or sentence structure edits.
Other	This type of comment is unique from the other categories.

Bucket 2: Environment

	Space and			Food and	Health	Cleaning and	Sleep and	Infant and	
Comment Type	Furnishing	Activities	Safety	Nutrition	Practices	Sanitation	Rest	Toddler	Total
Substantive	10	6	6	30	19	19	4	29	123
Commentary	26	16	24	48	62	94	12	62	344
Mechanical Edits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	2	0	0	3	7	2	1	1	16
Total	38	22	30	81	88	115	17	92	483

			Weighted				
			WACComm Wei	hted	ConcurTypeD		
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ent Wac	Value Comments	ef	Comment Type	Notes
				170-300-0130 Indoor early learning program space The requirement to follow the Washington State Building Code and the			
				International Residential Code is not clear enough. When looking up the Washington State Building Code, it is not obvious where to			
				find requirements for an early learning environment. There is nothing that clearly states what the square-footage requirements will			
				be in a center space. Fire Marshall capacities are historically different from childcare capacities. Why has this WAC been allowed to			
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		reach this point in the process without more explanation and directions on how to find the square-footage requirements for our			
	Space and	early learning program		programs? I request clarification on the rules the WAC points to so that centers can be sure to comply with the requirement,			
1	Furnishings	space	No	without any questions.	Disagree	Other	Clarification Request
		.==					
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		With proposed WAC 170-300-0130 we need (2) to be more clear. The WAC should be written clearly without having to locate the			
_	Space and	early learning program	N .	information elsewhere. Also, what type of handicap accessible items will we need to provide? Do we need to install ramps on each	No. 1 and		
2	Furnishings	space	No	entrance and exit? Will we now be required to have handrails in the bathroom etc.? This WAC needs to be clear.	Neutral	Commentary	
	Environment -	170-300-0135 Routine					
	Space and	care, play, learning,					
3	Furnishings	relaxation, and comfort	No	Proposed WAC 170-300-0135 (3) needs to be more clear. What does the DEL consider a "play structure"?	Neutral	Commentary	
	- unisimigs	170-300-0140 Room		reposed in to 2.0 500 0253 (s) recess to 50 miles clear. That does the 52200 miles 2.200 miles are play structure.	- TCGC G	commentary	
		arrangement, child-					
	Environment -	related displays,		Proposed WAC 170-300-0140 (5)(b) (ii-iv) These proposed WACs are not enforceable. If the children have access to their own items,			
	Space and	private space, and		they will also have access to the items of other children. In a home child care setting with ages birth - five years, it just isn't			
4	Furnishings	belongings	No	applicable. The children will mix up items, putting things where they don't belong.	Disagree	Commentary	
	J	0 0				,	
				If the expectation is that centers comply with a new code, the International Building Code, THEN PROVIDE THE INFORMATION THAT			
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		IS PERTINENT. This feels like a devious attempt to implement a new requirement without opposition. Do not reduce square footage			
	Space and	early learning program		requirements for any reason. Many centers were built to current standards, and reducing ratio by including staff or furniture will			
5	Furnishings	space	No	negatively impact the entire industry. Low-paying slots for subsidized care will nearly disappear.	Disagree	Commentary	
		170-300-0140 Room					
		arrangement, child-					
	Environment -	related displays,		How does an in home provider prevent the children from ripping posters off the walls while the provider is changing a diaper or			
	Space and	private space, and		busy helping another child? What is an approved method of securing the posters? Tape that can be eaten? Staples that can also be			
6	Furnishings	belongings	No	eaten or stepped on?	Disagree	Commentary	
				WAC 170-300-0130 (4) because these are our homes, it is not feasible to convert them for every disability possible. Many times, we			
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		take a client we can service and make the necessary changes that client needs. It is very costly to put in ramps or add handrails by			
	Space and	early learning program		the toilet or widen doorways for wheel chairs, if they are not needed. We would also need to get our home owners assoc. to agree			
7	Furnishings	space	No	to build these outdoor ramps prior to building which can take months to be reviewed.	Disagree	Commentary	
		170-300-0140 Room					
		arrangement, child-		I am disgusted to see that Early Achievers ideals are making their way into our basic Licensing Standards. Don't get me wrong			
	Environment -	related displays,		having fun and interesting artwork displays makes for a happier and more engaging environment but what about child care			
	Space and	private space, and		providers who provide care in their home's living room? Early Achievers needs to back off of our basic licensing rules and			
8	Furnishings	belongings	No	requirements.	Disagree	Commentary	
		170-300-0140 Room					
	F	arrangement, child-		This WAC is taken almost word for word from Early Achievers. It is a bit much for the state to monitor the art work displayed on a			
	Environment -	related displays,		childcare's walls. I do agree that sometimes a child needs to be able to separate themselves from the group and have a place for			
	Space and	private space, and	N .	privacy, but you also have to realize that this creates opportunities for children to exclude other children. This is something that I	B********		
9	Furnishings	belongings	No	have had to really watch in my classroom.	Disagree	Commentary	
				170-300-0147 Weather conditions and outdoor requirements. This needs to be more clearly defined. (a) Heat in excess of 100 degrees Fahrenheit or less for children under five years old, or pursuant to advice of the local sources; What does "or less" mean?			
				Whose opinion? I may think it's fine for my preschoolers to be outside in 95 degrees for 30 min but my licensor my think that is too			
				long. If you are going to write a WAC about weather then it needs to be more specific. (2) An early learning provider must			Part (a) "What does
	Environment -	170-300-0147 Weather		appropriately dress children for weather conditions during outdoor play time. What is appropriate? To whose standards? What I			'or less' mean?"
	Space and	conditions and outdoor		find appropriate, again my licensor may not. These two WACS are too subject to opinion. List what is appropriate wear for weather			All else is
10	Furnishings	requirements	No	types. Is a hat required in the winter? What about gloves?	Agree	Substantive	commentary.
10	. armaninga	requirements		cyposition and required in the whiters while about groves.	. 151 CC	Jabatantive	commentary.
	Environment -	170-300-0148 Garden					
	Space and	in outdoor early		How do you adopt 170-300-0148 without reading our public comments. please rewrite 170-300-0148 I didn't know you can do			
11	Furnishings	learning program space	Yes 5,6,7	what ever want. Send it back for public comments. All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Commentary	
		-				-	•

		Weighted				
		WACComm Weighted		ConcurTypeD)	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	ent WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type	Notes
			Concerned about the low weighting for (5). This low weighting seems to indicate that providing a variety of age and			
			developmentally appropriate outdoor play areas is NOT a high priority. Outdoor play and movement is critical to children's growth			
			and development including brain development. This low rating is inconsistent with the higher ratings for indoor equipment. Why is			
Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdooi	r	it more important to have a variety of developmentally appropriate indoor equipment but not important to have a variety of			
Space and	early learning program		developmentally appropriate outdoor equipment? This would mean that it is acceptable for programs to have minimal equipment in			
2 Furnishings	space		the outdoor play area.	Disagree	Commentary	
Z i di ilisilings	эрасе	163 147,1,3,0,1	Recommend the addition of a WAC section similar in wording to WAC 170-300-0145 (5) to WAC 170-300-0146 in order to address	Disagree	Commentary	
F	170-300-0146		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Environment -			the actual play equipment rather than the play space. "Outdoor play EQUIPMENT must promote a variety of age and			
Space and	Equipment in outdoor		developmentally appropriate active play. EQUIPMENT must encourage and promote both moderate and vigorous physical activity			
3 Furnishings	early learning space	No	such as running, throwing, jumping, skipping,"	Agree	Substantive	
Environment -			170-300-0130 - indoor space. Do not change the allotted number of children in our classrooms. If you cut the number of children -			
Space and			which family gets kicked out? As a business - day homes/centers rely on tuition for program operations and teacher pay. And many			
4 Furnishings	170-300-0130 Indoor e	ea No	are not in the position to remodel to get more children into the program.	Disagree	Commentary	
Environment -						
Space and						
.5 Furnishings	170-300-0135 Routine	c No	WAC 170-300-0135 A soft place to retreat to, and soft cuddly toys help children who spend large periods of time in group care.	Agree	Commentary	
				_ -		
			(4) Early learning program space, ramps, and handrails must comply with, be accessible to, and accommodate children and adults			
Environment -			with disabilities as required by the ADA, as now and hereafter amendedthis needs to be for centers ALONE. This should not apply			
Space and			to our homes. If not changed for family home providers this is proof DEL wants ALL family home providers to go out of business.			
	170 200 0120 Indeed	- N-	Therefore, violating their "motto" of a "mixed delivery" of options for parents to seek quality care for their children.	Di	Commonton	
6 Furnishings	170-300-0130 Indoor e	28 INO	Therefore, violating their motto of a mixed delivery of options for parents to seek quality care for their children.	Disagree	Commentary	
			As to #4. Family home providers should be exempt from this rule and not forced to come into compliance only for the possibility			
Environment -			that someone may come visit that has a disability. I have cared for children with disabilities and they are small enough for me to			
Space and			carry with no need to make any modifications to my home. Remove this WAC for family home providers and let us remain in			
7 Furnishings	170-300-0130 Indoor e	ea No	business.	Disagree	Substantive	
.,	170 300 0130 1110001 0			D.Jug. CC		
			(5) Early learning program space must include pathways for children to move between areas without disrupting another child's work			
Environment -			or playthe writer of this WAC has never cared for or played with children. They are always in each others way and for always			
Space and						
	470 200 0420		creating in spaces. I will not tell a child who spent their time in creating a magnificent creation that they have to destroy it and move	5	C	
8 Furnishings	170-300-0130 Indoor e	ea NO	it because it might be in someone's way. If there is an emergency the children will get out and not by tip toeing around a creation.	Disagree	Commentary	
			(3) Indoor handmade play structures must be maintained for safety or removed when no longer safe. The department must review			
Environment -			and approve construction plans and a list of materials to be used to construct indoor handmade play structures before construction			
Space and			beginsDEL does not have the authority or the knowledge to review "construction plans"when DEL comes to visit they are			
L9 Furnishings	170-300-0135 Routine	c No	welcome to look things over and if they have an issue they can bring it to our attention. The last sentence needs to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive	
			(2) Indoor family home early learning program space must comply with the International Residential Code (chapter 51-51 WAC)			
Environment -			which the department adopts and incorporates by reference as now or hereafter amendedDEL should be more precise here. Are			
Space and			you talking about SECTION R326 of this code??? Then state that. Don't state the whole code and "drop the mic"; These are			
0 Furnishings	170-300-0130 Indoor e	ea No	supposed to be clearer and yet you are making them more confusing.	Disagree	Commentary	
1			(2) Indoor family home early learning program space must comply with the International Residential Code (chapter 51-51 WAC)	<u> </u>	. ,	
Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		which the department adopts and incorporates by reference as now or hereafter amendedDEL should be more precise here. Are			
Space and	early learning program		you talking about SECTION R326 of this code??? Then state that. Don't state the whole code and "drop the mic". These are			
1 Furnishings		No	supposed to be clearer and yet you are making them more confusing.	Disagree	Commentary	
± i-urinsilligs	space	INU		הואמצו בה	Commentary	
1			"Indoor center early learning program space must comply with the Washington State Building Code (chapter 19.27 RCW) and the			
			International Building Code (chapter 51-50 WAC) which the department adopts and incorporates by reference as now or hereafter			
1			amended." I looked up the codes and couldn't even find where it relates to child care or what is required. At least give us the			
Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		specific section to reference. I know this was changed from previously worded section that adds teachers into the room capacity. If			
Space and	early learning program		this is still required due to fire code, I would like to know up front rather than having to search through pages upon pages of code			
22 Furnishings	space	No	that doesn't even apply to my business.	Disagree	Substantive	
						
Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor	r				
Space and	early learning program		The only one I have issue with is the self closing gate. The children do not have access to open the gate, and when adults use the			
23 Furnishings	space	No	gate, they would never leave it open. This seems unnecessary.	Neutral	Commentary	
, 1. u			gerry and a series read a copenia annocessory.		30y	

			Weighted WACComm V	Weighted		ConcurTypeD		
	CategoryTitle	SubSections		_	Comments	ef	Comment Type	Notes
	Environment -	170-300-0147 Weather			This wording needs to be changed "Heat in excess of 100 degrees Fahrenheit or less for children under five years old, or pursuant to			
	Space and	conditions and outdoor			advice of the local sources"; Most children are under 5, so this is completely up to the individual to decide what is appropriate.			
24	Furnishings	requirements	No		Please give a temperature limit for the children in care. Parents, teachers, and licensors will have different opinions on what is safe.	Neutral	Substantive	
	Environment -	170-300-0147 Weather						
	Space and	conditions and outdoor			We appreciate the clarity of this WAC. Moving away from "extreme temperatures"; and providing specific temperature guidelines.			
25	Furnishings	requirements	No		On (1)(a) should say "heat in excess of 100 degrees F or more"	Agree	Substantive	
					The new proposed WAC 170-300-0145(5) would meet national target standards related to having a mixture of physical activities by			
	Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor			requiring that activities encourage and promote both moderate and vigorous physical activity such as running, jumping, skipping,			
•	Space and	early learning program			throwing, pedaling, pushing and pulling, kicking, and climbing. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0145(5) as written and ask that			
26	Furnishings	space	No		this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary	
					While the control leaves and a WAC 470 200 0445/5\ and a second of the s			
					While the proposed language under WAC 170-300-0145(5) relating to requiring a mixture of physical activities is very strong, we are			
					concerned that the weighting of this standard is too low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the			
					health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over time creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, we are concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the physical activity			
					standards for infants versus young children, i.e., physical activity for infants is currently weighted at 6 while physical activity for			
	Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor			children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Physical activity is vital for the healthy development of children at all ages; the importance and			
	Space and	early learning program			weight assigned to physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an infant grows into a toddler. We			
27	Furnishings	space	Yes N		recommend WAC 170-300-0145(5) be weighted at a 6, the weight assigned to the infant physical activity standards.	Disagree	Substantive	
21	ruillisilligs	space	165		The new proposed WAC 170-300-0145(5) would meet national target standards related to having a mixture of physical activities by	Disagree	Substantive	
	Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor			requiring that activities encourage and promote both moderate and vigorous physical activity such as running, jumping, skipping,			
	Space and	early learning program			throwing, pedaling, pushing and pulling, kicking, and climbing. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0145(5) as written and ask that			
28	Furnishings	space	No		this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary	
	ramismigs	эрисс	140		and language to be included in the limit wive.	Agree	commentary	
					While the proposed language under WAC 170-300-0145(5) relating to requiring a mixture of physical activities is very strong, we are			
					concerned that the weighting of this standard is too low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the			
					health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over time creates a cumulative effect that could result in			
					negative impacts to children's health. In addition, we are concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the physical activity			
					standards for infants versus young children, i.e., physical activity for infants is currently weighted at 6 while physical activity for			
	Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor			children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Physical activity is vital for the healthy development of children at all ages; the importance and			
	Space and	early learning program			weight assigned to physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an infant grows into a toddler. We			
29	Furnishings	space	Yes N		recommend WAC 170-300-0145(5) be weighted at a 6, the weight assigned to the infant physical activity standards.	Disagree	Substantive	
	Environment -	170-300-0147 Weather						
	Space and	conditions and outdoor			170-300-0147 - weather conditions. What about power outages and the need to close if power is out for more than one hour due to the conditions of th	1		
30	Furnishings	requirements	No		safety factors for children	Neutral	Commentary	
					I agree with all of this WAC, except for the item about self-locking gates. Yes, the latch for the gate should be at an adult-access			
	Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor			level, not a child-access level, but it does not need to be self-latching. If adults are being trusted to care for young children, they			
	Space and	early learning program			should be capable of re-latching a gate when they go through it. This is an unnecessary rule, that will add expense both for initial		_	
31	Furnishings	space	No		installation of new auto-latching devices as well as maintenance of these devices, when a simple manual latch is sufficient.	Neutral	Commentary	
31	Space and	early learning program	No		should be capable of re-latching a installation of new auto-latching d Changing the minimum square for center of excellence for over 25 ye	gate when they go through it. This is an unnecessary rule, that will add expense both for initial evices as well as maintenance of these devices, when a simple manual latch is sufficient. Stage per child and teachers will severely impact the operations of our center that has been a arrs. In order to meet the proposed WAC we would have to diminish the child capacity of our	gate when they go through it. This is an unnecessary rule, that will add expense both for initial evices as well as maintenance of these devices, when a simple manual latch is sufficient. Neutral stage per child and teachers will severely impact the operations of our center that has been a lars. In order to meet the proposed WAC we would have to diminish the child capacity of our	gate when they go through it. This is an unnecessary rule, that will add expense both for initial evices as well as maintenance of these devices, when a simple manual latch is sufficient. Neutral Commentary or the commentary or
					center, significantly impacting families (how can we kick families out to accommodate this? It would be unethical) and staffing			
	Environ	170 200 0120 1-4-			(salaries would not be able to be paid if our tuition income is cut. We are non-profit center and the tuition we bring in directly pays			
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor			for our staffing). I imagine there are centers that will not be impacted by this change, though I feel confident this will be detrimental			
	Space and	early learning program	No		step for the majority of child care centers, particularly the non-profit centers in Washington State. I understand the value of children		C	
32	Furnishings	space	No		having more space, however, the negative outcomes outweigh the positives.	Disagree	Commentary	

			Weighted WACComm Weighted		ConcurType	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections		Comments	ef	Comment Type Notes
				(3) Indoor handmade play structures must be maintained for safety or removed when no longer safe. The department must review		
	Environment -	170-300-0135 Routine		and approve construction plans and a list of materials to be used to construct indoor handmade play structures before construction		
	Space and	care, play, learning,		beginsDEL does not have the authority or the knowledge to review "construction plans"when DEL comes to visit they are		
33	Furnishings	relaxation, and comfort	: No	welcome to look things over and if they have an issue they can bring it to our attention. The last sentence needs to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
				Including staff into the measured size of a classroom will bankrupt centers, less options for dshs families and families in my one site		
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		alone will need to lay an additional 125 a week to make up the fact two less students per class will be able to attend. Less income,		
	Space and	early learning program		means less for staffing, programs, and ability to pay my overly high expenses let alone give anyone high pay or benefits. This is the		
34	Furnishings	space	No	most unreasonable idea that the Del has come up with. Any centers will close.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0145 Outdoor		170-300-0145 Requiring providers to change all of the gate latches to be self-closing is an expensive modification. I understand the		
25	Space and	early learning program	Na	thought process but many public parks and playgrounds don't even have fences or gates. Families and providers should be able to	Di	Commenter
35	Furnishings	space	No	take responsibility for closing a simple gate just like a classroom door.	Disagree	Commentary
				170-300-0130 referees to both the IRC and the IBC and the Washington State Building code which may have sections superseded by		
				jurisdictions. Who will be the arbitrator? Will the licensor now be required to interpret complex codes that often time in the		
				building industry are subject to interpretation? I believe section 1 and 2 are unenforceable. Why does a center not have to certify		
				their compliance with (a) Furnace area safety, or smoke or carbon monoxide detector requirements under WAC 170-300-0170(3);		
				(b) Guns, weapon, or ammunition storage under WAC 170-300-0165(2)(f); (c) Medication storage under WAC 170-300-0215; (d)		
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		Refrigerator or freezer under WAC 170-300-0198; or (e) Storage areas that contain chemicals, utility sinks, or wet mops under WAC		
	Space and	early learning program		170-300-0260.? Alco what is the requirements of the ADA, are we referencing the ADA Standards for accessible design The state		
36	Furnishings	space	No	and most cities have trouble complying this section needs its own financial impact statement.	Disagree	Other
	Environment -	170-300-0130 Indoor		NO! I am a family home daycare, self-employed. My program!!! I do not take children requiring a wheelchair. My house is not		
	Space and	early learning program		equipped for special needs children. To do so would require I not spend time with the other kids. This is a horrible rules, and should		
37	Furnishings	space	No	only apply to centers, schools, and preschools. I would have to go out of business to comply with this.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0146	•			_
	Space and	Equipment in outdoor		Should be able to put in equipment with plans and send to department for approval. Also should have clarification in wac for		
38	Furnishings	early learning space	No	platforms under 48" should not require certain depths and fall zones.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	144.1.1.1.1			
		CodeCoddono	WACCom	Weighted	Community.	ConcurTypeD	C
C	ategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	wacvalue	Comments	ef	Comment Typ
		170-300-0155 Use of					
	nvironment -	television, video, and	N		470 200 OAFF Language and the WAS and Abiabitis in company of the	A	C
1 A	ctivities	computers	No		170-300-0155 completely agree with this WAC and think it is very clear and appropriate.	Agree	Commentary
		170-300-0155 Use of			This is an example of a WAC that is specific and well written. Thankfully it does not request that the provider create a "screen time		
	nvironment -	television, video, and			policy" because this WAC only applies to those providers that are offering screen time. Many providers do not offer it at all. I wish		
2 A	ctivities	computers	No		more of these proposed WAC's were written with the specificity of this WAC.	Agree	Commentary
L		.=					
	nvironment -	170-300-0150 Program			DEL needs to move away from a penalty system for items that have nothing to do with keeping children safe. Maybe incentivize		_
3 A	ctivities	and activities	No		programs that ARE meeting these subjective non-safety related items. Oh wait, that's what Early Achievers is doing!	Disagree	Commentary
					My first issue with this is that it is also directly taken from Early Achievers. Again, if Early Achievers is a volunteer program, then		
					don't make several of the sections of Early Achievers into WAC. My second issue is that all art material needs to be store bought? It		
1_					would be impossible for any center to have art available as much as Early Achievers says it should be with all store bought		
	nvironment -	170-300-0150 Program			materials. Also in the "All About Books" it actually lists several materials that are recycled such as toilet paper rolls, egg cartons,		_
4 A	ctivities	and activities	No		etc. So we will have a WAC that makes reaching Early Achiever standards extremely hard, that is counter productive.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0150 Does this mean we cannot use cotton balls, toilet paper roles, and other items that the manufacturer does not list as		
	nvironment -	170-300-0150 Program			non toxic? So many of our items are not labeled for children's use maybe we should put this on the manufacturing companies first		
5 A	ctivities	and activities	No		so we can find these items otherwise we are very limited.	Disagree	Commentary
					Unclear why the sections of this WAC are weighted differently. This seems very confusing. It seems that if #1 is rated a "5" than so		
					should the rest of the sections in the WAC. If #1 is rated a "5" than then #9 which limits all screen time for children under 24		
		170-300-0155 Use of			months of age should be at least a "5" or higher. The rationale for restricting screen time for children under 24 months of age is to		
E	nvironment -	television, video, and			prevent negative effects on their cognitive development and to instead promote interactional face to face activities with adults		
6 A	ctivities	computers	Yes	1,3,4,5	that promote brain development.	Agree	Substantive
					170-300-0150. Art supplies - prepackaged? Costly. What happened to recycled art supplies/creativity? Use of magnets? Need to		
E	nvironment -				clarify what size. Food as art projects? Have heard yes then heard no due to families, who don't have enough to eat, seeing an		
7 A	ctivities	170-300-0150 Program	ε No		apple for example used for apple printing instead of eating - just saying. Weighted to high.	Disagree	Commentary
					1(h) Accessible to children in care at child's height so they can independently find, use, and return materials;this is not always		
					possible in a family hoe environment. We have mixed ages and having these things at their level will only cause a constant		
					redirection by crawling/standing infants which will lead to a "unhealthy noise level" which won't allow a normal conversation to		
E	nvironment -				take place. There is a time and place for such activities and these items should not always be out for any age child to take, use and		
8 A	ctivities	170-300-0150 Program	ε No		return.	Disagree	Commentary
Ť					(2) An early learning provider must only use prepackaged art materials that are labeled "non-toxic†and meet ASTM standard	0	,
					D-4236 as described in 16 C.F.R. 1500. 14(b)(8)(i) as now or hereafter amended. This requirement does not apply to food items		
					used as art materials, bulk paper, or items from the natural environmentThis is too expensiveI mostly make my own (which		
F	nvironment -				can even be healthier) and recycle items. DEL can not demand we have items and then demand us buy the only expensive items. I		
	ctivities	170-300-0150 Program	: No		teach the children to be resourcefulDEL needs to be too.	Disagree	Commentary
,,,	ectivities	170 300 0130 110614111	(110		I said this before and I'll say it againDEL is forcing providers to conduct business as if we participate in EA when EA goes against	Disagree	Commentary
					my philosophy. EA is supposed to be voluntary and yet DEL if forcing us all into EA or put us out of business. I have looked into EA		
F	nvironment -				and I do not see it as "quality care"I run my business for the children and do what is best for themI'll guit before I force children		
	ctivities	170-300-0150 Program	: No		into things that I know will fail them in the long run.	Disagree	Commentary
0 4	ctivities	170-300-0130 FT0grain	TNU		(9) There must not be screen time for children under 24 months of ageI can understand why this is a WACbut Why punish the	Disagree	Commentary
					•		
-	nvironmant				little ones by keeping them out of sight of the TV. In a Family home environment we are all in one room and I cannot shield the		
	nvironment -	470 200 0455 11	1.81.		little ones from seeing the TV. They are engaged in play near that area since they have to be in sight and hearingbut I shouldn't	5:	C
ΙА	ctivities	170-300-0155 Use of te	I NO		have to put "blinders"; or a & "blindfold"; on them so they don't look at the TV.	Disagree	Commentary
					(2) An early learning provider must intervene appropriately to stop biased behavior displayed by children or adults including, but		
					not limited to: (a) Refusing to ignore bias; (b) Being aware of situations that may involve bias and responding appropriately; and (c)		
					Taking appropriate action when observing biased behavior such as redirecting an inappropriate conversation or inappropriate		
					behaviorhow can we control what is said by a parent? So if I have a parent that feels a certain waywe will get written up with a		
E	nvironment -				6 because we didn't cover the parents mouth?? We can instill good things in the children we care forbut we cannot control what		
~! .	ctivities	170-300-0160 Promotir	n No		comes out of a parents mouth.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	_	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	category	170-300-0160	ment	vvacvaluc	We agree that staff and programs should not be biased in practices. However, with the vast number of ethnicity coming and going		comment type
	Environment -	Promoting acceptance			into our program this WAC would be almost impossible to implement. How would this be evaluated? Measured? This WAC change		
12	Activities	of diversity	No		is more quality driven than health and safety.	Disagree	Commentary
13	Activities	or diversity	110		The new proposed WAC would meet national target standards for limiting screen time for children over two years old by: Limiting	Disagree	Commentary
					total screen time to 1 hour per day for each child over 24 months of age (30 min in half-day care), Limiting computer use to 15		
					minutes per child per day for preschool children (7 min in half-day care), unless directly related to department approved		
		170-300-0155 Use of			curriculum, and Limiting computer use to 30 minutes per child per day for school age children, unless directly related to		
	Environment -	television, video, and			department approved curriculum or homework activity. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0155 (6), (7), & (8) as written and ask		
11	Activities	computers	No			A =====	Substantive
14	Activities	computers	NO		that this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Substantive
					While the proposed language in WAC 170-300-0155 (6), (7), & (8) is very strong regarding meeting national standards for		
					limitations on screen time, we are concerned that the weighting of this standard is low. The short- and long term effects of screen		
					time on the cognitive and social/emotional development of young children can be significant, and therefore the standard should		
					be given a higher weighting. In addition, it is very inconsistent and confusing to providers to have different screen time standards		
					weighted at different levels. Even though sections 6, 7, and 8 under WAC 170-300-0155 are all related to screen time, they are		
		170-300-0155 Use of			weighted at 4, 3, and 4 respectively. Therefore, in order to better reflect the long-term effects of too much screen time and to be		
	Environment -	television, video, and			consistent across types of screen time and with standards relating to nutrition and physical activity, we recommend WAC 170-300-		
15	Activities	computers	Yes	1,3,4,5	0155 (6), (7), & (8) all be weighted at a 6.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0155 Use of			The new proposed WAC 170-300-0155(9) relating to screen time for infants would meet national target standards by prohibiting		
	Environment -	television, video, and			screen time for children under 24 months of age. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0155(9) as written and ask that this language		
16	Activities	computers	No		to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
					While the proposed language is very strong regarding prohibiting screen time for kids under 2 years old, we are concerned that the		
		170-300-0155 Use of			weighting of this standard is low. The short- and long term effects of screen time on the cognitive and social/emotional		
	Environment -	television, video, and			development of young children can be significant, and therefore the standard should be given a higher weighting. We recommend		
17	Activities	computers	Yes	1,3,4,5	WAC 170-300-0155(9) be weighted at a 6.	Disagree	Substantive
					The new proposed WAC would meet national target standards for limiting screen time for children over two years old by: Limiting		
					total screen time to 1 hour per day for each child over 24 months of age (30 min in half-day care), Limiting computer use to 15		
					minutes per child per day for preschool children (7 min in half-day care), unless directly related to department approved		
		170-300-0155 Use of			curriculum, and Limiting computer use to 30 minutes per child per day for school age children, unless directly related to		
	Environment -	television, video, and			department approved curriculum or homework activity. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0155 (6), (7), & (8) as written and ask		
18	Activities	computers	No		that this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
		'			While the proposed language in WAC 170-300-0155 (6), (7), & (8) is very strong regarding meeting national standards for		
					limitations on screen time, we are concerned that the weighting of this standard is low. The short- and long term effects of screen		
					time on the cognitive and social/emotional development of young children can be significant, and therefore the standard should		
					be given a higher weighting. In addition, it is very inconsistent and confusing to providers to have different screen time standards		
					weighted at different levels. Even though sections 6, 7, and 8 under WAC 170-300-0155 are all related to screen time, they are		
		170-300-0155 Use of			weighted at 4, 3, and 4 respectively. Therefore, in order to better reflect the long-term effects of too much screen time and to be		
	Environment -	television, video, and			consistent across types of screen time and with standards relating to nutrition and physical activity, we recommend WAC 170-300-		
19	Activities	computers	Yes	1,3,4,5	0155 (6), (7), & (8) all be weighted at a 6.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0155 Use of		-,-, .,0	The new proposed WAC 170-300-0155(9) relating to screen time for infants would meet national target standards by prohibiting		
	Environment -	television, video, and			screen time for children under 24 months of age. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0155(9) as written and ask that this language		
20	Activities	computers	No		to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
20	, recivities	computers	110		While the proposed language is very strong regarding prohibiting screen time for kids under 2 years old, we are concerned that the	, ,6100	Commentary
		170-300-0155 Use of			weighting of this standard is low. The short- and long term effects of screen time on the cognitive and social/emotional		
	Environment -	television, video, and			development of young children can be significant, and therefore the standard should be given a higher weighting. We recommend		
21			Voc	1245		Disagras	Cubstantius
Z I	Activities	computers	Yes	1,3,4,5	WAC 170-300-0155(9) be weighted at a 6.	Disagree	Substantive
					470 200 0400 Description of discribe their investment of the second of t		
		170 200 0460			170-300-0160 Promoting acceptance of diversity It is imperative that we step up and step in and have the difficult conversations		
	F	170-300-0160			with families, staff and others. Diversity is more than "Anti-Bias Curriculum". We need to challenge bias and stereotypes that we		
	Environment -	Promoting acceptance			hear/overhear, and have the difficult conversation. To ignore is to implicitly condone the behavior, and what are the children		
22	Activities	of diversity	No		learning by doing this? If providers are uncomfortable training is available, and needs to be available in multiple modalities.	Agree	Commentary

	Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurType	.
le SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Typ
170-300-0175 Water			170-300-0175 I am against excluding wadding pools from child care. You guys are sucking all the fun out of these children's		
t - hazards and swimming			lives. I'm also against excluding ALL inflatables, a water slide isn't the same as a bounce house. The water isn't deep and		
pools	No		there's no bouncing.	Disagree	Commentary
			I disagree with WAC 170-300-0175 in the regard that, we should be able to use wading pools. My facility is in eastern		
170-300-0175 Water			Washington, and it frequently gets over 90 degrees Fahrenheit in the summer. We want to be able to splash and play with		
t - hazards and swimming			the kids. If the water isn't deep, parents give permission, the pool is sanitary, and child-to-staff ratios are kept with strict		
pools	No		supervision, I see no reason why the kids can't play in wading pools.	Disagree	Commentary
			I do not agree with safe noise level. How do you monitor the noise level. No it should not be extremely loud, but some times	•	
t - 170-300-0165 Safety			the activities the children are doing makes it hard to maintain a normal conversational tone. I think that this is not the		
requirements	No		greatest regulation and should not be included in the WAC How do you really monitor this?	Disagree	Commentary
			WAC 170-300-0165 (4 i) There are times in an in home, where the house can smell very strong, and needs to be aired out.		
			Fresh air is wonderful opening a window no more than 3.5 inches is not going to help air out the home. And parents do not		
t - 170-300-0165 Safety			go through them. And in In-homes, we have limited number of children we care for Shouldn't we know where the children		
,	No			Disagree	Commentary
					,
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
,					
requirements	No		• •	Disagree	Commentary
			An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system – weight 7 is attached to WAC 170-300-0170, item (3) (j) on Fire		
			Safety. This section of the proposed WAC pertains to records of MONTHLY inspections of items that include Fire		
			Extinguishers, which are only inspected yearly in EVERY business in the State. I would venture to guess that ALL child care		
			centers are scheduled with a company that conducts these yearly inspections. Yet, this would change that to require fire		
			extinguishers be inspected monthly? AND… if this violation occurs ONE time in 36 months – the license could be		
			SUSPENDED or put in a probationary status, there will be a hefty fine (\$250 per day), technical assistance and the provider		
t -			must create a Safety Plan! Please… someone do some reviewing and editing of this weighted system. The idea of the		
170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		weighted system was to protect children, yet this does nothing to accomplish that.	Disagree	Commentary
·			(3) An early learning provider must prevent other hazards to children in care in early learning program space including, but		·
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			due to inconsistencies with requirements in chapter 19.27 RCW, the department shall: (a) Consult with the city or county		
			enforcement official; and (b) Receive written verification from the city or county enforcement official that the alteration is		
			and same and an analy and to proceive written vermousion from the city of country emoleciment official that the afteration is		
			required (2) The department's consultation with the city or county enforcement official is limited to licensed shild care		
t - 170-300-0165 Safety			required. (2) The department's consultation with the city or county enforcement official is limited to licensed child care space. BUILDING CODE DOES NOT REQUIRE REFRIGEATORS BE IN A GARAGE OR CLOSET LIKE FURNACES AND HOT WATER		
11	170-300-0175 Water hazards and swimming pools 170-300-0175 Water hazards and swimming pools 170-300-0165 Safety requirements 170-300-0165 Safety requirements 170-300-0165 Safety requirements	t - 170-300-0175 Water hazards and swimming pools No 170-300-0175 Water hazards and swimming pools No t - 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No t - 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No	170-300-0175 Water hazards and swimming pools No 170-300-0175 Water hazards and swimming pools No 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No	t - hazards and swimming pools to hazards and swimming pools No there's no bouncing. 170-300-0175 Water the hazards and swimming pools 170-300-0175 Water the hazards and swimming pools 170-300-0175 Water Washington, and if requently gets over 90 degrees Fahrenheit in the summer. We want to be able to spishal and play with the kids. If the water isn't deep, parents give permission, the pool is sanitary, and child-to-staff ratios are kept with strict supervision, is seen or reason why the kids carn play in wading pools. No supervision, is seen or reason why the kids carn play in wading pools to subtract the activatives the children are doing makes it hard to maintain a normal conversational tone. I think that this is not the greatest regulation and should not be included in the WAC How do you really monitor this? 14 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No greatest regulation and should not be included in the WAC How do you really monitor this? 15 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No greatest regulation and should not be included in the WAC How do you feel pair out the home. And parents do not only open their windows 3.5 inches I suggest we teach the children are rear times in an in home, where the importance of fresh air, but the dangers of trying to not you gone their windows 3.5 inches I suggest we teach the children we care for. Shouldn't we know where the children are at all times? 18 170-300-0165 Safety requirements No greatest provided the provided	170-300-0175 Water that are also as wimming pools No there is hazards and swimming pools No there is no bouncing. 170-300-0175 Water that are also as wimming house, and the set of the se

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurType	D
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
					There are a few sections of this that are not written in a clear and precise manner. I agree that a flight of stairs should be		
					made in a way that a child can not fall off of the side, but what about 2 steps. Does a set of 2 stairs need the same		
					requirements as a flight of stairs? This needs to be clarified. I also agree that large pieces of furniture or tall pieces of		
					furniture need to be secured to the wall, but how tall? Does a shelf that is 36 inches need to be secured the same as one that		
					is 72 inches? This section needs to have clear and defined perimeters set for it. The toys needing to have certifications is a bit		
					much. I am glad to see that it has been changed to from here on out, but that will still be costly and that means that centers		
					will not be able to rotate out toys that much, as set in the Environmental Rating for Early Achievers. Yes toys need to be kept		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			in good, safe working conditions but kids are hard on toys. We throw away toys when they become unsafe, and that happens		
8	Safety	requirements	No		a lot. To have to replace toys with expensive certified toys every time will take a lot out of the budget.	Disagree	Commentary
					(3) An early learning provider must prevent other hazards to children in care in early learning program space including, but		
					not limited to: (a) Cuts, abrasions, and punctures. Equipment, materials, and other objects on the premises that have sharp		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			edges, points, CORNERS, protruding nails, bolts, or other dangers must be repaired, removed, or made inaccessible to		
9	Safety	requirements	No		children;CORNERSeverything has cornerswallsdoors. This is impossible to "repair" reword this section.	Disagree	Commentary
					3(d) Splinters. All equipment, materials, and objects made of wood or material that splinters must be sanded and		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			sealed;this is a part of lifedon't force providers to do this in WACif there is an issueproviders will take care of itstop		
10	Safety	requirements	No		nitpicking everything.	Disagree	Commentary
					3(e) Entrapment. Freezers, refrigerators, washers, dryers, compost bins, and other entrapment dangers must be inaccessible		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			$to \ children; how \ are \ we supposed \ to \ make \ our \ refriger ators \ in \ our \ homes \ in accessible??? \ I \ can \ understand \ unused \ items \ in \ i$		
11	Safety	requirements	No		play spacebut not working ones in the kitchenthis needs to be reworded.	Disagree	Commentary
					3(f) Tripping. Cables, wires, ropes, and chains must not be a tripping hazard and must be inaccessible to children. Uneven		
					walkways, damaged flooring or carpeting, or other tripping hazards are prohibited;uneven OUTSIDE walkway are a part of		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			lifeI have a lip from my kitchen tile meets my carpetingare you asking me to remodel this??? Children trip over their own		
12	Safety	requirements	No		feetwe cannot wrap them in bubble plastic. reword or clarify.	Disagree	Commentary
					(g) Falling objects. Large objects must be securely attached to the premises. Large objects include, but are not limited to,		
					televisions, dressers, bookshelves, wall cabinets, sideboards or hutches, and wall units;clarify most things do not posse a		
					hazardmy short sturdy shelves (shorter than most of the kids) haven't been an issuemy entertainment unit is large and I		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			can't pull it downwhy should our homes be bolted to the floor and wall????! I see how some things will need to bebut		
13	Safety	requirements	No		NOT EVERYTHING!	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety					
14	Safety	requirements	No		4(b) Windows screens and openingsthis is not in building codeWAC does not supersede RCWremove.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			4c(iii) Free standing lamps and table lamps must be attached or secured to the floor or a table to prevent tipping;just		
15	Safety	requirements	No		prohibit the use of free standing lampshow are we supposed to secure a table lamp??? Superglue??? reword	Disagree	Commentary
					4(d) Safe noise levels. Noise levels must be maintained at a level in which a normal conversation may occurobviously the		
	Environment -	170-300-0165 Safety			person who wrote this does not work with children. CHILDREN ARE LOUS! At time, the children get excited and it gets		
16	Safety	requirements	No		loudchildren will always be childrenso this WAC is not going to be enforceable.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0165. Noise level. Are you kidding me? This is what children do. Are they now not expected to enjoy each		
	Environment -				other/school/activities/times to be silly? We need to go around shushing them? Ridiculous!! You need to re-clarify this -		
17	Safety	170-300-0165 Safety requ	ire No		maybe you mean loud music when children will talk over this.	Disagree	Commentary
					"Playground surfaces must have a certificate of compliance, label, or documentation stating they meet ASTM standards		
					F1292-13 and F2223-10 as now or hereafter amended." Does this mean asphalt and concrete? This is something most people		
					definitely won't have. Does it just mean anything new that is laid down, or existing? Or does this refer to only fall zone		
	Environment -				surfaces? Also, I think windows only opening 3 1/2 inches is not enough. I understand you do not want children escaping, but		
18	Safety	170-300-0165 Safety requ	ire No		it is very nice to get fresh air and a natural breeze.	Neutral	Commentary
			-				
	Environment -				If the pool is NOT used during childcare hours and not part of the program can it just remain locked. Does it really matter if		
_	Safety	170-300-0175 Water haza	rds No		the if the gate is self closing and latching it's not being used during the hours of operation. It just needs to be securely locked.	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -				8b(iv) should only be required when the pool or outdoor body of water is part of the program. Otherwise it should just be		
20	Safety	170-300-0175 Water haza	rds No		securely locked per the definition in the draft WAC.	Neutral	Substantive

			Weighted				
			WACCom	Weighted		ConcurType	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
					5(a) In areas accessible to children, electrical outlets must have automatic shutters that only allow electrical plugs to be		
					inserted (tamper-resistant) or are covered by blank plates;are you telling us we need to hire an electrician to come and		
	Environment -				replace all the outlets in our home to the (tamper-resistant) expensive type? Or can we keep the cover plates that have a		
21	Safety	170-300-0165 Safety requir	e No		automatic shutters?	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
22	Safety	170-300-0166 Emergency p	r Yes	6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -						
23	Safety	170-300-0165 Safety requir	e Yes	3,7,8	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
					(2) An early learning provider must arrange for a building and fire safety inspection annually, and inspection documents must		
					be available for department review. A provider must arrange a building or fire safety inspection with a local government		
					agency. If a local government agency is not available to conduct a fire safety inspection, a provider must inspect for fire		
	Environment -				safety using the State Fire Marshal form (found at:this currently for centers only. Fire Marshalls will not come to family		
24	1 Safety	170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		homesplease amend and state "centers" only.	Disagree	Substantive
					3(f) Fireplaces, woodstoves, or similar wood burning heating devices. Chimneys, fireplaces, gas burning fireplaces, wood		
					stoves or similar wood-burning devices must be inspected annually by a state or locally certified inspector, unless the		
	Environment -				provider submits to the department a written statement that the chimney, fireplace, wood stove or similar word-burning		
25	Safety	170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		device will not be used at any time this will cost providers a small fortune.	Disagree	Commentary
					(3) Unfiltered wading pools must be inaccessible to enrolled children. "Wading pool" means a pool that has a water depth of		
					less than two feet (24 inches). A portable wading pool is one that is formed of molded plastic or inflatable parts, and can be		
					removed after usea wading pool with less than 2 feet of water should be allowed with supervision. This needs to be		
	Environment -				written BACK INTO WAC so children can participate in activities that brings them job. DEL tried to take this away before and		
26	Safety	170-300-0175 Water hazard	ds No		they let it back inLET IT BACK!!	Disagree	Substantive
					In proposed WAC 170-300-0170 3.b.iii. states An appliance or heating device that has a surface capable of burning a child or		
					reaching 110 degrees Fahrenheit must be inaccessible to children in care. This proposed WAC alone will cause many In Home		
	Environment -				Child Cares to have to close. EVERY home has a stove to cook with. Most homes these days are built with an open floor plan.		
27	7 Safety	170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		There would be no way to completely make these inaccessible to children.	Disagree	Commentary
					I feel that WAC 170.300.0170 is not conducive to many childcare centers. This will cause many in home centers go close		
	Environment -				doors, which adds overages in business centers. I as a parent must have childcare and this rule will cause me to relocate my		
28	Safety	170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		child, add additional costs to my family, if not cause myself or husband to lose hours, have to quit our jobs.	Disagree	Commentary
					Changing the policy would severely limit in home day cares ability to operate. Many homes have open floor plans where the		
					food prep and family living area are combined. If this policy change happens it will force many in-home daycares out of		
					business and put the community in distress for high quality child care. Spaces for children are already limited and if any more		
	Environment -				facilities close I will not be able to afford the increase in rates for the remaining facilities. Please consider the larger impact		
29	Safety	170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		on the community and do not enact the changes.	Disagree	Commentary
		•			•		•
					1. This needs to be revised to be feasible for family home child cares. 2. Small candles should be allowed to be used under		
	Environment -				close supervision, with established safety precautions, particularly for celebrations/holidays. How do children learn safe		
30	Safety	170-300-0170 Fire safety	No		practices with small flames if they do not get to practice? This is not a fire hazard if it is done with care and attention.	Disagree	Substantive
	Jaiety	170-300-0170 Fire salety	INU		practices with small names if they do not get to practice: This is not a me nazaru if it is done with tare and attention.	pisagiee	Junstantive

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
Ca	ategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
Er	nvironment -						
Fo	ood and	170-300-0186 Food allergies					
1 N	utrition	and special dietary needs	No		Proposed WAC 170-300-0186 adds great policies for children with allergies	Agree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -				I do not feel that it is our responsibility, nor do we have the time to offer daily tooth brushing. Tooth brushing is		
Fo	ood and	170-300-0180 Meal and			recommended twice a day, parents can do this in the morning and at night. Requiring this would take away valuable		
2 N	utrition	snack schedule	No		program time.	Disagree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -	170-300-0190 Parent or			Are providers not allowed to have parents provide lunches anymore? If we do we need to have a Written Food Plan for each		
	ood and	guardian provided food and			and every child in our care? Our families enjoy packing their child's lunch. I don't feel its appropriate to have every childcare		
_	utrition	Written Food Plans	No		on the USDA food program.	Neutral	Commentary
	nvironment -						
	ood and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			WAC 170-300 Young children need no more than 4 oz. of juice/d. Older children should have no more than 6-8 oz. Please talk		
_	utrition	and food	No		to Adrienne about this. She is the expert. Please listen to her and do what she advises. Thanks!	Disagree	Commentary
	nvironment -						
	ood and	170-300-0180 Meal and			I feel that tooth brushing is important, BUT I do not feel it our responsibility to brush the children's teeth every day. I think		
_	utrition	snack schedule	No		that having something in place allowing it is good, but not requiring it.	Disagree	Commentary
	nvironment -						
	ood and	170-300-0180 Meal and			It is busy enough after meal times with diapering/potties/handwashing then throw in tooth brushing. Parents should be		
6 N	utrition	snack schedule	No		responsible for brushing their child's teeth. Then there's the repeated expense of toothbrushes/toothpaste	Disagree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -				WAC 170 300 0185 DO NOT FEEL NECESSITY OF WEEKLY OR MONTHLY MENU TO PARENTS AS DEPENDING ON DAY AND A		
	ood and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			CHANGE IN MENU OCCURS THEN YOU HAVE TO NOTIFY PARENTRS PARENTS ARE AWA RE OG YTHE FOOD AND DIGGERENT		
7 N	utrition	and food	No		DAYS AND WEEKS IT MAY BE CHANGE DEPENDING ON ACTIVITIES WEATHER OR JUST LTERINT THE MENU	Disagree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -				170-300-0180(3) We should not be required to do toothbrushing with the children. Health and healthy practices are things		
Fo	ood and	170-300-0180 Meal and			we talk about with the children, but we should not be held responsible for making sure their teeth get brushed at least once		
8 N	utrition	snack schedule	No		a day.	Disagree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -						
Fo	ood and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			This proposed WAC requires that parents be provided with the menu and dates it applies to. I assume that if a menu is		
9 N	utrition	and food	No		posted each week, then the WAC is being adhered to.	Agree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -				WAC 170-300-0180 Meal and snack schedule, item 3 deals with tooth brushing. Providers should not be required to provide/store toothbrushes and should not be required to have the children brush their teeth. Most adults brush their teeth at home upon waking up and prior to going to bed. This is a parental responsibility and it should not fall on the ever growing list of duties for child care providers. These WAC's are meant to be MINIMUM LICENSING REQUIREMENTS, not "best		
Fo	ood and	170-300-0180 Meal and			practice" and this is only being added because of the alignment with ECEAP who is already required to do tooth brushing.		
10 N	utrition	snack schedule	No		Providers are not ECEAP and have neither the time, the extra staffing, or funding that ECEAP enjoys.	Disagree	Commentary
Er	nvironment -						-
Fo	ood and	170-300-0186 Food allergies			170-300-0186 Based on the proposed requirements, are there any regulations preventing a provider from refusing to care		
11 N	utrition	and special dietary needs	No		for children with food allergies?	Neutral	Other
	nvironment - ood and	170-300-0190 Parent or guardian provided food and			170-300-0190 I don't think providers should be required to supplement food brought from home. A parent has the right to feed their children as they see fit. Supplementing food brought from home facilitates over eating, unless providers are required to take away the food brought from home. Also, in the matter of birthdays, restricting food to store bought is a		
12 N	utrition	Written Food Plans	No		hardship for low income families who cannot afford the high price of store bought cupcakes for everyone.	Disagree	Commentary
	nvironment -		-		An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system weight 5 is attached to WAC 170-300-0195, items (3) (g) on Food service, equipment, and practices. This section of the WAC requires that providers "sit with children during meals and snacks and engage in pleasant conversation" and yes, that is best practice yet there are situations that arise that require a staff member get up and assist children for a variety of reasons. A licensor would be able to " at their discretion" write up a provider that is not sitting, and if this occurs three times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE and technical assistance. This		,
Er					,		
	ood and	170-300-0195 Food service,			is another example of over-regulation, especially since this is a scenario that does not impact the safety and well-being of		

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	Ŭ	Comments	ef	Comment Type
-	Environment -						7,00
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			170-300-0180 (3): Toothbrushing is the parents' job to enforce in the mornings and at night. I could not in good conscience		
14	Nutrition	snack schedule	Yes	1,5	require yet another step for my busy teachers especially during the transition time after meals. This is just simply too much.	Disagree	Commentary
					Child care providers should not be expected to brush children's teeth. This is a parental responsibility. I have been reading		
	Environment -				each and every WAC on this list and becoming more and more flabbergasted at the mountain of duties expected of us. I		
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			would love to see a glimpse of the day of the Wonderwoman who is able to complete all these tasks without a ECEAP sized		
15	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		staff to back her up.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0190 I guess I'm not truly understanding this! A center is allowed to require that food be provided by each family		
					for their child but at the same time must also keep a fully stocked kitchen in the event that someone forgets their		
	Environment -	170-300-0190 Parent or			vegetables? I have been considering for a while now to switch to a family provided meal service (due to our continually		
	Food and	guardian provided food and			raising wage costs) but this puts me in between a rock and a hard place. I feel like this is basically saying "yes you can do		
16	Nutrition	Written Food Plans	No		this"; but "no you can't".	Disagree	Commentary
	1				170-300-0198 states(5) An early learning provider may use the kitchen for supervised cooking or food preparation activities		
	1				with children in care, THIS STATEMENT MIGHT LEAD LICENSORS AND PROVIDERS TO THINK CHILDREN SHOULD NOT BE		
	1				ALLOWED TO ENTER THE KITCHEN AREA. MANY FAMILY HOMES HAVE THEIR KITCHEN INSPECTED AS LICENSED SPACE. FOR		
	1				FAMILY HOMES THE CURRENT WAC 170-296A7750(3) The licensee may use the kitchen for other child care activities		
	Environment -				provided there is continual supervision of the children. HOW ABOUT MODIFYING AND COMBINING: The licensee may use		
	Food and	170-300-0198 Food			the kitchen for cooking or food preparation activities with children in care,and other child care activities provided there is		
17	Nutrition	preparation areas	No		continual supervision of the children.	Neutral	Substantive
					170-300-0180 We should not have to provide a snack when those children that leave by 5 and after will be going home to		
	Environment -				eat dinner. A parent would not want a child to refuse dinner because they have had a snack. We also can state in our policy		
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			that a parent has the right to ask that tooth brushing be provided but to older children that can do that themselves. It would		
18	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		take a large amt. of time to help each child in a larger center after each meal.	Disagree	Commentary
19	Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0195 Food service, equipment, and practices	No		So does a home provider have to close at the end of the day until she can have the dishwasher fixed or purchase and have the dishwasher installed? What documentation has DEL collected in this state that children in Washington State Home Childcares have been harmed/made ill at a higher rate than a center if the family home does not have a dishwasher? I would think they are healthier as they do not have many caretakers. They have one maybe two if it's a large Family Home.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				Refrigerator's are no longer entrapment hazards. This was corrected in the late 1950s. Caring For Our Children only mentions		
	Food and	170-300-0198 Food			refrigerators in regards to food prep, storage, and cleaning. This Entrapment statement is not supported by the CPSC or		
20	Nutrition	preparation areas	No		Caring for Our Children.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment - Food and Nutrition Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0180 Meal and snack schedule 170-300-0180 Meal and snack schedule	No	1,5	The section on tooth brushing states must. In DEL language that means it has to happen but later it say's with parental permission? "An early learning provider must offer daily opportunities after a meal or snack for developmentally appropriate tooth brushing activities that are safe, sanitary, educational and with parental permission". So can parents and providers opt out? How about if they opt out they are a no juice facility? If there is a 1 to 10 staff ratio the caretaker will need to take approx. 4-5 minutes confirming the right toothbrush and toothpaste. Logging the toothpaste on a medication log. Making sure the child brushes for 2 minutes puts the tooth brush and tooth paste in an inaccessible location, change disposable latex gloves and then move on to another child. So this will be approx. 40 to 50 minutes aday and supervision will be compromised towards the other children, So I am seeing this is only 1X a day. 40-50 minutes of not being guided in learning activities because the early learning professional is supervising and or brushing a child teeth. Does DEL and EA really want to loose 40-50 minutes of time that could be spent on Learning?"Caring for our Children States "Children whose teeth are properly brushed with fluoride toothpaste at home twice a day and are at low risk for dental caries may be exempt since additional brushing with fluoride toothpaste may expose a child to excess fluoride toothpaste." If I understand how this is written: if a parent provideds the equipment we must allow them to have the opportunity for the children to brush their teeth. If it will be a requirement I agree that this is a time consuming step, but I also think it is difficult to eliminate cross contamination. Dentist recommend twice a day and I feel this should be the guardians responsibility not the teachers.	Disagree Neutral	Commentary
	Nutrition Environment -	snack schedule	res	1,5	the teachers.	neutrai	Commentary
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			Although it will take time for my staff to get used to, I feel like the tooth brushing is a good idea. I used to do it with my		
າວ	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		children in my class while they were washing hands after breakfast. When made part of the daily routine, it is simple.	Agree	Commentary
	NUUTUUII	SHACK SCHEUUIE	INU		children in my class while they were washing hands after breakfast. When made part of the daily routine, it is simple.	Agree	Commentary

		Weighted WACCom	•		ConcurType	
CategoryTit		ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
Environmer						
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and					
24 Nutrition	snack schedule	No		Already do this!	Agree	Commentary
Environmer						
Food and	170-300-0186 Food allergies					
25 Nutrition	and special dietary needs	No		Looks good.	Agree	Commentary
				We only allow parents to provide food when their child has an allergy that prevents them from eating our food.		
Environmer				Supplementing their food in these cases would not be safe, as our food may be cross contaminated or unsafe for that child.		
Food and	guardian provided food and			Feeding them our food because the parent forgot a vegi for the day could cause the child serious harm, which would then be		
26 Nutrition	Written Food Plans	No		our fault.	Disagree	Commentary
Environmer	t -					
Food and	170-300-0195 Food service,					
27 Nutrition	equipment, and practices	No		Love it	Agree	Commentary
				170-300-0180 Item 3. Change the "must offer" to "may offer" for tooth brushing. Each additional requirement for paperwork		
Environmer	t -			and procedures adds to the physical and administrative work load for child care centers and increases the likelihood of		
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			centers closing and persons avoiding the careers in child care in our state. It also adds to the likelihood of penalties and fines		
28 Nutrition	snack schedule	No		for centers.	Disagree	Substantive
Environmer	t -					
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and					
29 Nutrition	snack schedule	No		Am I blind??? I don't se any mention of lunch???	Neutral	Commentary
				if you are telling us that "Meals, snack foods, and beverages provided to children in care must comply with the requirements		
Environmer	t -			contained in the most current edition of the USDA Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)."; then why are you telling us		
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			"(7) An early learning provider must serve a fruit or vegetable as one of the two required components during at least one		
30 Nutrition	snack schedule	No		snack per day.";if this is required then it should be in the CACFP handbook.	Disagree	Substantive
Environmer	t -					
Food and	170-300-0186 Food allergies					
31 Nutrition	and special dietary needs	No		is DEL supplying providers with this (The Individual Care Plan)form?	Disagree	Other
Environmer	t -			(7) Early learning program staff must review each child's Individual Care Plan for food allergies prior to serving food to		
Food and	170-300-0186 Food allergies			children.???? everyday??? You require us to post a list so if they need to look at this listI understandbut review each ICP		
32 Nutrition	and special dietary needs	No		2 or 4 times a day is impossible when they need to be kept in the child's file	Disagree	Commentary
Environmer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•
Food and	guardian provided food and					
33 Nutrition	Written Food Plans	No		Is DEL supplying these "Written Food Plan"???	Neutral	Other
Environmer						
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and					
34 Nutrition	snack schedule	Yes	1,5	(1) (v) Add: A breakfast or morning snack must be available to children in care in the "morning".	Agree	Substantive
Environmer			2,0	1-7(-7) and 1-3-connected monthly student material community and a monthly student monthly student materials and student monthly student materials and student monthly student	7.6.00	Sassantire
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			All WAC's related to food and nutrition should be rated a level #6 for consistency. It is confusing to see them rated and		
35 Nutrition	snack schedule	Yes	1,5	differing levels with no clear criteria as to why.	Agree	Substantive
33 Nutrition	Shack seriedate	103	1,3	Recommend that all WAC's that address nutrition for infants or children be rated at least a 6 for consistency and	7 Gree	Substantive
Environmer	+_			acknowledgement of importance to health and development. The weighting now rates nutrition regulations for infants		
Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			higher than those for children over 12 months with no rationale given. Nutritious and age-appropriate food for all children is		
36 Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	essential for growth and development	Disagree	Substantive
30 1400110011	and 1000	103	1,0	170-300-0180 Meal and snack schedule Regarding: (3) An early learning provider must offer daily opportunities after a meal	PISUELCC	Jubacantive
				or snack for developmentally appropriate tooth brushing activities that are safe, sanitary, educational and with parental		
				permission. Toothbrushes must be stored in a manner that prevents cross contamination. Toothbrushing should NOT be a		
F				mandated activity in childcare settings. The time that this would take to properly implement and the sanitation practices		
Environmer				needed to properly support would take much time. The waiting period for the children as peers completed the task would be		
Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			challenging for these ages. The wording seems to imply after snack and meal. Does that mean that DEL expects after every		
37 Nutrition	snack schedule	No		food opportunity? Public schools are not required to do this.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	M. t. b d			
	C	e he attack	WACCom	_		ConcurTypeD	
Ħ	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	wacvalue		ef	Comment Type
	Environment -				Toothbrushing I cannot imagine in a full preschool program all kids waiting for each other to complete a true toothbrush regimen. That does not seem age appropriate expectation. Will they be allowed to use the handwash sinks for this task? Will		
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			educators be expected to sanitize the sink in-between every use? How can this possibly be acheived in a truly sanitary		
20	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		method?	Disagroo	Commontany
30	Nutrition	Strack scriedule	INO		The issue I have is that the Washington State Food and Beverage Workers' Manual does not pertain to homes. We do not	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				·		
	Food and	170-300-0195 Food service,			have commercial kitchenswe are homes and we do not need to serve the children wearing glovessure, we can do the things pertaining to the food and serializationbut I disagree with wearing cloves etc. I serve 6 kidsnot 6060 I can		
20	Nutrition	equipment, and practices	No		understandbut not 12 eitherCenters can do this family homes NO!	Disagree	Commentary
39	Environment -	equipment, and practices	INU		3(g) Sit with children during meals and snacks and engage in pleasant conversation, if family style dining is not	Disagree	Commentary
	Food and	170-300-0195 Food service,			possible;this is not always possible. You have us doing too many other things for this to happen. State "when possible";		
40	Nutrition	equipment, and practices	No		but I don't see this happening with the hundreds of other things you are forcing us to do.	Disagree	Substantive
40	Environment -	equipment, and practices	INO		but i don't see this happening with the numerous of other things you are forcing us to do.	Disagree	Substantive
	Food and	170-300-0197 Safe food					
11			Voc	E 6 7	all weights should be removed	Disagroo	Cubetantivo
41	Nutrition Environment -	practices	Yes	5,6,7	all weights should be removed. Regarding 170-300-0180(3): Brushing children's teeth after every meal time is one of those things that sounds great in	Disagree	Substantive
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			theory but in practice is a logistical nightmare. I do not expect day care centers to take care of this when a morning brushing		
42	Nutrition	snack schedule	No			Disagras	Commentary
42	Nutrition	Strack Scriedure	INU		170-300-0180. No to tooth brushing. Isn't this a Head Start requirement? This WAC seems to think that all parents are not	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				educated/responsible enough to have children brush their teeth or take them to the dentist. This center and others have		
	Food and				highly educated parents. Tooth brushing takes too long especially with younger children, who need assistance, leaving the		
12	Nutrition	170 200 0180 Meel and char	d No		other adult to supervise the rest of the group.	Disagras	Commentary
43	Environment -	170-300-0180 Meal and snac	K INO		other addit to supervise the rest of the group.	Disagree	Commentary
	Food and				170-300-0185 - menus to meet USDA standards - weighted at 5. If all menus are required to be reviewed by a registered		
11	Nutrition	170-300-0185 Menus, milk, a	v No			Disagree	Commentary
44	Nutrition	170-300-0183 Wellus, Illik, a	II INO		dietitali-Adrienie - their menus will be ok so no weight	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0186. I agree with most and I see the importance of knowing which child would have a food reaction, but to pull out		
					a plan and review it EVERYTIME food is being served? Who has time to do that when you are washing their hands, sitting		
	Environment -				them down for a meal, supervising them eating then one teacher reviewing the plan leaves the other teacher to make		
	Food and				sure no one is choking/throwing food, etc. What is the purpose of the required confidential food allergy/intolerances posted		
45	Nutrition	170 200 0186 Food allorgies	r No			Disagree	Commentary
43	Nutrition	170-300-0186 Food allergies	c INO		170-300-0190 - supplementing food for child with food allergies/intolerances. For providers to have a well stocked closet of	Disagree	Commentary
					different foods for specific children will add another cost to your proposed WACS. If we have catered lunches - that means		
	Environment -				no kitchen - that means no cook (Who will prepare this? Teachers can't) and how to prepare food if we can't use a		
	Food and				microwave. Parents need to be responsible and get into the habit of providing food for their child as they will need to do this		
16	Nutrition	170-300-0190 Parent or guar	ı. No			Disagras	Commentary
40	Nutrition	170-300-0190 Parent or guar	UNO		•	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0195 - food service. Why change the WAC from being ok with serving a snack on a paper towel to needing a plate or		
					tray -who's going to wash at the end of the day? Food handler's card? If teachers are using gloves or tongs and don't touch		
					food with bare hands and are not responsible for dishing up food (to check quality/food temps/piece sizes) or are receiving		
					others foods and making sure it is stored properly then why does everyone need a food handlers card? Another \$10 expense		
	Environmon+				per employee. When a child has spilled food all over themselves or wet themselves and needs assistance AWAY FROM THE		
	Environment -				TABLE - how can one sit at the table with other children during meal times. I get it -it's to promote language/prevent		
47	Food and	170 200 010E Food comit	a. No		choking, etc. but in the real world of childcare one cannot always sit thru a whole meal. Then to have these weighted a 6 and	Dicagras	Commontoni
4/	Nutrition	170-300-0195 Food service, 6	E INO		5? Really??	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
40	Food and	470 200 0400 5	• • •	467	All weights acades to accessed	D '	College
48	Nutrition	170-300-0198 Food preparat	ıı res	4,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -						
40	Food and Nutrition	170 200 0106 5	Vos	670	All weights need to be removed	Disper-	Cubstantius
49	ιναιτιιιση	170-300-0196 Food sources	res	6,7,8	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurTypeI	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	_	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -				We do not feel programs should be forced to implement USDA child and adult care food program. Centers should be able to		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			regulate amounts served based on the needs of the children in their care. This eliminates waste and saves costs. The existing		
50	Nutrition	and food	No		WAC is sufficient in it's variety of foods required, while also allowing flexibility to centers.	Disagree	Commentary
					I come from an Early Head Start program in another state that was able to successfully do this with 18m-3yo children. Think		•
					outside the box there a creative ways to build this into routine and make it a valuable time for interaction while developing		
	Environment -				good habits for children. Pre-K oral health is vitally important and while this should be a habit built at home, the reality is		
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			that even the most effective parents can struggle with this. Offering another opportunity for children in the classroom can		
51	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		help the child link home and school.	Agree	Commentary
						- 18.00	,
					Developmentally appropriate tooth-brushing practices. Toothpaste may or may not be required, this would be dependent		
					upon a site that is privately owned, ECEAP/HS/EHS, or otherwise. Working with staff, coaching them to incorporate tooth-		
					brushing into their daily routine is not quite the crisis situation it is be portrayed as. There are many ways to incorporate		
					group tooth-brushing in a classroom of small children, including toddlers, in a safe, sanitary, effective way. There are several		
	Environment -				tooth-brushing curriculums as well as online ideas for activities to make this work in all of our classrooms. Incorporating		
	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and			· · ·		
E 2	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		tooth-brushing does not imply parents are not knowledgeable or capable of the task at home, it shows care for a child's health and well being by promoting oral health and supporting lessons being learned in the home.	Agree	Commentary
32	Nutrition	SHack Schedule	INU			Agree	Commentary
					While previous drafts of the proposed WAC limited the serving of processed meats and fried foods, the current version does		
					not include such language. It is important that language be added back in that limits the consumption of processed meats,		
					fried and pre-fried foods, which are associated with inappropriate weight gain in children . The 2013 Washington State		
					Survey of Nutrition and Physical Activity Child Care showed that fried and processed foods are frequently served to children.		
					It is important that children in care are regularly eating nutritious food that supports their physical and cognitive		
					development. We ask that language be added into WAC 170-300-0185 that limits consumption of such food. The language		
					from the December 2016 draft WAC would meet national standards by stating that: An early learning provider must limit		
					serving the following to no more than once per week across all eating occasions: (a) Processed meats such as hot dogs, corn		
					dogs, or sausage. (b) Fried or pre-fried and breaded meats or fish such as chicken nuggets, chicken strips, or fish sticks; and		
	Environment -				(c) Fried or pre-fried potatoes such as tator tots, french fries, hash browns, or potato chips. In addition, in order to be		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			consistent with other nutrition standards we recommend this new language regarding processed meats and fried foods be		
53	Nutrition	and food	No		weighted at a 6.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -				The new proposed WAC 170-300-0185(1) & Description (2) would meet national target standards by requiring that all meals, snack		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			foods, and beverages be compliant with the most current editions of the USDA CACFP meal pattern. We strongly support		
54	Nutrition	and food	No		WAC 170-300-0185(1) & Damp; (2) as written and ask that this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
						- 18.00	,
					While the proposed language relating to meeting national nutrition standards is very strong in that programs are required to		
					serve food that is compliant with the most current editions of the USDA CACFP meal pattern, we are concerned that the		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Facility and a set				weighting of this standard is low and is also inconsistent with the healthy eating standard for infants (weight = 6). The		
	Environment -				potential detrimental effects of eating unhealthy food does not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler,		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185 (1) & (2) be weighted		
55	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	at a 6, the weight assigned to the nutrition standards for infants.	Disagree	Substantive
					The proposed language relating to permitted beverages is fairly strong in that WAC 170-300-0185(5) states that providers		
					are only allowed to serve water, milk, or 100% fruit or vegetable juice. We strongly support this language but request two		
					small additions be made: • Add the word "unflavored" before milk: While flavored milk is not permitted for kids under age		
					5undertheCACFPmealpattern,previousdraftsoftheproposedWACincludedspecificlanguageprohibitingprovidersfromgraphic and the contract of the co		
					serving flavored milk. The current version does not include such language. To ensure clarity on this issue, we recommend the	!	
					language for WAC 170-300-0185(5) should read "An early learning provider must only serve water, unflavored milk or		
	Environment -				100% fruit or vegetable juice― Inserting the word "unflavored" is in alignment with CACFP and makes the standard more		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			clear and explicit for providers. • Clarify that this section is speaking to beverages: To ensure clarity of intent, we		
56	Nutrition	and food	No		recommend that "as a beverage" be added to the end of WAC 170-300-0185(5).	Disagree	Substantive
	1						

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurTypeI)
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	_	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			We are concerned that the weighting of WAC 170-300-0185(5) relating to permitted beverages is inconsistent with other nutrition standards. While allowing sugar sweetened beverages or other unhealthy drinks once might not have a dramatic impact, the cumulative impact on a child's physical and oral health can be significant. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185(5) be weighted at a 6 in order to be consistent with other nutrition standards and also recognize the long-term effects of	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
57	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	unhealthy beverages.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0185 Menus, milk, and food	No		The current proposed WAC 170-300-0185(6) makes significant progress towards meeting national standards relating to limiting consumption of juice. As written it prohibits serving juice to infants under 12 months, and limits 100% fruit juice to a higher allowance of no more than 4-6 ounces per day for children between one and six years old, and 8-12 ounces per day for children seven through twelve years old. However, new guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommend that intake of juice should be limited to no more than 4 ounces per day for toddlers 1-3 years of age, 4-6 ounces for children ages 4-6 years, and 8 ounces per day for children 7-18 years of age. The reason for these updated, evidence-based guidelines from AAP is due to the high sugar content in juice, which contributes to inappropriate weight gain and risk of dental issues. WAC 170-300-0185(6) should be strengthened to meet this new guidance from AAP.		Substantive
	Nutrition	and rood	INU			Disagree	Substantive
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			While the proposed language for WAC 170-300-0185(6) makes good progress towards limiting juice consumption, we are concerned with the inconsistency of having the weight regarding juice for infants being 6 but for all other ages being 1. The potential detrimental effects of juice do not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler, nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185(6) be weighted at a 6, the weight		
59	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	assigned to the infant juice standards.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			The proposed WAC 170-300-0185(7) would meet national target standards relating to serving fruits and vegetables by saying an early learning provider must serve a fruit or vegetable as one of the two required components during at least one snack per day. We strongly support the language for WAC 170-300-0185(7) as written and ask this language to be included in the		
60	Nutrition	and food	No		final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			While the proposed language relating to requiring a fruit or vegetable to be served as part of snacks is very strong, we are concerned with the weighting being so low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over time creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, having this standard weighted at 1 creates an inconsistency with other nutrition standards. The potential detrimental effects of poor nutrition do not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler, nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185(7) be		
61	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	weighted at a 6, the weight assigned to the infant nutrition standards.	Disagree	Substantive
62	Environment - Food and	170-300-0195 Food service,	No		While the new proposed WAC does not require food to be served family style, which is a national recommended standard, it does make progress by including language that: • Specifically lists family style as a method for serving children. • Says providers should sit with children during meals if family style dining is not possible. We support this language and ask it to be maintained in the final WAC.		Commentary
62	Nutrition	equipment, and practices	No		maintained in the final WAC. While the proposed language for WAC 170-300-0195(3) makes good progress towards encouraging family style eating, we	Agree	Commentary
63	Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0195 Food service, equipment, and practices	Yes	5,6	are concerned with the inconsistency of having the weight regarding nutrition standards encouraging family style eating, we are concerned with the inconsistency of having the weight regarding nutrition standards for infants being 6 but for this nutrition standard being weighted at 5. The potential detrimental effects of poor nutrition habits do not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler, nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0195(3) be weighted at a 6, the weight assigned to the infant nutrition standards.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0185 Menus, milk, and food	No		While previous drafts of the proposed WAC limited the serving of processed meats and fried foods, the current version does not include such language. It is important that language be added back in that limits the consumption of processed meats, fried and pre-fried foods, which are associated with inappropriate weight gain in children. The 2013 Washington State Survey of Nutrition and Physical Activity Child Care showed that fried and processed foods are frequently served to children. It is important that children in care are regularly eating nutritious food that supports their physical and cognitive development. We ask that language be added into WAC 170-300-0185 that limits consumption of such food. The language from the December 2016 draft WAC would meet national standards by stating that: An early learning provider must limit serving the following to no more than once per week across all eating occasions: (a) Processed meats such as hot dogs, corn dogs, or sausage. (b) Fried or pre-fried and breaded meats or fish such as chicken nuggets, chicken strips, or fish sticks; and (c) Fried or pre-fried potatoes such as tator tots, french fries, hash browns, or potato chips.	Disagree	Substantive

	Cata nam Titla	Colorations		Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
Ħ	CategoryTitle Environment -	SubSections	ment	wacvalue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,					
65	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	In addition, in order to be consistent with other nutrition standards we recommend this new language be weighted at a 6.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -				The new proposed WAC 170-300-0185(1) & (2) would meet national target standards by requiring that all meals, snack		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			foods, and beverages be compliant with the most current editions of the USDA CACFP meal pattern. We strongly support		
66	Nutrition	and food	No		WAC 170-300-0185(1) & (2) as written and ask that this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
					While the proposed language relating to meeting national nutrition standards is very strong in that programs are required to		
					serve food that is compliant with the most current editions of the USDA CACFP meal pattern, we are concerned that the		
					weighting of this standard is low and is also inconsistent with the healthy eating standard for infants (weight = 6). The		
	Environment -				potential detrimental effects of eating unhealthy food does not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler,		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk.			nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185 (1) & (2) be weighted		
67	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	at a 6, the weight assigned to the nutrition standards for infants.	Disagree	Substantive
					The proposed language relating to permitted beverages is fairly strong in that WAC 170-300-0185(5) states that providers		
					are only allowed to serve water, milk, or 100% fruit or vegetable juice. We strongly support this language but request two		
					small additions be made: • Add the word "unflavored†before milk: While flavored milk is not permitted for kids		
					under age 5 under the CACFP meal pattern, previous drafts of the proposed WAC included specific language prohibiting		
					providers from serving flavored milk. The current version does not include such language. To ensure clarity on this issue, we		
					recommend the language for WAC 170-300-0185(5) should read "An early learning provider must only serve water,		
	Environment -				unflavored milk or 100% fruit or vegetable juice"• Inserting the word "unflavored" is in alignment with CACFP and makes the		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			standard more clear and explicit for providers. Clarify that this section is speaking to beverages: To ensure clarity of intent,		
68	Nutrition	and food	No		we recommend that "as a beverage�e added to the end of WAC 170-300-0185(5).	Agree	Substantive
					We are concerned that the weighting of WAC 170-300-0185(5) relating to permitted beverages is inconsistent with other		
	F				nutrition standards. While allowing sugar sweetened beverages or other unhealthy drinks once might not have a dramatic		
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			impact, the cumulative impact on a child's physical and oral health can be significant. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185(5)		
60	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	be weighted at a 6 in order to be consistent with other nutrition standards and also recognize the long-term effects of unhealthy beverages.	Disagree	Substantive
09	Nutrition	and 1000	163	1,3	unificatiny beverages.	Disagree	Substantive
					The current proposed WAC 170-300-0185(6) makes significant progress towards meeting national standards relating to		
					limiting consumption of juice. As written it prohibits serving juice to infants under 12 months, and limits 100% fruit juice to a		
					higher allowance of no more than 4-6 ounces per day for children between one and six years old, and 8-12 ounces per day		
					for children seven through twelve years old. However, new guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)		
					recommend that intake of juice should be limited to no more than 4 ounces per day for toddlers 1-3 years of age, 4-6 ounces		
	Environment -				for children ages 4-6 years, and 8 ounces per day for children 7-18 years of age. The reason for these updated, evidence-		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			based guidelines from AAP is due to the high sugar content in juice, which contributes to inappropriate weight gain and risk		
70	Nutrition	and food	No		of dental issues. WAC 170-300-0185(6) should be strengthened to meet this new guidance from AAP.	Disagree	Substantive
					While the proposed language for WAC 170-300-0185(6) makes good progress towards limiting juice consumption, we are		
					concerned with the inconsistency of having the weight regarding juice for infants being 6 but for all other ages being 1. The		
	Environment -				potential detrimental effects of juice do not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler, nor should the		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185(6) be weighted at a 6, the weight		
71	Nutrition	and food	No		assigned to the infant juice standards.	Disagree	Substantive
					The proposed WAC 170-300-0185(7) would meet national target standards relating to serving fruits and vegetables by saying		
	Environment -				an early learning provider must serve a fruit or vegetable as one of the two required components during at least one snack		
72	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,	No		per day. We strongly support the language for WAC 170-300-0185(7) as written and ask this language to be included in the	Agraa	Commort
	Nutrition	and food	No		final WAC.	Agree	Commentary

			Weighted WACCom	Weighted		ConcurType	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	Ŭ	Comments	ef	Comment Type
TT .	categorymae	Subsections	ment	wacvaluc	While the proposed language relating to requiring a fruit or vegetable to be served as part of snacks is very strong, we are concerned with the weighting being so low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over time creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, having this standard weighted at 1 creates an inconsistency with other	CI	comment type
	Environment -				nutrition standards. The potential detrimental effects of poor nutrition do not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages		
	Food and	170-300-0185 Menus, milk,			into a toddler, nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We recommend WAC 170-300-0185(7) be		
73	Nutrition	and food	Yes	1,5	weighted at a 6, the weight assigned to the infant nutrition standards.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0195 Food service,		•	While the new proposed WAC does not require food to be served family style, which is a national recommended standard, it does make progress by including language that: Specifically lists family style as a method for serving children. Says providers should sit with children during meals if family style dining is not possible. We support this language and ask it to be		
74	Nutrition	equipment, and practices	No			Agree	Commentary
	Environment - Food and	170-300-0195 Food service,			While the proposed language for WAC 170-300-0195(3) makes good progress towards encouraging family style eating, we are concerned with the inconsistency of having the weight regarding nutrition standards for infants being 6 but for this nutrition standard being weighted at 5. The potential detrimental effects of poor nutrition habits do not suddenly diminish just because an infant ages into a toddler, nor should the importance and weight of this WAC become lower. We		,
75	Nutrition	equipment, and practices	Yes	5,6	recommend WAC 170-300-0195(3) be weighted at a 6, the weight assigned to the infant nutrition standards.	Disagree	Substantive
76	Environment - Food and Nutrition Environment -	170-300-0180 Meal and snack schedule	No		Regarding #1b-I do not think it is necessary to feed children a 4th meal if they are at daycare for over 9 hours. 3 snacks/meals in a 10 hour day is sufficient. If you follow #2 and feed children every 2 to 3 hours, a 4th meal/snack is not needed. Regarding #2- The words (unless sleeping) should be added to the sentence "Meals and snacks must be served not less than two hours and not more than three hours apart (unless children are sleeping). Regarding #3-Tooth brushing should be the parents responsibility. It is too difficult and time consuming to accomplish this efficiently during childcare hours. This WAC is supposed to be about food and nutrition. Toothbrushing is neither. If there is a WAC about toothbrushing, it should be in hygiene or its own, not hidden within "meal and snack schedule". Toothbrushing should not be a "must" at a	Disagree	Substantive
77	Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and	No		child care. It is fine to offer it as a "may";, but requiring this is not appropriate. Please revise this to be an option, not a	Disagras	Cubstantivo
//	Nutrition Environment - Food and	snack schedule 170-300-0195 Food service,	INU		requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
78	Nutrition	equipment, and practices	No		What is wrong with napkins? We do not need to hurt our environment and use and wash plates for snacks.	Disagree	Commentary
79	Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0197 Safe food practices	No		7 (a) My understanding is that if I make a large batch of (example) lasagne, I may serve leftovers if it is not the same serving that I fed the day before. I would change the wording from "had not been served before" to had not been plated before.	Neutral	Substantive
80	Environment - Food and Nutrition	170-300-0185 Menus, milk, and food	No		170 300 0185 - I agree with the milk change, although believe unflavored milk should be served to all ages. What I have a problem with is the posting of menus. As a home daycare provider, I decide in the morning, what we will have that day. I am not an institution - I am a home. If a child requests tacos the next day, and we haven't had them in awhile, I make tacos. My parents are always welcome to check my binder to see what we had that day, but it is rare for me to decide ahead of time. Again - home childcare providers should NOT be lumped in with centers.	Disagree	Commentary
0.1	Environment - Food and	170-300-0180 Meal and	No		So it states we must have parental consent to brush childrens teeth. What is parents do not consent. This is a violation of the	Discours	Commenter
91	Nutrition	snack schedule	No		must brush rule you want to enforce.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
				Weight			
				edWac		ConcurType	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
1	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom	No		170-300-0220 #1(g) (v) In a family home child care how is it possible to make the bath inaccessible to the children that have to use the	Disagras	Commonton
	Environment -	space and toilet training 170-300-0221 Diaper	No		bathroom?	Disagree	Commentary
2		'	No		Handle to find Stand Un Dinner Changing Duned up form when slight on light	Neutral	Other
	nealth Practices	changing areas and disposal	NO		Unable to find Stand Up Diaper Changing Procedure form when click on link.	Neutrai	Other
	Environment -	170-300-0211 Children			For proposed WAC 170-300-0211 I think that Washington state should not allow religious or personal philosophy to be an acceptable		
3		exempt from immunizations	No		reason for exemption of immunization.	Neutral	Commentary
	riculti i ructices	exempt from infinitumentations	110		Proposed WAC 170-300-0220 I don't think that we should require prior consent to bathe a child. If a child in my care is dirty (such as	- Iteatral	commentary
					from an accident, diarrhea, vomit, etc.) I will bathe them. I don't think that it is acceptable to prevent the care of a child in need if a		
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			parent will not give permission. I understand that for children in overnight care, this may be different, and a nightly bath may be		
4		space and toilet training	No		commonplace and require permission, but there should be a distinction.	Disagree	Commentary
					In regards to proposed WAC 170-300-0225, I believe that exposing children to pets that are safe (immunized and non-aggressive) is a		
					learning opportunity, and should be encouraged. Having pets in the home has been shown to reduce the risk of allergies, and I feel like		
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			this WAC is a bit on the edge of pushing us to separate them from the children. I do not agree that there should be a punishment for		
5	Health Practices	animals	No		allowing infants and toddlers to interact with pets that have been vetted.	Neutral	Commentary
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,			Are we not allowed to exclude for lice and nits anymore? My center has a "nit free" policy. Children cannot attend until they are nit free.		,
	Environment -	and household member			This WAC sounds like we must wait until end of day to notify parents re: lice and they can come back as soon as they've had the first		
6	Health Practices	illness	No		treatment. If nits are still present are we allowed to exclude?	Neutral	Other
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,			We have a nit free policy. To have children/staff walking around with nits all day long and spreading them would be one continuous		
	Environment -	and household member			cycle. It's a hassel for classrooms to gather everything up to get rid of them the first time - then to have to do this continually would be		
7	Health Practices	illness	No		very frustrating	Disagree	Commentary
					Requiring that a hand-washing sink be within arms reach of the diaper-changer is unrealistic. Many centers have sinks just a foot or two		
					beyond arms reach. Many home providers cannot fit a diaper-changer into their bathroom. This particular change to the WAC would		
					require many centers do massive remodels. Who's going to pay for this new requirement? It seems the new MINIMUM LICENSING		
		170-300-0221 Diaper			STANDARDS are being overhauled and would need to be renamed "best practice". Yes, some centers were built with child		
8	Health Practices	changing areas and disposal	No		care in mind, but most are in churches or other buildings that have been modified to accommodate a child care facility.	Disagree	Commentary
	F	170 200 0211 Children			The proposed WAC regarding enrolling an unimmunized child includes the word "may" as in a provider "may" enroll a child without		
0	Environment -	170-300-0211 Children	N1-		immunizations if Are we to understand that the provider is still able to decline to enroll unimmunized children? Each center should be	Navenal	C
9		exempt from immunizations 170-300-0221 Diaper	NO		able to make that decision on whether to allow children that are not vaccinated for themselves, and not be required by the State.	Neutral	Commentary
10	Environment -	changing areas and disposal	No		Requiring a hand washing sink within arms reach of the diaper changing table may not be possible in some family home child cares. Some bathrooms are simply too small to put in a changing table.	Disagroo	Commentary
10	nealth Fractices	170-300-0205 Child, staff,	INU		Regarding the lice policy of notifying the parents at the end of the day, I do not agree with this. I would not be comfortable, nor would	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	and household member			any of my family or children's families be comfortable with a child spending the day with lice or nits in their hair. In a family home it is a		
11	Health Practices		No		huge amount of work to make sure that lice do not spread.	Disagree	Commentary
11	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom	110		Proposed WAC 170-300-0220 How does a family home childcare with a bathtub in the bathroom make the bathtub inaccessible to the	Disagree	commentary
12		space and toilet training	No		children when it is used by the provider and her family.	Neutral	Commentary
	Tredient Tuestees	space and tonet training			An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system weight 7 is attached to WAC 170-300-0200, items (4) (a) on Handwashing and		commentary
					hand sanitizer. That section of the WAC states that staff must wash their hands when arriving at workâ. I can imagine scenarios that		
					could distract a staff member from immediately washing their hands - families engage staff in conversation, a child is having a hard time		
					separating from their parent in the morning, or a child stumbles and bumps their head on something. Sometimes dealing with an		
					immediate issue could take priority over a staff member heading directly to a handwashing sink, yet if a licensor observes this ONE time		
					in 36 months â€" the license could be SUSPENDED or put in a probationary status, there will be a hefty fine (\$250 per day), technical		
					assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan! This penalty system is just so disappointing. We ALL can agree that if a child walks		
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			out the door of a facility there should be harsh penalties, but some of these weighed items being on equal basis of a serious supervision		
13	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		violation is unbelievable.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing					
14	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	Yes	6,7	All weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weight				
				Weight			
		0.10		edWac		ConcurTypeD	
Ħ	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments (2) An early learning provides must use hand entities cloth toward after a single use. Called and used toward must be increased by the	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			(2) An early learning provider must wash and sanitize cloth towels after a single use. Soiled and used towels must be inaccessible to childrenhow can we keep them inaccessible if the children must "Properly discard" are you expecting us to buy a lockingone way		
15		and hand sanitizer	No		onlytrashcan?? Do they exist? Children need to learn "life lessons";throw this WAC out.	Disagree	Substantive
13	nealth Fractices	and nand Samuzer	NO		You've got to be kidding meafter reading the hand washing sectionI can count several items you FORGOT to listyou can not list all	Disagree	Substantive
					of the time a provider will need to wash their hands. We would spend all of our time in the bathroom washing our hands and not		
					interacting with the children. We just might not interact with the children because we would have to wash our hands. We know we have		
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			to wash our handsit is impossible for you to list them all so why are you trying. A provider can not possible comply with this WAC and		
16		and hand sanitizer	No		care for the children at the same time.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,			(5) Unless covered under an individual care plan or protected by the ADA, an ill child, staff member, or other individual must be sent		
	Environment -	and household member			home or isolated from children in care if he or she has: (g) Open sores or wounds discharging bodily fluids; Children get "BOOBOOS"; all		
17	Health Practices	illness	No		the time and it "discharges bodily fluids" to a point. This needs to be revised to state "uncontrollable discharging bodily fluids"	Disagree	Substantive
					Parents are ALWAYS forgetting their child's recordsI do not believe that we need a letter from the parents stating that they will get		
	Environment -	170-300-0210			them immunizeda child will not suffer if they are late getting them. Why should the provider get "fined" for something a parent is		
18	Health Practices	Immunizations	No		responsible for.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0200 Handwashing and hand sanitizer - I feel that this weight is too much for this requirement. I think that handwashing is very		,
					important and it needs to happen, but in the day to day of childcare, it will NOT happen EVERY single time it needs to and the weight		
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			that is attached to it is unreasonable. I think if you make a reasonable attempt to handwash when ever is possible/required it should		
19	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		count.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0215 Managing and			3(b) Non-prescription medication.(i) A parent or guardian must label non-prescription medication withThere is no way everything can		
20	Health Practices	storing medication	No		fit on the labelwe currently have a form that lists these itemswhy must it be on the LABLE??? a filled out for is enough.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			We have never used hand sanitzers before, as we were told they were toxic and handwashing is always preferable. I like that we will be		
21	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		allowed to use it for field trips, now.	Agree	Commentary
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,					
	Environment -	and household member					
22	Health Practices		No		Looks good.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0215 Managing and					
23		storing medication	No		I feel like this section is a lot more detailed and clear than our current WAC. I like it.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom					
24	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		Looks good	Agree	Commentary
					It all looks good except having a handwashing sink within arms reach of the diaper table and the 2 feet of non-carpeted flooring. Our		
	Environment -	170-300-0221 Diaper			diaper sinks are close, but not that close. And as mentioned earlier, we would have to rip up carpet in one classroom to follow the 2 feet		
25		changing areas and disposal	No		rule. The carpeting would be easier to accomplish than the sinks.	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and				_	
26	Health Practices		No		Looks good	Agree	Commentary
27	Environment -	170-300-0230 First aid	No		Use alred the average of to app uses some used	A = = = =	Commonter
27	Health Practices	supplies	No		I'm glad the syrup of ipecac was removed.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment	170-300-0235 Safe water			"An early learning provider must use a Washington state certified water laboratory accredited by the department of ecology to analyze		
20	Environment -		No		drinking water to test the program water supply for lead and copper within six months of the date this section becomes effective." This	Disagras	Commonton
28	Health Practices Environment -	170-300-0236 Safe drinking	No		seems excessive to me.	Disagree	Commentary
20	Health Practices	· ·	No		Looks good	Agree	Commentary
29	ricaitii riattites	watCl	INU		LOUIS BOOK	ARICC	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0215 Managing and			3(b) Non-prescription medication.(i) A parent or guardian must label non-prescription medication withThere is no way everything can		
20		storing medication	No		fit on the labelwe currently have a form that lists these itemswhy must it be on the LABEL??? a form filled out for is enough	Disagree	Commentary
30	Environment -	170-300-0215 Managing and			nt on the naverment earliering maye a form that has these itemswhy must it be on the babelists a form mied out for is enough	טואמצו ענ	Commentary
21		storing medication	No		THANK YOU for extending the parent authorization for diaper ointment/sunscreenetc form 90 days to 180.	Agree	Commentary
21	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom	INU		1g(v) Make the bathing facility inaccessible to children when not being used by children. How is this possible in a home environment?	ARICC	Commentary
32		space and toilet training	No		Please rethink this WAC and alter for in-home providers.	Disagree	Commentary
32	cuitii i lactices	space and tonet training	110		Trease reasonable and under for in nome providers.	21346166	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC	_			
			Comme			ConcurTypeD	
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
22	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom	V	456	all contribute about discourse and di	Discourse	Colorateration
33		space and toilet training	Yes	4,5,6	all weights should be removed!	Disagree	Substantive
24	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom	No		2(6) An early learning provider must post and follow a stand-up diapering procedure (found athow can a provider comment on a	Disagras	Other
34	nealth Practices	space and toilet training	No		document that does not existYou need to supply this form for comment. 1a(iv) On moisture resistant, washable material that surrounds and extends at least two feet from the diaper changing station and	Disagree	Other
					handwashing area; and (v) Be uncluttered and not used for storage of any items not used in diapering a child. Family homes are not set		
	Environment -	170-300-0221 Diaper			up for thisThis may be impossible for someis DEL going to modify our licensed and not allow us to care for infants? Seems perfect if		
35		changing areas and disposal	No		you plan to run us out of business.	Disagree	Commentary
-	Environment -	170-300-0221 Diaper			(2) If using a diaper changing station at an early learning program, it must be: (a) Within arm's length of a handwashing sink; Is DEL	2.546.00	Commentary
36		changing areas and disposal	No		paying for our remolding??? Current WAC works.	Disagree	Commentary
50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	changing areas and arspesar			2b(i) A table or counter large enough to accommodate the length of a child, with a protective barrier at least three and one-half inches	2.546.00	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0221 Diaper			high on all sides;this is a EA best practicewhere would a provider even find such a thing? This is not necessary since we have to		
37		changing areas and disposal	No		supervise constantly.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			4(c) Be nonaggressive. If the pet or animal exhibits aggressive behavior, the pet or animal must be removed from the premisesThe		
38	Health Practices		No		pet can be made inaccessible to the children and does not need to be removed form a family home provider.	Disagree	Commentary
					,		,
					confused???? 5(d) Require that chickens, ducks, turkeys, doves, pigeons, or other birds are caged, cooped, or penned outside early		
					learning program space when children are in care, at a distance that prevents children from having direct access to the enclosures or		
					waste;does this pertain to outside birds only???? (e) Require indoor birds to be caged;does this mean they can be in licensed		
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			space???? as long as (f) Have containers or cages for pets and animals. Containers or cages must prevent debris from spilling out of the		
39	Health Practices		No		container or cage;is followed??? the (lettered) number indicates that they are separate WACs shouldn't (e) have (f) as a (i)????	Disagree	Commentary
					7(g) Indoor and outdoor play space to be cleaned and disinfected where animals or birds use the bathroom or vomitDISINFACTED???		,
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			the outside???? what about wild birds??? indoorsI understand. but OUTSIDEcome onremove disinfecting the outsidethis is		
40	Health Practices		No		impossible for providers to do.	Disagree	Commentary
					DEL need to supply providers with the list of Washington state certified water laboratory they will accept results from. Plus this WAC		,
	Environment -	170-300-0235 Safe water			states test the water for "lead and copper" and in WAC 170-300-0410 License and program location.6(d) Arsenic, lead, or copper in the		
41	Health Practices	sources	No		soil or drinking water;which one is it???? Lead and copper only or arsenic as well????	Neutral	Other
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom					
42	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		All weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			9		
43	Health Practices	space and toilet training	Yes	4,5,6	Unrealistic rules!!!!	Disagree	Commentary
					Washing one's hand all day long takes us away from interacting with children. I can understand times where common sense comes into		
					play about when you really should wash hand. Washing children's hands for 20 seconds, is ideal but not always realistic as one teacher is		
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			consumed helping all those that need help-leaving the other adult to supervise the rest of the group. To have this weighted as a 7 is not		
44	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		logical.	Disagree	Commentary
					Head lice runs rampant. Lots of time involved in getting cleaned. Do not want children hanging around all day spreading it. Parents are		
					not happy when their child gets lices. I can't afford teachers to be out with lice. Need to change this part of WAC. Sick teachers follow		
					the exclusion guidelines. Need to add something about Noro-virus outbreaks - don't want vomiting children or those with diarrhea here		
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,			waiting for the third episode. Send them home after the first one and we know what that diarrhea looks/smells like when there is an		
	Environment -	and household member			outbreak. Again - can't afford to have teachers out with Noro when trying to provide ratios and don't want to close a classroom or the		
45	Health Practices	illness	No		program due to no teachers.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0210			170-300-0210. Weighted to much for parents who are not responsible for giving us the information. Don't have time to run behind		
46	Health Practices	Immunizations	No		adults to get those records.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0220. Bathroom privacy for children 4 and over. In a classroom with two toilets used by children 2/5 - 5yrs. How can a 4+ child	-	•
					have privacy? Put out a schedule saying all children with in this age bracket can only use the toilet when a younger child needs to go.		
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			This doesn't make sense. Need clarification on this WAC. Weighted needs to go away since children need to us the bathroom all day		
47	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		long.	Disagree	Substantive
					170-300-0235 - water testing. I can see this being done for older buildings. With public schools - many are older building with older		
					pipes. Newer childcare centers have up to code pipes. To test every faucet is extremely expensive. If you have Seattle water then why		
	Environment -				can't you just test the source of that water coming into your building/home? If that is bad, then don't you think all the rest of the faucets	i	
48		170-300-0235 Safe water sou	ıı No		are bad? Eliminating the need for all faucets testing.	Disagree	Commentary
			_				

			Weight				
				Weight			
			Comme			ConcurTypeD	
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
					g) If an early learning program premises is equipped with a bathtub or shower, the provider must: (v) Make the bathing facility		
					inaccessible to children when not being used by children. This makes no sense for a home environment to try and make the shower or		
					bath tub inaccessible. Institutions and schools have large bathing facilities which are separate from living space but homes do not. I have		
					researched the Consumer Protection Safety Commission and can find no dangers with bathtubs and children unless and adult is bathing		
					them and they leave the child unattended. Please see the consumer Protection Blog for 9/30/2010 Children do drown in bathtubs and See How You Can Save 87 Children from Drowning in a Home and Hidden Drowning Dangers Inside and Around the Home on You Tube.		
	Environment -				Children die from being left unattended when adults are giving them a bath. There is no reason to make a bath tub or shower when not		
10		170-300-0220 Bathroom space	c No		in use inaccessible in a Family Home.	Disagree	Commentary
49	Environment -	170-300-0220 Batili 00111 Spat	LINU		in use maccessible in a ranny nome.	Disagree	Commentary
50		170-300-0205 Child, staff, and	c Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Facility and the same of the s				2/b) No. and the state of the s		
E1	Environment -	170 200 021F Managin	. No		3(b) Non-prescription medication.(i) A parent or guardian must label non-prescription medication withThere is no way everything can	Disagras	Commontar
21	Environment -	170-300-0215 Managing and	: 110		fit on the labelwe currently have a form that lists these itemswhy must it be on the LABEL??? a form filled out for is enough 2(6) An early learning provider must post and follow a stand-up diapering procedure (found athow can a provider comment on a	Disagree	Commentary
52		170-300-0220 Bathroom space	c No		document that does not existYou need to supply this form for comment.	Disagree	Substantive
32	Environment -	170-300-0220 Batili 00111 spat	LINU		4(c) Be nonaggressive. If the pet or animal exhibits aggressive behavior, the pet or animal must be removed from the premisesThe	Disagree	Substantive
53		170-300-0225 Pets and anima	a No		pet can be made inaccessible to the children and does not need to be removed form a family home provider.	Disagree	Substantive
-	Treditir Traditions	170 300 0223 1 613 4114 4111111	110		per unit de made made constitue de constitue	Disagree	Substantive
					confused???? 5(d) Require that chickens, ducks, turkeys, doves, pigeons, or other birds are caged, cooped, or penned outside early		
					learning program space when children are in care, at a distance that prevents children from having direct access to the enclosures or		
					waste;does this pertain to outside birds only????? (e) Require indoor birds to be caged;does this mean they can be in licensed		
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			space???? as long as (f) Have containers or cages for pets and animals. Containers or cages must prevent debris from spilling out of the		
54	Health Practices	animals	No		container or cage;is followed??? the (lettered) number indicates that they are separate WACs shouldn't (e) have (f) as a (i)????	Disagree	Commentary
					7(g) Indoor and outdoor play space to be cleaned and disinfected where animals or birds use the bathroom or vomitDISINFACTED???		
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			the outside???? what about wild birds??? indoors! understand. but OUTSIDEcome onremove disinfecting the outsidethis is		
55	Health Practices	animals	No		impossible for providers to do.	Disagree	Substantive
					DEL need to supply providers with the list of Washington state certified water laboratory they will accept results from. Plus this WAC		
	Environment -				states test the water for "lead and copper"and in WAC 170-300-0410 License and program location.6(d) Arsenic, lead, or copper in the		
56	Health Practices		No		soil or drinking water;which one is it??? Lead and copper only or arsenic as well????	Neutral	Other
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			All the state of t	5.	6.1
5/		space and toilet training	No		All weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
50	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom space and toilet training	Yes	4,5,6	Unrealistic rules!!!!	Disagree	Commentary
20	ricaitii Flattites	space and tonet training	163	4,3,0	Washing one's hand all day long takes us away from interacting with children. I can understand times where common sense comes into	Disagi ee	Commentary
					play about when you really should wash hand. Washing children's hands for 20 seconds, is ideal but not always realistic as one teacher is		
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			consumed helping all those that need help-leaving the other adult to supervise the rest of the group. To have this weighted as a 7 is not		
59		and hand sanitizer	No		logical.	Disagree	Commentary
					Head lice runs rampant.Lots of time involved in getting cleaned. Do not want children hanging around all day spreading it.Parents are		,
					not happy when their child gets lices. I can't afford teachers to be out with lice. Need to change this part of WAC. Sick teachers follow		
					the exclusion guidelines. Need to add something about Noro-virus outbreaks - don't want vomiting children or those with diarrhea here		
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,			waiting for the third episode. Send them home after the first one and we know what that diarrhea looks/smells like when there is an		
	Environment -	and household member			outbreak. Again - can't afford to have teachers out with Noro when trying to provide ratios and don't want to close a classroom or the		
60	Health Practices	illness	No		program due to no teachers.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0210			170-300-0210. Weighted to much for parents who are not responsible for giving us the information. Don't have time to run behind		
61	Health Practices	Immunizations	No		adults to get those records.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0220. Bathroom privacy for children 4 and over. In a classroom with two toilets used by children 2/5 - 5yrs. How can a 4+ child		
					have privacy? Put out a schedule saying all children with in this age bracket can only use the toilet when a younger child needs to go.		
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			This doesn't make sense. Need clarification on this WAC. Weighted needs to go away since children need to us the bathroom all day		
62	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		long.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC	Weight			
			Comme	edWac		ConcurType	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
					170-300-0235 - water testing. I can see this being done for older buildings. With public schools - many are older building with older		
					pipes. Newer childcare centers have up to code pipes. To test every faucet is extremely expensive. If you have Seattle water then why		
	Environment -	170-300-0235 Safe water			can't you just test the source of that water coming into your building/home? If that is bad, then don't you think all the rest of the faucets		
63	Health Practices	sources	No		are bad? Eliminating the need for all faucets testing.	Disagree	Commentary
					g) If an early learning program premises is equipped with a bathtub or shower, the provider must: (v) Make the bathing facility		
					inaccessible to children when not being used by children. This makes no sense for a home environment to try and make the shower or		
					bath tub inaccessible. Institutions and schools have large bathing facilities which are separate from living space but homes do not. I have		
					researched the Consumer Protection Safety Commission and can find no dangers with bathtubs and children unless and adult is bathing		
					them and they leave the child unattended. Please see the consumer Protection Blog for 9/30/2010 Children do drown in bathtubs and		
					See How You Can Save 87 Children from Drowning in a Home and Hidden Drowning Dangers Inside and Around the Home on You Tube.		
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			Children die from being left unattended when adults are giving them a bath. There is no reason to make a bath tub or shower when not		
64	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		in use inaccessible in a Family Home.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,					
	Environment -	and household member					
65	Health Practices	illness	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing					
66	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		Is parent written permission required for hand sanitize? If so, WAC 170-300-0215 section 12 should be referenced.	Neutral	Substantive
					As adults, we feel our staff should be able to regulate their own health and determine whether or not they are healthy enough to attend		
		170-300-0205 Child, staff,			work. This should not be left to the decision making of the program Director. We would like to see some justification from DEL on why		
	Environment -	and household member			there have been changes to the list in section 5. For example, the fever temp has been dropped and the number of runny stools has		
67	Health Practices	illness	No		been decreased.	Neutral	Commentary
					In section (1)(c) we disagree with the changes to the age restrictions on bathroom privacy rules. The old rule was 6 years old, this new		
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			WAC drops the age to 4 years old. This could result in high expense for our center. Also with privacy, how are we to maintain audio and		
68	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		visual supervision of children during toileting?	Neutral	Commentary
					Washing hands is part of caring for our children, it keeps them healthy and clean, preventing the spread of disease and possible food		
					borne illness. The importance on child & staff health is imperative in our facilities. Additionally, how perfect of an opportunity to connect		
					with children, or families that "sidetrack" staff entering the classroom, to use this as a valuable moment for learning, engagement,		
		170-300-0200 Handwashing			interaction, etc. items pertaining to child/staff health and safety should have higher weighting. Children are routine magnets. If you set		_
69	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		the example, the expectation for hand washing at designated times, they will learn and they will be able to successfully participate.	Agree	Commentary
					Immunizations protect all, children, parents, staff, etc. That being said there are people not able to get immunized for health reasons. In		
					these rare cases there are COE's that can be completed. Regardless of our personal beliefs, we must adhere to the state requirements		
					for reason of exemption from immunizations. We are required to have documentation of immunizations, we are not being fined for		
					parents inability for whatever reason to get their children immunized. There are many other ways to obtain immunization information		
					for enrolled children. When children are in "conditional" status for catch up schedules they have a specified amount of time to be in		
		170 200 0240			complete immunization compliance, therefore policies and procedures are in place for those children to be exempt from class until		
70	Environment -	170-300-0210			compliance is attained. This prevents the fine to the provider and places the responsibility on the parent. I absolutely agree with this		
70	Health Practices	immunizations	No		proposed WAC.	Agree	Commentary
	1				The proposed WAC 170-300-0236 relating to ensuring access to water would meet national target standards relating to water access by		
					requiring that water be: • Readily available to children at all times. • In each classroom for centers, in the licensed space for family homes, and in outdoor play areas. • Served fresh daily or more often as needed. In addition, the new CACFP meal pattern requires		
	1				drinking water to be offered to children throughout the day. This means that drinking water must be both available and also offered,		
	Environment -	170-300-0236 Safe drinking					
71			No		which makes the proposed standards very strong on this topic. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0236 as written and ask this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agroe	Commontant
/1	Health Practices	water	No		to be included in the final WAC. Ensuring that water is both available and also offered throughout the day to children in care is critical to a child's physical and oral	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0236 Safe drinking			health. We strongly support both the language in WAC 170-300-0236 as well as the strong weighting of this standard at 7. We ask the		
72	Health Practices	· ·	Yes	5,6,7	weighting to remain at 7 in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
- / 2	ricaldi Fractices	**utCl	103	3,0,1	weighting to remain ut / in the line way.	, igi cc	Commentary

			Weight edWAC	Weight			
			Comme	•		ConcurType	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
					The proposed WAC 170-300-0236 relating to ensuring access to water would meet national target standards relating to water access by		
					requiring that water be: acc Readily available to children at all times. acc In each classroom for centers, in the licensed space for family		
					homes, and in outdoor play areas. • Served fresh daily or more often as needed. In addition, the new CACFP meal pattern requires		
		.=			drinking water to be offered to children throughout the day. This means that drinking water must be both available and also offered,		
70	Environment -	170-300-0236 Safe drinking	NI-		which makes the proposed standards very strong on this topic. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0236 as written and ask this language	A	C
/3	Health Practices	water	No		to be included in the final WAC. Ensuring that water is both available and also offered throughout the day to children in care is critical to a child's physical and oral	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0236 Safe drinking			health. We strongly support both the language in WAC 170-300-0236 as well as the strong weighting of this standard at 7. We ask the		
74	Health Practices	•	Yes	5,6,7	weighting to remain at 7 in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
				-,-,-	l agree that a COE should be required for an unvaccinated child for any reason agreed upon between the parent and health care	- 1.8. 0.0	
					provider. I also do think that it can be up to the center on whether or not to exclude a child during an outbreak. I would think most		
	Environment -	170-300-0211 Children			centers would exclude a child from care during an outbreak of a more serious disease, but if a child contracts the disease they can have		
75	Health Practices	exempt from immunizations	No		life time immunity.	Agree	Commentary
					Changing diapers on a carpeted surface should be allowed as long as there is a barrier such as a mat on the floor that can be cleaned and		
	Environment -	170-300-0221 Diaper			disinfected. Many FCC homes do not have enough room in bathrooms to have a changing area. Having a sink that is in close proximity as		
76		changing areas and disposal	No		in current FCC WACs should be the wording.	Disagree	Commentary
77	Environment -	170-300-0230 First aid	No		2.f Desiridate should be use appelled upplies and be replaced as pended for definition build be the uppelling	Disagras	Cubatantius
	Health Practices Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom	No		3,f Providers should have ample supplies, and be replaced as needed/used. This should be the wording. It is not reasonable to make bathing facilities inaccessible when not in use. FCC is residential. No way to make inaccessible when this is	Disagree	Substantive
78		space and toilet training	No		our homes. This wording needs to not be in this WAC.	Disagree	Substantive
	Treater Fractices	space and tonet training	140		DISAGREE - DEPT OF HEALTH states that hands need to be washed for 15 seconds are we now going against them??? That is actually	Disagree	Jubstantive
					something we get tested on. This is the whole problem!! One person says one thing and another says something different. Please get on		
	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			the same page with things. This looks as if someone is just putting rules together without doing their research just to make owning and		
79	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer	No		operating a Childcare facility more difficult.	Disagree	Commentary
					The only part that I disagree with is that the PROVIDER must discuss potty training with the parent when " WE " see that they will be a parent when " we will be a parent will be a parent when " we will be a parent will be a p		
					are ready? Shouldn't this be the other way around? Yes, most of the time the Provider is the one that brings up the conversation but		
		470 000 004544			stop wording as if you are taking away the responsibility of the PARENT. The education starts at home, we already deal with parents		
90	Environment -		No		making it the "sole" responsibility of the provider, now your just putting the words on paper and it is very easy to tell who is working	Noutral	Commonton
80	nealth Practices	storing medication	No		with their child at home and who is not.	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0215 Managing and			3(b) Non-prescription medication.(i) A parent or guardian must label non-prescription medication withThere is no way everything can		
81		storing medication	No		fit on the labelwe currently have a form that lists these itemswhy must it be on the LABEL??? a form filled out for is enough	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			2(6) An early learning provider must post and follow a stand-up diapering procedure (found athow can a provider comment on a		· · · · · ·
82	Health Practices	space and toilet training	No		document that does not existYou need to supply this form for comment.	Disagree	Other
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			4(c) Be nonaggressive. If the pet or animal exhibits aggressive behavior, the pet or animal must be removed from the premisesThe		,
83	Health Practices		No		pet can be made inaccessible to the children and does not need to be removed form a family home provider.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0236 Safe drinking					
84	Health Practices		No		, ,	Neutral	Other
0.5	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			How do you make an in-home child care bathroom tub not accessible? Please clarify for in-home providers, or drop this wording	Disagras	Cubatantius
85	nealth Practices	space and toilet training			entirely. Do you wash your child's hands after they pet the family cat? I think not. To require us to wash the children's hands every time would	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0225 Pets and			effectively deter the loving connection between child and animal. Now THAT would be far more detrimental than any possible germ		
86	Health Practices				transference.	Disagree	Commentary
						308.00	

			Weight edWAC Comme	Weight		ConcurType	·D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
97	Environment -	170-300-0200 Handwashing			Hand sanitizer with children over 2 years old may increase hand hygiene and reduce the spread of infection, because it can be easier to use with large groups of children than traditional handwashing. In Caring for our Children, 3.2.2.2 it states that "The use of alcohol based hand sanitizers is an alternative to traditional handwashing with soap and water by children over 24 months of age and adults on hands that are not visibly soiled." I recommend that you remove condition "(a)Traditional handwashing is not readily available such as during a field trip or after wiping a child's nose on the playground" and replace with he wording above from Caring for our Children. If child care providers are able to use hand sanitizer as an alternative at all times, rather than only when running water is not available, it may actually encourage more frequent hand hygiene in a busy classroom. Also, I recommend that in this section you address the placement of wall	,	Substantive
87	Health Practices	and hand sanitizer			mounted hand sanitizers and ignition sources such as wall switches and outlets.	Agree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0220 Bathroom			Disagree: Please change "privacy for children 4 years old" to "privacy for children 6 years old" to meet recommendations in Caring for		
88	Health Practices	space and toilet training			our Children.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weight				
			edWAC	Weight			
			Comme	edWac		ConcurTypeD)
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -				WAC 170-300-0241 #13 I feel it is unrealistic to make a law that forbids a provider to use a vacuum when children are present while at the same		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			time making in mandatory that we keep the child care premises clean and sanitary. If there is a spill, a mess from craft time, or a child has an		
1	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		accident that needs to be cleaned up how are we to take care of it if we cannot clean and or vacuum the carpets?	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				The proposed WAC 170-300-0241 (2)(f) is unreasonable. I can see sanitizing toys weekly, or after a child puts it in their mouth, but we can't keep		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			up on sanitizing all the toys every day. Also, (13)(a) is also unreasonable. Children should be able to be present when we vacuum. If something is		
2	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		dirty, we should be allowed to clean it immediately, regardless of children being present.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			Providers should be able to vacuum when necessary. In order to maintain a safe and healthy environment for children floors need to be		
3	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		vacuumed more than once up center closure.	Disagree	Commentary
					WAC 170-300-0240 Weight #5 The use of air fresheners is to assist with providing a clean and pleasant environment. Clients, parents, and even		
					licensors first recognize (as pleasant or not) the smell of a child care facility from the moment they enter the building. Most air fresheners use		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			natural essential oils to make scents and are NOT harmful if used appropriately. Additional benefits of using an air freshener include positive		
	Cleaning and	and healthy			mood changes and assist with killing airborne pathogens. Prohibiting the use of air fresheners conflicts with the requirement of maintaining a		
4	Sanitation	environment	Yes	4,5,6,7	clean and sanitized facility.	Disagree	Commentary
					WAC 170-300-0241 (13) (a) Prohibiting the use of vacuuming around children conflicts with maintaining a clean and sanitary environment.		
	Environment -				Vacuuming reduces germs that are caused from frequent foot traffic, allergies, and other bacteria. Prolonging the use of a vacuum will enhance		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			the dirtiness of a facility, i.e. dirty carpets are more prone to dirt. A dirty floor will have a negative impact on the overall appearance of the facility		
5	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		and it's providers.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			I do not think it is unreasonable to vacuum only when children are not present. If there is a mess that needs to be cleaned up immediately, wait		
6	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		until children are outside or not in the classroom.	Agree	Commentary
					Chairs? DEL wants providers to clean tables and CHAIRS after each meal? And with paper towels? Tables absolutely, yes. They must be cleaned		
	Environment -				and sanitized after each meal. But chairs do not require cleaning and sanitizing between meals. This is not in reference to high chairs, but		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			REGULAR chairs. They sit on them. Obviously they get wiped down if someone spills milk during the meal, but this would be an unnecessary		
7	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		burden on staff, with zero impact on the children.	Disagree	Commentary
					The proposed WAC requiring that vacuuming take place when children are NOT present would mean that messes (sand, dirty shoes, etc) would		
					remain a mess all day. Why not have someone quickly vacuum up the mess, rather than allowing the mess to spread as children roam around the		
					classroom? Also, by saying children must not be present when carpets or vacuuming is not specific at all. Children may be elsewhere in the		
	Environment -				building while an empty classroom is being vacuumed near the end of the day. It seems fair to say that the regular daily vacuuming that typically		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			occurs at the end of each day shall occur after the children have exited the classroom for the day. To not allow ANY vacuuming if children are		
8	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		present is a little ridiculous. Plus, kids are used to vacuums being used at home, or I would hope they are.	Disagree	Commentary
					The proposed Pest control WAC would require that all doors and exterior windows have properly fitting screens. Early learning centers do not		,
	Environment -				typically have screen doors. Some may have screens on windows, but certainly not all. This would create a burden especially for facilities that		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			have special coded doors for entry into the center, as a screen door would not fit into the frame in front of some of these doors. Centers do not		
9	Sanitation	control	No		typically leave exterior doors open, so it's not even an issue for most facilities.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						,
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			Why burden providers with implementation of an Integrated Pest Management policy if there is not a pest problem? This is another proposed		
10	Sanitation	control	No		WAC that should not exist in the WAC's in a blanket manner to apply to everyone. Every center is different, and should be treated as such.	Disagree	Commentary
					The proposed WAC 170-300-0241 on Cleaning Schedules requiring that vacuuming take place when children are NOT present would mean that		
					messes (sand, dirty shoes, etc) would remain a mess all day. Why shouldn't a staff member be able to quickly vacuum up the mess, rather than		
					allowing the mess to spread as children roam around the classroom? Also, by saying children must not be present when carpets or vacuuming is		
					not specific at all. Children may be elsewhere in the building while an empty classroom is being vacuumed near the end of the day. It seems fair		
	Environment -				to say that the regular daily vacuuming that typically occurs at the end of each day shall occur after the children have exited the classroom for		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			the day. To not allow ANY vacuuming if children are present is a little ridiculous. Plus, kids are used to vacuums being used at home, or I would		
11	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		hope they are.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			Cleaning and sanitizing all toys every day would be impossible to keep up with. I don't see any reason to not allow vacuuming while the children		
12	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		are present if it is needed to clean up a mess.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC	_			
			Comme			ConcurTypeD	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Envisonment	170-300-0260					
	Environment -	Storage of			Property of appeals will be for use in all contests and family homes. Child sine become are use appealing to the contest of th		
12	Cleaning and	maintenance and	No		Brooms are generally available for use in all centers and family homes. Child size brooms are even encouraged. Vacuums shouldn't cause any	Disagras	Commonton
13	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		harm if they are not plugged in.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment - Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			There seems like a his much to me. We have to hand out our Dest Central Delignuscals? Why see it was be qualified upon request often the initial		
1.1	Sanitation	control	No		These seems like a bit much to me. We have to hand out our Pest Control Policy yearly? Why can it not be available upon request after the initial hand out? The parents are not going to read it, it's a waste of time and resources.	Disagroo	Commentary
14	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean	NO		nano out? The parents are not going to reduit, it's a waste of time and resources.	Disagree	Commentary
	Cleaning and	and healthy			#8 cleaning wipes are essential for use in the environment when children/providers have lung issues with chemicals being airborne. Please don't		
10	Sanitation	environment	No		take this option away!	Disagree	Substantive
13	Samtation	environment	INU		#3- 24 inches around diaper changing area, sinks and toilets. This requirement may not be possible in FCC. Diapers should be able to be changed	Disagree	Substantive
					on a diaper changing mat as in current WAC. Not all providers have space for changing tables/moisture resistant flooring 24 inches around. Most		
					homes don't have 24 inches around a sink or around a toilet. #5- Aerosols/air fresheners should be allowed. They can be used safely. This is		
					needed to provide a pleasant environment. #7- Bleach is not good for persons with lung issues. A list of current approved alternative products		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			needs to be available to providers on the website. If a new product is approved, it can be added to the list. #8-Sanitizing/disinfecting wipes		
		and healthy			should be allowed to be used. Children/providers with lung issues cannot inhale these chemicals when sprayed in the air. Same products, just in a		
16	Cleaning and Sanitation	environment	No		wipe form.		Commentary
10	Samtation	environment	INU		3) An early learning provider must have at least 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around sinks, drinking fountains, toilets,	Disagree	Commentary
	Envisonment	170-300-0240 Clean			and diaper changing areasARE YOU TELLING US WE NEED TO REMODEL OUR HOUSES!!! Nothing in my house has 24 inch around itthis needs		
	Environment -				to be thrown outbuilding code doesn't even require this24 inches AROUND sinks, toilets etc.??you couldn't even wash your hands (A		
17	Cleaning and	and healthy	No			Disagras	Commonton
1/	Sanitation	environment	No		child will never be able to) with 24 inches AROUND the whole sinkpicture this!!! IMPOSSIBLE!!!	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			(5)Aerosol sprays and air fresheners must not be used during child care hoursso you rather parents smell the three dirty diaper that you just		
10	Cleaning and	and healthy	NI-		had to change instead of a odor eliminator that was sprayed in an area where the children were no occupying??? DEL would write us up for not	D:	C
18	Sanitation	environment	No		having a clean involvement because it smelled "gross"change this to using spray only when children are out of the area.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0240 Section 3 states an early learning provider must have at least 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around sinks,		
					drinking fountains, toilets and diaper changing areas. I can assure you that my home meets ALL building codes in the bathroom as far as sinks and		
	F	470 200 0240 Cl			toilets are concerned however, there isn't 24 inches of moisture resistant materials in all areas. Is DEL expecting providers to remodel these areas		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			to meet that 24 inches and where did this 24 inches come from. My nephew is a county building inspector and has looked at our bathroom and		
10	Cleaning and Sanitation	and healthy environment	No		he states that we meet all codes and this 24 inches is ridiculous. When you purchase diaper changing tables is there 24 inches of moisture resistant material circumference on that table?	Disagras	Commonton
19	Environment -	environment	No			Disagree	Commentary
		170 200 0241			Is there a limit to the number of regulations we can comment on? I have commented on the cleaning schedules twice and they don't seem to be		
20	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	NI-		getting counted? I copied and emailed the second one for verification, should I resubmit it? I believe everything else I commented on is showing	Neutral	Other
20	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		up, but I want to be sure everyone's comments are counted, as I know other people and parents who are commenting too. 170-300-0241 I don't believe requiring all toys to be washed and sanitized daily is humanly possible. In most cases, toys can easily be sanitized	Neutrai	Other
	Envisonment				with bleach water at the end of the day. But I only have 5.5 non working/sleeping hours in the day, it would take the majority of those hours to		
	Environment -	170-300-0241			wash and then sanitize all the toys. Is anyone totaling the estimated time it would take to complete all the daily minimum licensing requirements		
21	Cleaning and		No		like cleaning, paperwork, inspections, food program reports and training? There does not appear to be sufficient hours in the day for an in home	Disagras	Commonton
21	Sanitation Environment -	Cleaning schedules	INU		provider to complete the requirements.	Disagree	Commentary
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			(2) Machine washable clothes and toys must be laundered weekly or more often as needed.??? are you talking our personal clothes here??? are		
22	_		No		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Disagras	Commonton
22	Sanitation Environment -	Cleaning schedules	INU		you talking dress-up clothes??? are you talking the children's spare clothes in their cubbies??? HELLO!!! be more clear here!!!	Disagree	Commentary
		170 200 0244			0) Eleas must be (a)Cleaned by either evening or varyuning at least one and divine many of the annual divine and divine a		
22	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	No		9) Floors must be: (a) Cleaned by either sweeping or vacuuming at least once per day or more often as needed; ???? but we are not allowed to	Disagras	Commontoni
23	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	INU		vacuum when the children are there so how can we comply with the "or more often as needed" part???	Disagree	Commentary
	Envisonment				(13) Children must not: (a)Be present when carpets are cleaned or vacuumed??? You have to be kiddingI understand if we are steam cleaning		
	Environment -	170 200 0244			the entire carpetbut Vacuuming?? how are we supposed to keep the are clean if we can't vacuum??? If a child has an accident on my carpet		
24	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	No		while being potty trainedyou betcha I'm going to get my steam cleaner out and clean that one areaI AM NOT going to let it stay their	Disagr	Commonter
24	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	NO		until the children are all goneSpot cleaning is fineVacuuming is fine. REWRITE AND DROP (a)!!!	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0245			200 Control with block and in the control of the Co		
25	Cleaning and	Laundry and	NI-		2c(i) Sanitized with bleach or a similar sanitizer registered by the EPAnot everything can be bleacheda list of acceptable items needs to be	D:	Collecteration
25	Sanitation	equipment	No		listed.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weight				
			edWAC				
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comme nt		Comments	ConcurType ef	Comment Type
77	Environment -	Jubjections	111	Value	Comments	ei	Comment Type
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			(1) An early learning program must keep premises free from pests such as insects, mice, rats, fleas, and cockroacheswe can not control what		
26	Sanitation	control	No		the Lord has put in our backyards. I can understand "controlling" it in case if infestation. Premises includes the outsidereword.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	001101			The end had put in our seeing team and statum and the control of t	2.546.00	commentary
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			2(b)Maintaining properly fitting screens in good condition for all exterior doors and windows when in use;are you requiring us to put a screen		
27	Sanitation	control	No		door where there aren't any? It says ALL??? reword	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				2(d)Keeping floors and other areas free from crumbs and food debristhis is impossiblechildren are messy and when they are done we will		,
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			sweep the crumbs up (because you don't want us to vacuum)so if we comply with this rulewe will be out of compliance with another. Add		
28	Sanitation	control	No		the word "attempt to" in front.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			ANOTHER POLICY??? This should be done only if a infestation situation. I have better things to do then to write down how I got rid of a wasps		
29	Sanitation	control	No		nest that just sprung up overnight	Disagree	Commentary
					(4) An early learning program must have inspection documentation from the state, local health jurisdiction, or a private company. This		
					documentation must state that the private septic system and drain field can accommodate the number of occupants, including children and		
					adults, currently using or planned to use the private septic system. Weight #5 (5) If an early learning provider does not have the documentation		
					described in subsection (4) of this section, the provider must obtain from the state, local health jurisdiction, or a department approved private		
					company such documentation within three months of the date this section becomes effective. COMMENT -THERE IS NO SMALL BUSINESS		
					INPACT STATEMENT AND THIS WOULD BE A UNNCSSARY EXPENSIVE COST. FAMILY HOMES SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THIS WAC PER RCW		
	Environment -	170-300-0250			43.215.308 INTERNARIONAL CODE OR LOCAL JURISDICTION OR THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DO NOT REQUIRE THIS		
	Cleaning and	Private septic			HAVE THEY HAVE A HIGHER AUTHORITY CONCERNING THIS TOPIC Licensure pending compliance with state building code, chapter 19.27		
30	Sanitation	systems	No		RCWâ€"Consultation with local officials THIS IS AGAINST THE LAW ANS SHOULD BE REMOVED PER	Disagree	Substantive
					(2) Early learning program surfaces including, but not limited to, floors, walls, counters, bookshelves, and tables must be smooth and easily		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			cleanable. A cleanable surface must be: (a) Designed to be cleaned frequently and made of sealed wood, linoleum, tile, plastic, or other solid		
	Cleaning and	and healthy			surface materials; (b) Moisture resistant; and (c) Free of chips, cracks, and tears. Floor?? where is carpet included???? are you telling everyone		
31	Sanitation	environment	No		they have to remodel their homes and get rid of all carpet?	Disagree	Commentary
					(13) Children must not: (a) Be present when carpets are cleaned or vacuumed; or (b) Use or play on or near carpet areas until dry. ARE you telling	•	
					me that if an infant that is being fed breast milk spits up or throws up their breast milk and some gets on my carpet I can't clean the carpet		
	Environment -				until everyone is gone???? NOT HAPPENING!!! I will break this rule and get my steam cleaner out and clean the carpet in that areait will not		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			stay there. Write me up!! This is a ridiculous rule and needs to be removedI see not letting the children play on that area after cleaningI		
32	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		usually cover the area with a towel anywaysPLEASE use common sense when writing this rules.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			170-300-0241 we cannot clean each chair before and after use this should be stated as needed for soiling or each week. Carpets have to be spot		
33	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		cleaned if a child gets sick or has an accident we HAVE to clean it up when they are present	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	.==					
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			170-300-0255 It would be of no importance to hand a parent a pest policy on a yearly basis when we clearly state it in our handbook. Posting is		
34	Sanitation	control	No		necessary but not verifying our policy every year	Disagree	Commentary
	<u>.</u> .				Food preparation areas, tables and chairs, high chairs, and food service counters must be cleaned and sanitized before and after each meal and		
	Environment -	.=			snack It's impossible to do that nd have time for everything else that's at most 10 chairs to sanitize per room. total waste of staff and early		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			learner time! to watch all kids and sanitize before and after? ridiculous! tables, plates and utensils? of course! chairs, though? no. they sit on		
35	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		them not eat off of them	Disagree	Commentary
					This is a unnecessary expensive burden. They have a approved septic design and they are having it inspected and maintained according to the		
					inspection. There is no need to have a expensive burden placed on providers Caring for our Children states Standard 5.2.7.1: On-Site Sewage		
	L .				Systems " A sewage system should be provided and inspected in accordance with state and local regulations " Providers are meeting		
	Environment -	170-300-0250			this why is DEL requiring an expensive unnecessary evaluation done. Who in DEL proposed this? Why does DEL feel they have a higher authority		
	Cleaning and	Private septic			over local jurisdictions who have the education, training and authority and do not require this expensive extra cost. They have a approved septic		
36	Sanitation	systems	No		design, install and are having it inspected and maintained as recommended.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
				Weight			
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	Comme nt	edWac Value	Comments	ConcurTypeE ef	Comment Type
==					Most homes do not have a "storage roomcloset"; My broom is always accessible and the children even help sweep for memy vacuum is in	-	
		170-300-0260			the hallway closet (that is required NOT to be locked due to the possibility of a child locking themselves in there) it does not pose a risk. (heck we		
	Environment -	Storage of			are not even supposed to vacuum in front of the kids if you have your way) I use a mop with disposable padsthat too doesn't pose a risk of the		
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			pad and clean is removed. TOILET BRUSHES!!! I have never had a child lick my toilet brush. Parents choose family home's for just that		
37	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		reasonthey gain life lessons in a home environment and not playing with toilet brushes in one of those.	Disagree	Commentary
					I had no issues with this section until I read a comment on carpet. The wording of this WAC does make it appear that carpet is not acceptable.		
					Our Center does have carpet closer to the diaper changing table and sink than 24 inches in our Infant Room. This will require us to remove carpet		
					and change the flooring, something I would love to do eventually, but will be expensive. And with all the other changes and purchases needed,		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			would be very difficult to manage all at once. However, I do agree that air fresheners can cause issues with people with fragrance allergies or		
	Cleaning and	and healthy			lung issues and that disinfecting wipes are probably not food safe and therefor not suitable for cleaning spaces with young children. Wipes also		
38	Sanitation	environment	No		give the impression bleach is not needed, when it is specifically required to be used.	Neutral	Commentary
					Most of these I am okay with. Washing chairs before use is a lot. I washed mine daily when I was in the classroom and it was enough. Of course, if		
					something spilled on it, I would clean it more often. Toys being sanitized daily makes sense for Infants or Toddlers if they have been used, but it		
					seems excessive for Preschool and older. Weekly would be enough for those rooms. Although I don't think vacuuming while children are present		
					is a safety hazard, I'm guessing it may be a supervision issue? I'm assuming spot cleaning for pee or puke with a rag is acceptable with children		
	Environment -				present? This should be more clear. I have adjusted my staff schedules so that they have time after their children have left the room to clean, but		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			this means extra hours I have to pay for. While this is not a big deal by itself, and it means the teachers have more time to pay attention to the		
39	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		children, when added with the other costs I have to pay to implement other things, it adds up to a lot.	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0245					
	Cleaning and	Laundry and					
40	Sanitation	equipment	No		Makes sense.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			I have no issues with what is written, however, it is written solely based on insect/animal pest inside the building. The only pesticide we have		•
41	Sanitation	control 170-300-0260	No		ever used is for outside the building on the plants/grass.	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			This all seems good, but I have always felt it was acceptable to keep brooms out for the kids to help sweep when they want. Children love to help		
42	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		with the clean up and sweeping is one of the easiest ways.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0245					,
	Cleaning and	Laundry and			My washer and dryer is in the bathroom the children use, so do I close childcare? Do you not understand this is a house! that will be impossible		
43	Sanitation	equipment	No		to do . All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0250					
	Cleaning and	Private septic					
44	Sanitation	systems	No		I agree with the submitter 6 / 15/ 17. All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170 200 0241					
4-	Cleaning and Sanitation	170-300-0241 Cleaning schedules	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
43	Environment -	170-300-0245	103	3,0,7	All weights need to be reinforce.	Pisagi EE	Junatantive
	Cleaning and	Laundry and					
46	Sanitation	equipment	Yes	1,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0250		,-,-	-0		
	Cleaning and	Private septic					
47	Sanitation	systems	Yes	4,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0260					
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			We have a janitorial closet away from classrooms where it is inaccessible to children - why the need for a lock especially if there are no chemicals		
48	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		stored in there? This is weighted to high.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				We have a imitarial electromagnetic interesting the state of the state		
40	Cleaning and Sanitation	170-300-0260 Storag	r No		We have a janitorial closet away from classrooms where it is inaccessible to children - why the need for a lock especially if there are no chemicals stored in there? This is weighted to high.	Disagree	Commentary
49	JanitatiOH	1/0-200-0700 2f0Lg	5 INU		Stored in dieles: This is weighted to high.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC Comme	Weight		CommunitymeD	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt		Comments	ConcurTypeD ef	Comment Type
					Below is the justification statement for requiring providers to pay for extra unneeded assessments of their septic systems. The thinking is so		
					flawed. Many providers on septic ARE not on private wells. If the well water was compromised bottled water could always be served as when a		
					facility tests positive for lead or copper. Provide bottled water. DEL even states are regulated by the Washington State Department of Health. Let		
					them regulate it. How can DEL override them??? In Washington state, private septic systems (or "onsite sewage systemsâ€as defined in WAC		
					246-272A-0010) are regulated by the Washington state Department of Health. See chapters 256-272A through 256-273 WAC. Because these systems contain various bacteria such as fecal coliform that can contaminate water supplies and endanger the health and safety of children, DEL		
					may require an early learning program to close if a private septic system malfunctions and there is no alternative way to provide safe, clean		
	Environment -				water to children in care. Closing an early learning program ensures the health and safety of enrolled children by preventing contamination from		
	Cleaning and				a compromised septic system. DEL would follow guidance from the local health jurisdiction or the Washington state Department of Health to		
50	Sanitation	170-300-0250 Private	: No		learn when the private septic system is repaired and operating properlyat that time DEL would allow the early learning program to reopen.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						•
	Cleaning and				This would be very expensive and the cost is not mentioned in the comment section. In my opinion very unnecessary. The counties and		
51	Sanitation	170-300-0250 Private	: No		Washington State Department of Health do not require this and they are the knowledgeable experts.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	.=			6) How often do home providers have to complete this form? Children will never be able to take care of themselves as they will never observe		
52	Sanitation Environment -	170-300-0260 Storag	No		simple cleaning ,sweeping, vacuuming, empty garbage etc. Children learn by observing, and helping with simple chores and cooking tasks.	Disagree	Commentary
	Cleaning and						
53	Sanitation	170-300-0255 Pest co	r Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170 300 0233 1 030 0		3,0,7		2.008.00	
	Cleaning and				3) An early learning provider must have at least 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around sinks, drinking fountains, toilets,		
54	Sanitation	170-300-0240 Clean	i No		and diaper changing areas Who on earth has their toilet two feet away from the wall? This is not attainable, or even logical.	Disagree	Commentary
					The proposed WAC requiring that vacuuming take place when children are NOT present would mean that messes (sand, dirty shoes, etc) would		
					remain a mess all day. Why not have someone quickly vacuum up the mess, rather than allowing the mess to spread as children roam around the		
	Environment -				classroom? Also, by saying "children must not be present when carpets … or vacuumingâ€s not specific at all. Children may be elsewhere in the building while an empty classroom is being vacuumed near the end of the day. It seems fair to say that the regular daily vacuuming that		
	Cleaning and				typically occurs at the end of each day shall occur after the children have exited the classroom for the day. To not allow ANY vacuuming if		
55	Sanitation	170-300-0241 Cleanii	ı No		children are present is a little ridiculous. Plus, kids are used to vacuums being used at home, or I would hope they are.	Disagree	Commentary
					The proposed Pest control WAC would require that all doors and exterior windows have 'properly fitting screens' + Early learning centers do not		,
	Environment -				typically have screen doors. Some may have screens on windows, but certainly not all. This would create a burden especially for facilities that		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			have special coded doors for entry into the center, as a screen door would not fit into the frame in front of some of these doors. Centers do not		
56	Sanitation	control	No		typically leave exterior doors open, so it's not even an issue for most facilities.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest	NI-		Why burden providers with implementation of an Integrated Pest Management policy if there is not a pest problem? This is another proposed	D:	6
57	Sanitation	control	No		WAC that should not exist in the WAC's in a blanket manner to apply to everyone. Every center is different, and should be treated as such. The proposed WAC 170-300-0241 on Cleaning Schedules requiring that vacuuming take place when children are NOT present would mean that	Disagree	Commentary
					messes (sand, dirty shoes, etc) would remain a mess all day. Why shouldn't a staff member be able to quickly vacuum up the mess, rather than		
					allowing the mess to spread as children roam around the classroom? Also, by saying "children must not be present when carpets â€, or		
					vacuuming‶s not specific at all. Children may be elsewhere in the building while an empty classroom is being vacuumed near the end of the		
	Environment -				day. It seems fair to say that the regular daily vacuuming that typically occurs at the end of each day shall occur after the children have exited the		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			classroom for the day. To not allow ANY vacuuming if children are present is a little ridiculous. Plus, kids are used to vacuums being used at		
58	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		home, or I would hope they are.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	NI-		Cleaning and sanitizing all toys every day would be impossible to keep up with. I don't see any reason to not allow vacuuming while the	Di	6
59	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules 170-300-0260	INO		children are present if it is needed to clean up a mess.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			Brooms are generally available for use in all centers and family homes. Child size brooms are even encouraged. Vacuums shouldn't cause any		
60	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		harm if they are not plugged in.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC	_			
			Comme			ConcurTypeD	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -	170-300-0255 Pest			These seems like a bit much to me. We have to hand out our Pest Control Policy yearly? Why can it not be available upon request after the initial		
C1	Cleaning and Sanitation	control	No			Disagroo	Commentary
01		170-300-0240 Clean	NO		hand out? The parents are not going to read it, it's a waste of time and resources.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				#8 cleaning wipes are essential for use in the environment when children/providers have lung issues with chemicals being airborne. Please don't		
ca	Cleaning and	and healthy environment	No			Disagras	Commonton
02	Sanitation	environment	NO		take this option away! #3- 24 inches around diaper changing area, sinks and toilets. This requirement may not be possible in FCC. Diapers should be able to be changed	Disagree	Commentary
					on a diaper changing mat as in current WAC. Not all providers have space for changing tables/moisture resistant flooring 24 inches around. Most		
					homes don't have 24 inches around a sink or around a toilet. #5- Aerosols/air fresheners should be allowed. They can be used safely. This is		
					needed to provide a pleasant environment. #7- Bleach is not good for persons with lung issues. A list of current approved alternative products		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			needs to be available to providers on the website. If a new product is approved, it can be added to the list. #8-Sanitizing/disinfecting wipes		
ca	Cleaning and	and healthy	No		should be allowed to be used. Children/providers with lung issues cannot inhale these chemicals when sprayed in the air. Same products, just in a		Commonton
03	Sanitation	environment	No		wipe form. 3) An early learning provider must have at least 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around sinks, drinking fountains, toilets,	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0240 Clean			, , ,		
	Environment -				and diaper changing areasARE YOU TELLING US WE NEED TO REMODEL OUR HOUSES!!! Nothing in my house has 24 inch around itthis needs		
	Cleaning and	and healthy	N1-		to be thrown outbuilding code doesn't even require this24 inches AROUND sinks, toilets etc.??you couldn't even wash your hands (A	D:	C
64	Sanitation	environment	No		child will never be able to) with 24 inches AROUND the whole sinkpicture this!!! IMPOSSIBLE!!!	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			(5)Aerosol sprays and air fresheners must not be used during child care hoursso you rather parents smell the three "dirty" diaper that you just		
C F	Cleaning and	and healthy	N1-		had to change instead of a odor eliminator that was sprayed in an area where the children were no occupying??? DEL would write us up for not	D:	C
65	Sanitation	environment	No		having a clean involvement because it smelled "gross";change this to using spray only when children are out of the area.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0240 Section 3 states an early learning provider must have at least 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around sinks,		
					drinking fountains, toilets and diaper changing areas. I can assure you that my home meets ALL building codes in the bathroom as far as sinks and		
		470 200 0240 0			toilets are concerned however, there isn't 24 inches of moisture resistant materials in all areas. Is DEL expecting providers to remodel these areas		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			to meet that 24 inches and where did this 24 inches come from. My nephew is a county building inspector and has looked at our bathroom and		
	Cleaning and	and healthy			he states that we meet all codes and this 24 inches is ridiculous. When you purchase diaper changing tables is there 24 inches of moisture	5.	
66	Sanitation	environment	No		resistant material circumference on that table?	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	.=			Is there a limit to the number of regulations we can comment on? I have commented on the cleaning schedules twice and they don't seem to be		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			getting counted? I copied and emailed the second one for verification, should I resubmit it? I believe everything else I commented on is showing		
67	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		up, but I want to be sure everyone's comments are counted, as I know other people and parents who are commenting too.	Neutral	Other
					170-300-0241 I don't believe requiring all toys to be washed and sanitized daily is humanly possible. In most cases, toys can easily be sanitized		
					with bleach water at the end of the day. But I only have 5.5 non working/sleeping hours in the day, it would take the majority of those hours to		
	Environment -	.=			wash and then sanitize all the toys. Is anyone totaling the estimated time it would take to complete all the daily minimum licensing requirements		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			like cleaning, paperwork, inspections, food program reports and training? There does not appear to be sufficient hours in the day for an in home		
68	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		provider to complete the requirements.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	470 200 0244			(2) 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			(2) Machine washable clothes and toys must be laundered weekly or more often as needed.??? are you talking our personal clothes here??? are		
69	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	NO		you talking dress-up clothes??? are you talking the children's spare clothes in their cubbies??? HELLO!!! be more clear here!!!	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170 200 0244					
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			9) Floors must be: (a)Cleaned by either sweeping or vacuuming at least once per day or more often as needed; ???? but we are not allowed to	6.	
/0	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	NO		vacuum when the children are there so how can we comply with the "or more often as needed" part???	Disagree	Commentary
	Facilities .				(13) Children must not: (a)Be present when carpets are cleaned or vacuumed??? You have to be kiddingI understand if we are steam cleaning		
	Environment -	470 200 0244			the entire carpetbut Vacuuming?? how are we supposed to keep the are clean if we can't vacuum??? If a child has an accident on my carpet		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	NI-		while being potty trainedyou betcha I'm going to get my steam cleaner out and clean that one areaI AM NOT going to let it stay their until	D:	Contractor
/1	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	NO		the children are all goneSpot cleaning is fineVacuuming is fine. REWRITE AND DROP (a)!!!	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0245			200 Control with block and delicate and the second back FDL and the second bac		
	Cleaning and	Laundry and	NI-		2c(i) Sanitized with bleach or a similar sanitizer registered by the EPAnot everything can be bleacheda list of acceptable items needs to be	D:	6
72	Sanitation	equipment	No		listed.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170 200 0255 5					
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest	NI-		(1) An early learning program must keep premises free from pests such as insects, mice, rats, fleas, and cockroacheswe can not control what	D:	6
/3	Sanitation	control	No		the Lord has put in our backyards. I can understand "controlling" it in case if infestation. Premises includes the outsidereword.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC Comme			ConcurTypeD	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -						7.
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			2(b)Maintaining properly fitting screens in good condition for all exterior doors and windows when in use;are you requiring us to put a screen		
74	Sanitation	control	No		door where there aren't any? It says ALL??? reword	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				2(d)Keeping floors and other areas free from crumbs and food debristhis is impossiblechildren are messy and when they are done we will		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			sweep the crumbs up (because you don't want us to vacuum)so if we comply with this rulewe will be out of compliance with another. Add		
75	Sanitation	control	No		the word "attempt to" in front.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			ANOTHER POLICY??? This should be done only if a infestation situation. I have better things to do then to write down how I got rid of a wasps		_
76	Sanitation	control	No		nest that just sprung up overnight	Disagree	Commentary
					(A) As a ship has been been been been been been been bee		
					(4) An early learning program must have inspection documentation from the state, local health jurisdiction, or a private company. This documentation must state that the private septic system and drain field can accommodate the number of occupants, including children and		
					adults, currently using or planned to use the private septic system. Weight #5 (5) If an early learning provider does not have the documentation		
					described in subsection (4) of this section, the provider must obtain from the state, local health jurisdiction, or a department approved private		
					company such documentation within three months of the date this section becomes effective. COMMENT -THERE IS NO SMALL BUSINESS		
					INPACT STATEMENT AND THIS WOULD BE A UNNCSSARY EXPENSIVE COST. FAMILY HOMES SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THIS WAC PER RCW		
	Environment -	170-300-0250			43.215.308 INTERNARIONAL CODE OR LOCAL JURISDICTION OR THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DO NOT REQUIRE THIS		
	Cleaning and	Private septic			HAVE THEY HAVE A HIGHER AUTHORITY CONCERNING THIS TOPIC Licensure pending compliance with state building code, chapter 19.27		
77	Sanitation	systems	No		RCWâ€"Consultation with local officials THIS IS AGAINST THE LAW ANS SHOULD BE REMOVED PER	Disagree	Commentary
					(2) Early learning program surfaces including, but not limited to, floors, walls, counters, bookshelves, and tables must be smooth and easily		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			cleanable. A cleanable surface must be: (a) Designed to be cleaned frequently and made of sealed wood, linoleum, tile, plastic, or other solid		
	Cleaning and	and healthy			surface materials; (b) Moisture resistant; and (c) Free of chips, cracks, and tears. Floor?? where is carpet included???? are you telling everyone		
78	Sanitation	environment	No		they have to remodel their homes and get rid of all carpet?	Disagree	Commentary
					(13) Children must not: (a) Be present when carpets are cleaned or vacuumed; or (b) Use or play on or near carpet areas until dry. ARE you telling		
					me that if an infant that is being fed breast milk spits up or throws up their breast milk and some gets on my carpet I can \$439;t clean the carpet		
	Environment -				until everyone is gone???? NOT HAPPENING!!! I will break this rule and get my steam cleaner out and clean the carpet in that areait will not		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			stay there. Write me up!! This is a ridiculous rule and needs to be removed! see not letting the children play on that area after cleaning!		
79	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		usually cover the area with a towel anywaysPLEASE use common sense when writing this rules.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment - Cleaning and	170-300-0241			170-300-0241 we cannot clean each chair before and after use this should be stated as needed for soiling or each week. Carpets have to be spot		
80	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		cleaned if a child gets sick or has an accident we HAVE to clean it up when they are present	Disagree	Commentary
80	Environment -	cleaning scriedules	NU		cleaned in a clinic gets sick of has an accident we have to clean it up when they are present	Disagree	Commentary
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			170-300-0255 It would be of no importance to hand a parent a pest policy on a yearly basis when we clearly state it in our handbook. Posting is		
81	Sanitation	control	No		necessary but not verifying our policy every year	Disagree	Commentary
					Food preparation areas, tables and chairs, high chairs, and food service counters must be cleaned and sanitized before and after each meal and		
	Environment -				snack It's impossible to do that nd have time for everything else that's at most 10 chairs to sanitize per room, total waste of staff and early		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			learner time! to watch all kids and sanitize before and after? ridiculous! tables, plates and utensils? of course! chairs, though? no. they sit on		
82	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		them not eat off of them	Disagree	Commentary
					This is a unnecessary expensive burden. They have a approved septic design and they are having it inspected and maintained according to the		
					inspection. There is no need to have a expensive burden placed on providers Caring for our Children states Standard 5.2.7.1: On-Site Sewage		
	1				Systems "A sewage system should be provided and inspected in accordance with state and local regulations" Providers are meeting this why is		
	Environment -	170-300-0250			DEL requiring an expensive unnecessary evaluation done. Who in DEL proposed this? Why does DEL feel they have a higher authority over local		
	Cleaning and	Private septic			jurisdictions who have the education, training and authority and do not require this expensive extra cost. They have a approved septic design,		_
83	Sanitation	systems	No		install and are having it inspected and maintained as recommended.	Disagree	Commentary
		470 200 0200			Most homes do not have a "storage roomcloset".; My broom is always accessible and the children even help sweep for memy vacuum is in		
	Envison	170-300-0260			the hallway closet (that is required NOT to be locked due to the possibility of a child locking themselves in there) it does not pose a risk. (heck we		
	Environment -	Storage of			are not even supposed to vacuum in front of the kids if you have your way) I use a mop with disposable padsthat too doesn't pose a risk of the		
0.4	Cleaning and Sanitation	maintenance and	No		pad and clean is removed. TOILET BRUSHES!!! I have never had a child lick my toilet brush. Parents choose family home's for just that reasonthey gain life lessons in a home environment and not playing with toilet brushes in one of those.	Disagroo	Commentary
ō4	SamilariOH	janitorial supplies	INU		reasonthey gain the ressons in a nothe environment and not playing with tollet brushes in one of those.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight	Weight			
				edWac		ConcurTypeD	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt		Comments	ef	Comment Type
					I had no issues with this section until I read a comment on carpet. The wording of this WAC does make it appear that carpet is not acceptable.		
					Our Center does have carpet closer to the diaper changing table and sink than 24 inches in our Infant Room. This will require us to remove carpet		
					and change the flooring, something I would love to do eventually, but will be expensive. And with all the other changes and purchases needed,		
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			would be very difficult to manage all at once. However, I do agree that air fresheners can cause issues with people with fragrance allergies or		
	Cleaning and	and healthy			lung issues and that disinfecting wipes are probably not food safe and therefor not suitable for cleaning spaces with young children. Wipes also		
85	Sanitation	environment	No		give the impression bleach is not needed, when it is specifically required to be used.	Neutral	Commentary
					Most of these I am okay with. Washing chairs before use is a lot. I washed mine daily when I was in the classroom and it was enough. Of course, if		
					something spilled on it, I would clean it more often. Toys being sanitized daily makes sense for Infants or Toddlers if they have been used, but it		
					seems excessive for Preschool and older. Weekly would be enough for those rooms. Although I don't think vacuuming while children are present		
	Environment -				is a safety hazard, I'm guessing it may be a supervision issue? I'm assuming spot cleaning for pee or puke with a rag is acceptable with children		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			present? This should be more clear. I have adjusted my staff schedules so that they have time after their children have left the room to clean, but this means extra hours I have to pay for. While this is not a big deal by itself, and it means the teachers have more time to pay attention to the		
	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		children, when added with the other costs I have to pay to implement other things, it adds up to a lot.	Neutral	Commentary
00	Environment -	170-300-0245	INU		cliniden, when added with the other costs mave to pay to implement other things, it adds up to a lot.	Neutrai	Commentary
	Cleaning and	Laundry and					
87	Sanitation	equipment	No		Makes sense.	Agree	Commentary
0,	Environment -	ечанителе	140		munco serise.	7.gree	commentary
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest			I have no issues with what is written, however, it is written solely based on insect/animal pest inside the building. The only pesticide we have		
88	Sanitation	control	No		ever used is for outside the building on the plants/grass.	Neutral	Commentary
		170-300-0260					,
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			This all seems good, but I have always felt it was acceptable to keep brooms out for the kids to help sweep when they want. Children love to help		
89	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		with the clean up and sweeping is one of the easiest ways.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0245					
	Cleaning and	Laundry and			My washer and dryer is in the bathroom the children use, so do I close childcare? Do you not understand this is a house! that will be impossible		
90	Sanitation	equipment	No		to do . All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0250					
	Cleaning and	Private septic					
91	Sanitation	systems	No		I agree with the submitter 6 / 15/ 17. All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	470 000 0044					
02	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	Vas	F C 7	All unsights good to be removed	Disagras	Cubetontine
92	Sanitation Environment -	Cleaning schedules 170-300-0245	162	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Cleaning and	Laundry and					
93	Sanitation	equipment	Yes	1,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
- 55	Environment -	170-300-0250		1,5,0	· m regina nead to be consisted.	2.306100	Jassiantive
	Cleaning and	Private septic					
	Sanitation	systems	Yes	4,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0260					
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			We have a janitorial closet away from classrooms where it is inaccessible to children - why the need for a lock especially if there are no chemicals		
95	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		stored in there? This is weighted to high.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight				
			edWAC	_			
				edWac		ConcurType	
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
					Below is the justification statement for requiring providers to pay for extra unneeded assessments of their septic systems. The thinking is so		
					flawed. Many providers on septic ARE not on private wells. If the well water was compromised bottled water could always be served as when a		
					facility tests positive for lead or copper. Provide bottled water. DEL even states are regulated by the Washington State Department of Health. Let		
					them regulate it. How can DEL override them??? In Washington state, private septic systems (or "onsite sewage systemsâ€as defined in WAC		
					246-272A-0010) are regulated by the Washington state Department of Health. See chapters 256-272A through 256-273 WAC. Because these		
					systems contain various bacteria such as fecal coliform that can contaminate water supplies and endanger the health and safety of children, DEL		
					may require an early learning program to close if a private septic system malfunctions and there is no alternative way to provide safe, clean		
	Environment -	170-300-0250			water to children in care. Closing an early learning program ensures the health and safety of enrolled children by preventing contamination from		
	Cleaning and	Private septic			a compromised septic system. DEL would follow guidance from the local health jurisdiction or the Washington state Department of Health to		
96		systems	No		learn when the private septic system is repaired and operating properlyâ€"at that time DEL would allow the early learning program to reopen.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0250					
07	Cleaning and	Private septic	N1-		This would be very expensive and the cost is not mentioned in the comment section. In my opinion very unnecessary. The counties and	Di	C
97	Sanitation	systems 170-300-0260	No		Washington State Department of Health do not require this and they are the knowledgeable experts.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			6) How often do home providers have to complete this form? Children will never be able to take care of themselves as they will never observe		
98	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		simple cleaning ,sweeping, vacuuming, empty garbage etc. Children learn by observing, and helping with simple chores and cooking tasks.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	7			7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0255 Pest					
99	Sanitation	control	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean					
	Cleaning and	and healthy			3) An early learning provider must have at least 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around sinks, drinking fountains, toilets,		
100	Sanitation	environment	No		and diaper changing areas Who on earth has their toilet two feet away from the wall? This is not attainable, or even logical.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment				Regarding 170-300-0241 It is unreasonable to expect caretakers to sanitize chairs after every use. Caretakers should be trusted that they will clean up messes as needed, but in instances that don't involve spilled food or fluids, this seems unnecessary. I also see no reason why a child		
	Environment - Cleaning and	170-300-0241			cannot be present when a room is being vacuumed. This is a harmless task that is likely often always performed at home in the child's		
101	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		presence. Vacuums are not inherently dangerous.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean			Fragrance free bleach needs to be more descriptive. Is it fragrance free because it does not have a lavender or clean scent to it, or is it fragrance		,
	Cleaning and	and healthy			free because it does not smell like bleach? This is something that needs specification to avoid confusion. Additionally, for sites within school		
102	Sanitation	environment	No		districts that do not allow the use of bleach, any type, any situation, what would be an acceptable substitution?	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean					
	Cleaning and	and healthy			I do not understand why sanitizing or disinfecting wipes are not allowed in a licensed space. I find that they are better than spraying bleach		
103	Sanitation	environment	No		around the licensed space. I would like to see this changed.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0245			Laundry equipment inaccessible? This most of the time is not something you can accomplish while in FCC. Providers are there to provide care in a		
104	Cleaning and	Laundry and	No		home like setting. Laundry IS a life skill and should be taught to all children. Many providers only bathroom contains a laundry set up. Please keep		Cammantan
104	Sanitation	equipment	No		current FCC WAC.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				170-300-0241 Cleaning schedules Asking providers to clean carpets in their infant room on a monthly basis is not only a financial hardship but		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			also a timing issue. The frequency is unreasonable. Instead, I would propose that the infant space either require shoe covers or entry requires		
105	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		removal of shoes and that the carpet cleaning requirement for the infant room be maintained at every 6 months like the rest of the facility.	Disagree	Substantive
		-					
					The proposed WAC requiring that vacuuming take place when children are NOT present would mean that messes (sand, dirty shoes, etc) would		
					remain a mess all day. Why not have someone quickly vacuum up the mess, rather than allowing the mess to spread as children roam around the	t	
	L .				classroom? Also, by saying "children must not be present when carpets … or vacuumingâ€s not specific at all. Children may be elsewhere		
	Environment -	470 200 0244			in the building while an empty classroom is being vacuumed near the end of the day. It seems fair to say that the regular daily vacuuming that		
100	Cleaning and	170-300-0241	No		typically occurs at the end of each day shall occur after the children have exited the classroom for the day. To not allow ANY vacuuming if	Disagrap	Commontany
100	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	INU		children are present is a little ridiculous. Plus, kids are used to vacuums being used at home, or I would hope they are.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight	Weight			
				edWac		ConcurType	D
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt		Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -	470 000 0044			170-300-0241 Cleaning schedules Asking providers to clean carpets in their infant room on a monthly basis is not only a financial hardship but		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			also a timing issue. The frequency is unreasonable. Instead, I would propose that the infant space either require shoe covers or entry requires		
107	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		removal of shoes and that the carpet cleaning requirement for the infant room be maintained at every 6 months like the rest of the facility.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0245					
	Cleaning and	Laundry and			This is impractical, not all household laundry needs cleaned in this way, you can bet when soiled in the manner that infants can do, the bleach		_
108	Sanitation	equipment	No		and sanitize function is used.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0245					
	Cleaning and	Laundry and			My washing machine is in the same area as my kitchen, on a separate wall. It is not near any counter in the Kitchen this is a home not a		
109	Sanitation	equipment	No		center!!! I checked into having it moved to the garage that is going to cost me over \$7000	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean					
	Cleaning and	and healthy			There is no possible way that I could comply with keeping 24 inches of moisture resistant and cleanable material around my toilet. I couldn't		
L10	Sanitation	environment	No		even remodel if I wanted to because the bathroom is too narrow. I would have to close my chidcare business!	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0240 Clean					
	Cleaning and	and healthy					
111	Sanitation	environment	No		To not allow sanitizing wipes in licensed space is negligent. There are times you must catch something early and fast.	Neutral	Commentary
		170-300-0260					
	Environment -	Storage of					
	Cleaning and	maintenance and			If I read this right I am supposed to store my cleaners in a separate room from the kitchen? I am supposed to leave the kids unsupervised to go to		
112	Sanitation	janitorial supplies	No		another room to get my dish soap to do dishes and then walk back again? Seriously, read this again.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			Has DEL ever sat down and clocked how much time all the cleaning required in these WAC's would take? Exactly how do we fit the kid's needs in		
113	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		there?	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0241 - chairs cleaned and sanitized before and after each use? Why? This is beyond excessive. These kids eat their boogers for goodness		
	Environment -				sake and you are worried about them sitting on a chair that was cleaned and sanitized after breakfast, but not five minutes ago before lunch.		
	Cleaning and	170-300-0241			When are we supposed to be interacting with the kids with all of this unnecessary cleaning? Again-I will stress - maybe a center, but a home is		
114	Sanitation	Cleaning schedules	No		not a center and should not be treated as such.	Disagree	Commentary
					This rule change is unrealistic and unnecessary for family child cares. A lot of homes have the laundry in the bathroom that providers use for		· ·
					children they watch. To move the laundry or add a bathroom would be a huge cost. Supervision is the key to children and bathrooms. A family		
					child care is a home. That is the point, parents who choose family child care want their child/children in a home environment. Homes have		
	Environment -	170-300-0245			laundry, that doesn't mean the kids are playing in the laundry or equipment. It means they are taught laundry and the laundry equipment isn't		
	Cleaning and	Laundry and			for playing in or with. That it is unsafe and unsanitary. This is a good life lesson for children. This is just one of the many differences between		
115	Sanitation	equipment	No		Centers and FCC homes. And a reason why the rules shouldn't be the same for both types of environments.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weight edWAC	Moight			
		Comme			ConcurTypeD	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt		Comments	ef	Comment Type
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,				,	•
1 Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		It can be very difficult to space children 30 inches on all sides. Some rooms space does not allow for this to happen.	Neutral	Commentary
				Many infant rooms do not have adequate square footage or available space to distance cribs this far apart without greatly taking away from the space		
				they use for the children's awake hours. The reality is that infants spend more time on the floor (tummy time, back time, playing with toys, etc) and are		
				much closer to other infants than when they are sleeping in cribs. They are sharing toys, often mouthed toys as this is developmentally appropriate so		
				germs are being swapped even at the teachers best efforts to pull mouthed toys. In theory keeping infants 30+ inches apart during both sleeping and		
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			awake times would be ideal for but clearly not at all possible. The additional space that every infant room could gain by allowing cribs closer could be		
2 Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		used for the infants development during waking hours.	Disagree	Commentary
				I just am not sure that this requirement is realistic. With the minimum square footage at 35 square feet per child, it seems like adding 2.5 feet per child		
				for nap time is not feasible. Placing children head to toe is a good idea, to keep from any issues, or at least try. But, some classes just don't have the		
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			extra square footage to accommodate an extra 10 square feet per child to be able to ensure there is a 30 inch gap on all sides of each individual child.		
3 Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		That's definitely a lot.	Neutral	Commentary
				170-300-0270-5 From what I'm reading, when a child is in care overnight, the provider must remain awake at all times, even when the child is sleeping?		
Environment -	170-300-0270 Evening			Could there be some exceptions for in home providers? Overnight care is extremely hard to find, perhaps some exceptions would help make it more		
4 Sleep and Rest	and overnight care	No		available for parents?	Neutral	Other
				The 30 inch requirement is not reasonable. This will limit care to children/families. FCC may not have the space needed to accommodate this rules		
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			without cutting families. Spacing children head to toe, toe to toe, should not be a requirement. Should be based on what works in the environment and		
5 Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		for the children in care.	Disagree	Commentary
				30 inches can be difficult in some spaces. I understand alternating head and toesthat is something I have always donebut 15 inches is betterunless		
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			you are looking at lowering providers capacity and putting us out of business(which I hope is NOT the case) you need to be realistic and germ		
6 Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		spreading happens all the time, not just during nap time.	Neutral	Commentary
				I can understand hiring someone in a center to remain a wake when there are several children in care. But for a family home provider to remain awake		
				and then care for children the next day is impossible. We can not afford a staff person to comply with this. Family home providers should be allowed to		
				sleephow about sleeping in the same room as the children (like on the couchwhich is what I do). I would have to tell my single dad (a firefighter) I		
Environment -	170-300-0270 Evening			could not care for his children. Why are you punishing someone who stays awake to respond to a fire if you need them. There needs to be a change		
7 Sleep and Rest	and overnight care	No		made for family home providers.	Neutral	Commentary
				WAC 170-300-0270 Evening and overnight care 170-300-0270 Evening and overnight care. (1) An early learning provider must be approved by the		
				department to provide evening and overnight care between eight o'clock at night and six o'clock in the morning. An overnight Care plan should		
				be required for care after 11:00 pm and before 4:30 am Provider will not want this extra paperwork and it makes it difficult who work swing shift or		
				start early. Some families have different schedules and drop off at 2:00 pm and pick up at 11:00pm The child naps at ;00pm and then stays up until he		
Environment -	170-300-0270 Evening			parent picks up. These kids do not spend the night. Many providers take early shift workers and childcare just starts at 4:00am and their napping by		
8 Sleep and Rest	and overnight care	No		10:00 and picked up by 2:00pm. Your hours are very narrow.	Disagree	Commentary
				With the size of the classrooms and what are licensed capacity is for those rooms, it is nearly impossible to separate cots out by 30 inches. We would		
				have to use tile space where eating tables are, which would mean quickly sweeping, mopping, and moving tables before nap could happen. 30 inches		
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			would be ideal, but in reality there is just not enough space in classrooms and there should be an alternative minimum number. I seem to remember		_
9 Sleep and Rest	<u> </u>	No		that 18 inches was the minimum before for Early Achievers but you would score higher with 30?	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,					
10 Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	Yes	4,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Facility of the second	170 200 0270 5					
Environment -	170-300-0270 Evening	W	F 6 -	Monthly and to be a sent	Discour	Cultura ::
11 Sleep and Rest	and overnight care	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
F				170-300-0265 mats 30" from each other. If we are licensed for x amount of children per classroom space and need to have mats that far apart - can't do		
Environment -	470 000 0005 01			it. Should decrease space and/or just have heal to toe arrangements if not enough space to separate and not be cited. Weighted to high. We do the best		C
12 Sleep and Rest	170-300-0265 Sleep, re	: INO		we can to keep cross contamination at bay An early learning provider must assure all program staff providing care for shildren remain analyse when supervising shildren regardless if shildren are	Disagree	Commentary
				An early learning provider must ensure all program staff providing care for children remain awake when supervising children, regardless if children are		
Facility of the second				asleep or awake. Weight #6 If a provider is in the same room with the sleeping children, the provider should also be allowed to sleep. If this becomes		
Environment -	470 200 0270 5			WAC I fear providers will no longer offer overnight care. I know we will no longer be offering overnight care if this happens. Thank you for your time.	Discour	Camanacata
13 Sleep and Rest	170-300-0270 Evening	i NO		William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary
				An early learning provider must ensure all program staff providing care for children remain awake when supervising children, regardless if children are		
	470 000 0070 5			asleep or awake. Weight #6 If a provider is in the same room with the sleeping children, the provider should also be allowed to sleep. If this becomes		
Environment -	170-300-0270 Evening			WACI fear providers will no longer offer overnight care. I know we will no longer be offering overnight care if this happens. Thank you for your time.		
14 Sleep and Rest	and overnight care	No		William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary

			Weight edWAC	Weight			
			Comme			ConcurType	D
#	CategoryTitle	SubSections	nt	Value	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Regarding 170-300-0265 Sleep, rest, and equipment. Now there is not a great deal of a problem with most of this. But #8 "Floor mats must be spaced						
					apart from other floor mats, cots, and mattresses to reduce germ exposure and allow early learning providers access to each child during sleep time as		
	follows: (a)There must be at least 30 inches on each side between each floor mat, cot, or mattress; and (b)Floor mats, cots, and mattresses must be						
	arranged so children are head to toe, or toe to toe. Weight #4" You can rearrange all you want with mats and setting them up spaced as far as you can.						
	Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			But we know kids are kids and they will move them and as they sift and sleep and walk around they get moved. There needs to be a little common sense		
1	Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		with some of these rules and a little leniency.	Disagree	Commentary
					We need to stay with the current 18 inches between cots. These children are within inches of each other for 10 hours a day, if they are going to spread		
					germs then its going to happen. This is an early achievers regulation anyways. Providers are going to have problems with this and their capacities are		
	Environment -	170-300-0265 Sleep,			going to have to be lowered due to sleeping arrangements. This wac also doesn't look at mixed age groups where several children may be older and not		
1	Sleep and Rest	rest, and equipment	No		needing to nap, but the space would still have to be there. We need to be able to use waterproof barriers if we can not get past the 18 inches.	Disagree	Substantive
					I am a family provider it will be impossible for me to be awake all day and care for over night, I will have to tell my single client that I will no longer be		
	Environment -	170-300-0270 Evening			able to provide a safe, nurturing and loving environment for her 1 yr old. I am in the same room as the over night. I think this would be a disadvantage		
1	Sleep and Rest	and overnight care	No		to family home child cares. There should be an exception for family home providers.	Disagree	Substantive

		Weighted			6	
Cotocom Title	SubSections		Weighted WacValue	Commonte	ConcurTypeD ef	
CategoryTitle Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant	ment		For proposed WAC 170-300-0285 the DEL needs to coordinate with the USDA food programs in our area. They currently advocate serving	ет	Comment Typ
Infant and	and toddler nutrition			children under 12 months of age juice during every PM Snack. I do not serve juice to children under 12 months of age, and would like to see the		
1 Toddler	and feeding	No		Food Program held to the same standards.	Agroo	Substantive
		INU		roou riogiani nelu to the same standards.	Agree	Substantive
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant					
Infant and	and toddler safe	NI -		16 U. and W. Marker and A. Andrewson and		C
2 Toddler	sleep practices	No		I fully agree with the safe sleep practices for infants.	Agree	Commentary
				A year important WAC for many on News Consultant to Child Cour Contest infant spaces in 170, 207, 407,041 Infants must be fed according to		
				A very important WAC for me as a Nurse Consultant to Child Care Centers infant rooms is 170-295-4070 (1) Infants must be fed according to		
				their need rather than according to an adult prescribed time schedule. I quote this constantly to infant room teachers and directors as I am		
				finding that more and more parents want their children fed on a schedule instead of based on infant cues and clues. Parents are very pushy at		
				times and infant room teachers feel they must do what the parent says. Some parents want teachers to wait to feed their breastfeeding infant		
				until the parent has a scheduled break at their work site while the infant might indicate hunger a half hour or more before the parent arrives.		
				According to research by Kathryn Barnard Ph.D, University of Washington, School of Nursing and Center for Human Development and Disability,		
			ā	and published in the NCAST Caregiver/Parent-Child Interaction Feeding Manual, responding to distress is the most important item in the first		
				year of life. Infants who are left to cry are less secure that their needs will be met and tend to cry more. Being fed when you are hungry		
			•	establishes trust that other needs will also be met. Without the specific language in (1), parents can do as they choose forcing caregivers to		
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant		(delay or force feedings. Over the many years I spent at Public Health - Seattle and King County working with parents, I received excellent		
Infant and	and toddler nutrition		•	education from many educators and nurtritionists and found NCAST to be one of the highlights of my professional development and continue to		
3 Toddler	and feeding	No	9	share it with infant room teachers, etc. Thank you for your attention to this important manner	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant					
Infant and	and toddler safe		1	170-300-0291 I think the safe sleep training remains one of the most important training a person new to the field of infant care should receive. It		
4 Toddler	sleep practices	No	i	is important to renew this training every year since no one knows what causes SIDS.	Agree	Commentary
Environment -						
Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant					
5 Toddler	and toddler care	No	-	This proposed WAC is 100% only pertaining to INFANTS, so why does the title of the WAC include the word "toddlers"??	Neutral	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			The second secon		,
Infant and	and toddler safe		,	Why are these sleep practices both infant AND toddler? Most only apply to infants. There's a huge difference between a 4 months old and a		
6 Toddler	sleep practices	No		child that's over 2 years old.	Disagree	Commentary
o roudier	sicep practices			simu dides over 2 years out.	2.546.00	commentary
Environment -	170-300-0295 Infant			170-300-0295 â€" Infant and toddler programs and activities, item 2 (e) materials and equipment must be child-size would restrict programs		
Infant and	and toddler programs			from using discretion in using everyday items in the Toddler classroom. An example would be providers that use real pots and pans in a dramatic		
7 Toddler	and activities	No		play center. Child-size does not automatically mean better.	Disagree	Commentary
roddiei	and activities	140		170-300-0285(b) The part of this rule that I disagree with is feeding toddlers on their schedule. While with infants it is a lot different, not every	Disagree	Commentary
				facility has infants. Also, infants and toddlers are very different. Not every facility has the staff to feed toddlers while taking care of other		
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			children. Toddlers can adapt to the centers feeding schedule a lot easier than an infant could. Centers can't always have the staff to feed all		
Infant and	and toddler nutrition			the children on their schedules, and if you have children who come to your center on a regular basis, they will get use to the feeding schedule		
8 Toddler		No		faster.	Disagras	Camanantani
	and feeding	No			Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			I feel that as a toddler teacher with up to 14 students in a class that if you didn't have scheduled eating times the class would never be able to do		
Infant and	and toddler nutrition	NI -		anything else. We would be missing all the class time because we would be feeding kids constently. I think having everybody on a similar	D:	C
9 Toddler	and feeding	No	5	schedule is most important in and toddler classroom.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant					
Infant and	and toddler nutrition			In reference to 2.b Infants and toddlers should be separate. A child 12 months or under should be able to eat on their own schedule, however a		
0 Toddler	and feeding	No		toddler over the age of 12 months needs to be on a consistent schedule.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			In regards to 2b): Feeding infants when hungry according to nutritional and developmental needs unless otherwise stated by a parent, guardian		
Infant and	and toddler nutrition			and/or physician is valid. However, applying this to Toddler age children needs to be modified because centers plan meals around nutritional		_
1 Toddler	and feeding	No		and developmental needs already.	Disagree	Commentary
				The issue I see with this is the types of bottles allowed. This crosses over to telling a parent how to parent their child. I do not feel right telling a		
Environment -			1	parent what type of bottle they can use with their child, this is not my place. These bottles are also much more expensive, if the family can not		
Infant and	170-300-0280 Bottle		ä	afford these types of bottles, I have to tell them I can not provide care for them? We had a family who used glass bottles, we had one fall and		
2 Toddler	preparation	Yes	5,6	shatter with crawling babies on the floor. After this, we said no more glass bottles because of the safety risk.	Neutral	Commentary
Environment -						
Infant and	170-300-0281 Breast		-	This need to be reviewed by a Lactation Specialist. Breast milk is good in a freezer that is shared with a refrigerator for 6 months. It is completely		
3 Toddler	milk	No		unreasonable that we have to rotate out frozen milk every two weeks.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted				
			Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment		Comments	ef	Comment Type
				My first issue with this is this WAC is already very clear on how, when, etc to feed infants and toddlers, why does a center need to have a written		
				policy? The WAC is written as a policy, why do we need to double up? Also, feeding an infant solid or strained food is at the discretion of the		
				parent who generally follows the doctors instructions. This is not the place of a center to tell a parent when and what to feed their infant. This		
For does not not	470 200 0205 laf-at			again is telling people how to raise their child. If a parent is not following a doctor's advice and not feeding their child, this falls under neglect		
Environment - Infant and	170-300-0285 Infant and toddler nutrition			and needs to be reported. It is not the centers job to educate the parents, we are here to educate and care for the children. If a child is not receiving proper nutrition, then there is most likely other neglectful things happening at home that need to be addressed by the proper agency,		
14 Toddler	and feeding	Yes		not a child care.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant	res	5,0	not a crinic care.	Disagree	Commentary
Infant and	and toddler			I agree that all of these things are important, my issue is that this is taken directly from Early Achievers. Early Achievers is suppose to be a		
15 Toddler	development	No		volunteer program. This feels like a sneeky, back door way to make Early Achievers mandatory.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	development	INU		volunteer program. This rees like a sheeky, back door way to make early achievers manuatory.	Disagree	Commentary
Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant					
16 Toddler	and toddler care	No		please remove "toddler" from this title.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	and toddier care	INU		please remove todaler from this title:	Disagree	Commentary
Infant and	170-300-0280 Bottle			I would appreciate the adage of "if one or more infants are in care" some providers only have 1 baby they care for and labeling their bottles is		
17 Toddler	preparation	No		unnecessary.	Disagree	Substantive
17 Toddici	preparation	140		unicecssary.	Disagree	Substantive
				You have obviously never cared for an infant. Do you think parents do all these steps at homethat answer would be NO!!! how can we "Clean		
Environment -				bottles and nipples before each use using warm soapy water and a bottlebrush and sanitize by boiling in hot water for one minute" if they		
Infant and	170-300-0280 Bottle			already come filled??? These need to be separated correctly and use commonsense when writing theseone for breast milk babies, one for		
18 Toddler	preparation	No		bottles come prepared and one for bottle we prepare. IF you want to go into that much detail then do not make any room for error	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	preparation	110		bottles come prepared that one for bottle we prepare in you want to go into that much detail their do not make any room or error	Disagree	Commentary
Infant and	170-300-0281 Breast			Breast Milk is like goldwe support others that breastfeed and returning breast-milk that in 2 weeks old is unnecessary and shows disrespect to		
19 Toddler	milk	No		the mother.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			the medicin	Diodgi cc	commentary
Infant and	and toddler nutrition			"2(ii) Providing educational materials and resources to support breastfeeding mothers;"DEL needs to supply these items free of charge or		
20 Toddler	and feeding	No		don't mandate it.	Disagree	Commentary
						•
				2j) "Placing infants or toddlers who can sit up on their own in high chairs or at an appropriate child-size table and chairs when feeding solid foods		
				or liquids from a cup, and having an early learning provider sit facing the child" The person writing is one has never cared for an infantWe are		
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			always facing a child that we are feeding, because we have to spoon feed themif they can feed themselves by grabbing their own food. We		
Infant and	and toddler nutrition			need to be in the area observing for safetywe have at least another 11 children to serve, feed and observehow can we still in front of just		
21 Toddler	and feeding	No		one child and watch only them?? this needs to be revised to state the provider needs to be in close proximity to observe and assist if needed.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0290 Infant					•
Infant and	and toddler sleep,					
22 Toddler	rest, and equipment	Yes	6,7	all weights need to be removed	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -	170-300-0290 Infant			There should be an allowance for a moisture barrier to be added between the sheet and the playpen mattress. some playpens do not come with		
Infant and	and toddler sleep,			moisture proof mattresses so providers purchase a moisture barrier and place it in between the she and the mattress. 30 inches is not necessary		
23 Toddler	rest, and equipment	No		if the children sleep at opposite ends and some distance apart.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant					
Infant and	and toddler safe					
24 Toddler	sleep practices	Yes	5,6,7,8	all weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -	170-300-0290 Infant					
Infant and	and toddler sleep,					
25 Toddler	rest, and equipment	Yes	6,7	all weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -	170-300-0295 Infant			Not everything needs to be child-size and not everything needs to be accessiblewe would be cleaning up after toddlers the whole day if		
Infant and	and toddler programs			everything was accessible to themthey love to dump anything and everything just to hear the noise. There should be a verity of things for them		
26 Toddler	and activities	No		to play with, and they should be rotated regularity.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			2a-with space being a possible issue for FCC, providers may not have room for an area for mothers to breastfeed/providing materials to support.		
Infant and	and toddler safe			Many providers may chose to not take infants due to space issues. 2b-Infants should be able to eat according to their nutritional and		
27 Toddler	sleep practices	No		developmental needs. All the other rules in this section are not needed. This should be a parental/dr initiated for the individual child/family.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	l			
				Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment		Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant			We would love to do all these thingsbut you have written some many other regulations (for examplethe cleaning schedule) that we will not		
	Infant and	and toddler			be able to all of those and this too. We will have to choose which activity has the lowest score and ignore that WAC so we can focus on the one		
28	Toddler	development	No		with the lesser of two evils.	Neutral	Commentary
					170-300-0290 I don't agree with children needing to be placed on mats 30 inches apart. While sleeping they wiggle about, are we expected to		
	Environment -	170-300-0290 Infant			wake them up to reposition them because, they are suppose to follow independent sleep patterns. The old rule stated arrange sleeping		
	Infant and	and toddler sleep,			equipment to allow staff access to the children was sufficient. Where is the research and data that backs up the need for thirty inches of space		
29	Toddler	rest, and equipment	No		between each child.	Disagree	Commentary
					170-300-0296 I agree with this section and I'm using this section as a reference for another section where DEL is trying to say we can';t have		
					walls or barriers. That statement is unreasonable. Section 2 (b) (ii) states Providing infants and toddlers freedom to explore and learn on their		
					own on the floor in uncluttered or crowded space. Section 2 (iv) states encouraging infants and toddlers to play ,crawl, pull up and walk. These		
					two sections speak to the fact that at times we need to separate age groups for safety and adequate play. If we have a room set up which has		
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant			materials, toys, and is accommodating one age group at play while having infants and young toddlers in another area at play there is no reason a		
	Infant and	and toddler			provider can't constantly move about between those two spaces observing, watching, listening to intervene if necessary. Walls are not a safety		
30	Toddler	development	No		hazard.	Agree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to WAC 170-300-0285, item (2) on Infant and toddler nutrition		
					and feeding. One item in this section states that the provider shall â€cenot allow infants or toddler to be propped with bottles or given a bottle		
					or cup when lying down‮As with other sections of this WAC "toddlers‮heed to be separated from â€@infant‮in from the language. A		
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			child that has never been in child care may have difficulty at naptime without their bottle (that they use at home to fall asleep) and a sippy cup		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			of water sometimes help with the transition. This would not be allowed, and if this violation occurs two times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A		
21	Toddler	and feeding	No		FINE, technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan!! How is this in the best interest of the child?	Disagree	Commentary
31	Todulei	and recuing	INU		An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to WAC 170-300-0285, item (2) (b) on Infant and toddler	Disagree	Commentary
					nutrition and feeding. As with other sections of this WAC "toddlers‮need to be separated from "infant‮n from the language. This item		
					in the WAC states that providers must be â€cefeeding infants and toddlers when hungry…―Toddlers are on a schedule, with planned		
					mealtimes. This would not be allowed anymore? We sometimes have parents arrive after a mealtime and they know they are welcome to sit		
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			with their child so he/she can have the meal, but the staff are keeping to their schedule and cannot be expected to move the class back into the		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			dining room to accommodate one late arrival. If this violation occurs two times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical assistance and		
	Toddler	and feeding	No		the provider must create a Safety Plan!!	Disagree	Commentary
32	Toddiei	and reeding	NO		This entire section is subjective and should not be weighed. This is an example of what Early Achievers was designed to do â€" to support	Disagree	Commentary
					providers in areas like this. Each section of this WAC is weighed at either a 5 or 6, so if a licensor thinks the provider does not have the		
	Environment	170-300-0296 Infant			·		
	Environment -				appropriate amount of materials to support social/emotional development, the provider can be FINED and required to create a safety plan. DEL		
	Infant and	and toddler	No		needs to move away from a penalty system for items that have nothing to do with keeping children safe. Maybe incentivize programs that ARE	Disagras	Camanantani
33	Toddler	development	No		meeting these subjective non-safety related items. Oh wait⢦ that's what Early Achievers is doing!	Disagree	Commentary
	Farrisa a manage				What is the purpose for sending frozen breastmilk home after 2 weeks? I do not understand d why this would need to happen? This makes no		
	Environment -	170-300-0281 Breast			sense. A licensed Lactation consultant should have to weigh in on this as this makes no sense at all. Most parents who choose to		
34	Infant and		N1 -		pump/breastfeed start pumping and freezing from the beginning of their maternity leave to build a stock for daycare. They'd not be able to bring		C
34	Toddler	milk 170-300-0296 Infant	No		this milk due to it being frozen past date. This is a silly rule.	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -				Lungar Fault Ashirana relunds on far 2 years and hour since made the sheirs and to continue an equivalent for 2		
25	Infant and	and toddler	No		I was an Early Achievers volunteer for 3 years and have since made the choice not to continue my participation. I guess making this choice didn't	Disagras	Camanantani
35	Toddler Environment -	development	No		matter though because these are all Early Achievers standards as opposed to Minimum Licensing Requirements as they are so called.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0275 Infant			(6) Do arranged and spaced at least 20 inches apart; What in the world! Fed to and with Devices is not also This will suit the remark of this		
36	Infant and Toddler	and toddler care	No		(f) Be arranged and spaced at least 30 inches apart; What in the world! End to end with Plexiglas is not ok? This will cut the amount of children that that can be in the infant room.	Disagree	Commentary
30	Environment -	170-300-0290 Infant	INU		distribution be in the infanction.	Pisagi ee	Commentary
					170-300-0290 Spacing cribs 30" apart will not allow as much space for children to play and will significantly affect the number of children we can		
37	Infant and Toddler	and toddler sleep,	No		allow in the classroom due to space.	Disagroo	Commontani
3/	Environment -	rest, and equipment	INU		anow in the classiform due to space.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0281 Breast					
20	Infant and	170-300-0281 Breast milk	No		170 200 0391 Any locate ion consisties will tall you that frozen broadtaill is according to the seat halfage this is according	Disagras	Commontoni
	Toddler Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant	No		170-300-0281 Any lactation specialist will tell you that frozen breastmilk is good for 6 mos. I do not believe this is necessary.	Disagree	Commentary
					170 200 0395 in regard to 3D. E. and H. All feeding should be up to the Described of Section of each shill in an their course hills.		
20	Infant and	and toddler nutrition	No		170-300-0285 in regard to 2D, E, and H. All feeding should be up to the Parent and Doctors decision as each child is on their own ability schedule	Disagras	Commontoni
39	Toddler	and feeding	No		we can suggest but leave decisions on feeding to the parent.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted				
			Weighted		ConcurTypel	
CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	WacValue	: Comments	ef	Comment Type
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			DEL has added infants into this WAC with no professional information that toddlers need to follow safe sleep guidelines. American Academy of		
Infant and	and toddler safe	NI-		Pediatrics and Caring for our Children does not mention toddlers to be at risk for Safe Sleep dangers. Toddlers are defined as 12 months to 29	D:	C
40 Toddler	sleep practices	No		months. What scientific data professional documentation lists toddlers as having Safe Sleep Risks?	Disagree	Commentary
				Standard 1.1.1.1: Ratios for Small Family Child Care Homes DEL did not use Caring for Children as written. They did not incorporate standards for		
				small family. "It is best practice for the caregiver/teacher to remain in the same room as the infants when they are sleeping to provide constant		
				supervision. However in small family child care programs, this may be difficult in practice because the caregiver/teacher is typically alone, and all		
				of the children most likely will not sleep at the same time. In order to provide constant supervision during sleep, caregivers/teachers could(see		
				could is used not should or must) consider discontinuing the practice of placing infant(s) in a separate room for sleep, but instead placing the		
				infant's crib in the area used by the other children so the caregiver/teacher is able to supervise the sleeping infant(s) while caring for the other		
				children homes. Caring for our Children has several important guidelines for the small family childcare and supervision." It say" could not should		
				or must." So it appears infants will be back sleeping in the main space with this new WAC. But toddlers and preschoolers will often sleep at		
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			different times as an infant and there is no reason they cannot sleep in another room with the door slightly ajar so the provider can interact with		
Infant and	and toddler safe			the infant or get needed tasks done. ie. food prep, cleaning, set out curriculum etc. "If caregiver/teacher is not able to remain in the same room		
41 Toddler	sleep practices	No		as the children, frequent visual checks are also recommended for toddlers and preschoolers when they are sleeping."	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	sicep practices	140		as the children, request visual cheeks are that recommended for todalers and presented with they are sheeping.	Disagree	commentary
Infant and	170-300-0281 Breast					
42 Toddler	milk	No		If breastmilk is good for 6 months in the freezer why would it be different in the childcare facility? Two weeks would be deemed unnecessary	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -				,		
Infant and	170-300-0281 Breast					
43 Toddler	milk	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -				9	-	
Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant					
44 Toddler	and toddler care	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -						
Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant					
45 Toddler	and toddler care	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Environment -						
Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant			This particular section is fine. However, it gets confusing trying to figure out when something refers to Infants, or Toddlers, or both. I feel like		
46 Toddler	and toddler care	No		Infants and Toddlers should be separated into different sections as not all of the rules apply to both.	Agree	Commentary
Environment -						
Infant and	170-300-0280 Bottle			All of this is fine, but in regards to bottles, does this apply to bottle parents supply, or only if the Center provides the bottles? Will we be		
47 Toddler	preparation	No		required to inspect bottles provided by the parent to ensure they fit the WAC requirements?	Neutral	Other
				Most Toddler classrooms in center settings have set mealtimes for Toddlers. Feeding them on demand will make it hard to serve them freshly		
				prepared food, they will have to be reheated or served cold. Asking our cook to serve food a few at a time would be difficult. I feel like most		
				Toddlers will be able to fit into a normal mealtime schedule without issues, I just worry about the wording of the WAC. I have also always been		
	470 200 0205 6			told that we could not set a rigid feeding schedule for Infants without a doctors note, but the new wording only says parent, not doctor.		
Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			Although this has upset parents in the past, it is really in the best interest of the Infants. Infants feed different in a Center setting than at home		
Infant and	and toddler nutrition	N1 -		and quite often will eat more often with us. Having to withhold food from an Infant because the parents request a specific schedule will be hard	Marriage	C
48 Toddler	and feeding	No		on the babies.	Neutral	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0290 Infant			Ide not think it is necessary for Toddlore to close in cribe in a children cotting. We assembly use and for any Toddlore with the first of the control of th		
Infant and 49 Toddler	and toddler sleep,	No		I do not think it is necessary for Toddlers to sleep in cribs in a childcare setting. We currently use cots for our Toddlers without issue. This will be	Disagroo	Commontani
45 Touulet	rest, and equipment	No		very expensive to accomplish.	Disagree	Commentary
Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			This section goes back and forth from Infant only to Infant AND Toddler. It will be confusing for some. It also mentions no blankets, and then		
Infant and	and toddler safe			says no blankets over the head. If not blankets are allowed, there is no need to mention blankets over the head. I'm assuming this is because		
50 Toddler	sleep practices	No		TODDLERS can have blankets? This section is so important for safety, there should be no room for miscommunication or misunderstandings.	Neutral	Commentary
	2.20p p. dollocs				. reacidi	Jo
Environment -	170-300-0295 Infant					
Infant and	and toddler programs	i				
51 Toddler	and activities	No		Sounds appropriate.	Agree	Commentary
		-		** *	0	

			Weighted	d Weighted		ConcurType	D
:	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant					,,,,,
	Infant and	and toddler			l absolutely agree with all of these. This is what high quality Infant/Toddler care should look like. I struggled to find a place that would do this		
52	Toddler	development	No		when my children were little and it was heart breaking.	Agree	Commentary
32	roddici	development	110		when my children were nede and it was near to canning.	7 Bree	commentary
					(4) Recommend changing this WAC to require an infant nurse consultant visit for a center program even if only one (1) infant is in care rather		
	Environment						
	Environment -	470 200 0275 lafaat			than the current requirement. What is the rationale for only requiring the visit if 4 infants are in care? Are the concerns for infant health and		
	Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant			safety less important when only 2 infants are in care rather than 4? (5)(b) This WAC seems to indicate that a center must have an onsite visit		
53	Toddler	and toddler care	No		from a nurse consultant IF INFANTS ARE ENROLLED. This seems to me to mean if ANY infants are enrolled rather than if 4 infants are enrolled.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -						
	Infant and	170-300-0280 Bottle					
54	Toddler	preparation	No		(3)(a) Option should include running the bottles and nipples through a dishwasher in addition to washing by hand and boiling.	Disagree	Substantive
					(1) Recommend that WAC should state "Immediately refrigerate breast milk to be used the day received" There is no reason to freeze breast		
	Environment -				milk that is to be used that day. If the WAC was meant to designate that frozen breast milk that is brought in by a parent must be frozen		
	Infant and	170-300-0281 Breast			immediately and fresh breast milk should be refrigerated than maybe something such as "Immediately place frozen breast milk into the freezer		
55	Toddler	milk	No		and refrigerate fresh milk to be used the day received"	Disagree	Substantive
					(2) (c) Should state "Serving only breast milk" or "iron-fortified" infant formula to an infant less than 12 months old Iron fortified infant		
					formula is the AAP recommended type of formula to be provided to infants. An infant needing non-iron fortified formula should have a note		
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			from a health care provider. Since this section is addressing both infants and toddlers and because this is not addressed in WAC 170-300-0185,		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			please add a section stating that children between the ages of 12-24 months who are not fed breast milk or formula should be fed whole milk		
56	Toddler	and feeding	No		(rather than low-fat or non-fat milk) unless the health care provider indicates otherwise. Please see Caring For Our Children for details.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant					
	Infant and	and toddler			Add a section addressing: Infants should not be placed in infant equipment such as swings, stationary activity centers, molded seats, high chairs		
57	Toddler	development	No		for more than 15 minutes at a time except during meals or snacks. A least restrictive environment should be encouraged at all times.	Disagree	Substantive
3,	Environment -	development	110		170-300-0275 Infant and toddler care Toddler care is different than infant care and the two SHOULD NOT be listed together. Toddlers typically	Disagree	Substantive
	Infant and	170-300-0275 Infant			are on schedules, similar to the preschool program. This proposed WAC confuses current practices. Toddler should be removed from Infant		
го	Toddler	and toddler care	No		sections.	Disagree	Substantive
30	Toddiei	and toddier care	INU		sections.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment	170-300-0295 Infant					
	Environment -						
	Infant and	and toddler programs		F. C	All weights and darks arroad	D:	College
59	Toddler	and activities	Yes	5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant					
	Infant and	and toddler					
60	Toddler	development	Yes		All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			What happened to feed on demand? Some parents may feed their child every time they cry and may want providers to do the same even		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			though it is not a hungry cry. Thus creating a lethargic baby and possibly an over weight child-which we are trying to prevent. Infant and toddler		
61	Toddler	and feeding	No		information should be written separately.	Disagree	Substantive
					This is what was filed for comment in February 2017 and then to adopt a WAC with such an extreme difference in regards to supervision seemed		
					not reasonable. NEW SECTION WAC 170-300-0291 Safe sleep practicesâ€"Infants and toddlers. (1) An early learning provider must follow safe		
					sleep practices for napping or sleeping infants and toddlers by:(a) Supervising an infant at least every fifteen minutes by being within sight and		
					hearing range, including when an infant goes to sleep, is sleeping, or is waking up;. And then to adopt the WAC with such an extreme difference		
					in regards to supervision seems unreasonable. Home providers must be allowed to be within hearing for brief periods of time to help other		
	Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			children with diaper changes hand washing etc. Toddlers should be allowed to sleep in other rooms within hearing with frequent visual checks		
	Infant and	and toddler safe			every 15 minutes. DEL needs to provide confirmed professional information concerning toddlers and Safe Sleep or remove toddlers from this		
62	Toddler	sleep practices	No		WAC.	Disagree	Substantive
J.		2.20p p. dolices			The proposed WAC 170-300-0285(2a) would meet national target standards relating to healthy eating for infants by saying that the needs of	- 100B1 CC	Japotantive
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			breastfeeding mothers and infants must be supported including providing an area for mothers to breastfeed their infants and providing		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			educational materials and mants must be supported including providing an area for mothers to breastreed their mants and providing educational materials and resources to support breastfeeding mothers. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0285(2a) as written and ask this		
63	Toddler	and feeding	No			Agroc	Commontani
บร	Touulei	and recuilly	No		language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
	Faring a magazit	170 200 020F lef			Figure 1 that because and infente are compared by application on the property of the description of the second sec		
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			Ensuring that breastfeeding mothers and infants are supported by providing an area for mothers to breastfeed and providing educational		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			materials and resources to support breastfeeding mothers is very important to a child's health and development. We strongly support both		
	Toddler	and feeding	Yes	5,6	the language in WAC 170-300-0285(2a) as well as the strong weighting of this standard at 6. We ask the weight to remain at 6 in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary

			Weighted				
	a . =			Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
	CategoryTitle	SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments The proceed WAC 170 200 0200/2h\ provides a patient to red and relation to residual abusing activity for infants by requiring a provides.	ef	Comment Type
					The proposed WAC 170-300-0296(2b) would meet national target standards relating to varied physical activity for infants by requiring providers to: • Provide infants and toddlers access to active outdoor play time • Encourage infants and toddlers to play, crawl, pull up, and walk such		
					as, but not limited to materials and equipment that encouragephysical and cognitive activities in addition, the proposed WAC 170-300-		
١.	Fm	170-300-0296 Infant					
	Environment -				0296(2b) would meet national target standards relating to tummy time for infants by requiring providers to allow infants supervised tummy time		
	Infant and	and toddler	No		at least three times daily when the infant is awake. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0296(2b) as written and ask this language to be included in the final WAC	A =====	Commonton
	Toddler Environment -	development 170-300-0296 Infant	No		in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
					Ensuring that infants participate in sufficient and varied physical activity is critical to their physical health and development. We strongly support		
	Infant and	and toddler	V	F. C	both the language in WAC 170-300-0296(2b) as well as the strong weighting of this standard at 6. We ask the weighting to remain at 6 in the	•	C
ьь	Toddler	development	Yes	5,6	final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
١.	F	470 200 020F I-f			The proposed WAC 170-300-0285(2a) would meet national target standards relating to healthy eating for infants by saying that the needs of		
	Environment -	170-300-0285 Infant			breastfeeding mothers and infants must be supported including providing an area for mothers to breastfeed their infants and providing		
	Infant and	and toddler nutrition			educational materials and resources to support breastfeeding mothers. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0285(2a) as written and ask this	_	
67	Toddler	and feeding	No		language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
١.	Faringanaaa	170-300-0285 Infant			Consider that because and increase and infrate are considered by available and are districted and available advertised		
	Environment - Infant and	and toddler nutrition			Ensuring that breastfeeding mothers and infants are supported by providing an area for mothers to breastfeed and providing educational		
			Vas	г.с	materials and resources to support breastfeeding mothers is very important to a child's health and development. We strongly support both	A =====	Commontoni
80	Toddler	and feeding	Yes	5,6	the language in WAC 170-300-0285(2a) as well as the strong weighting of this standard at 6. We ask the weight to remain at 6 in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
					The proposed WAC 170-300-0296(2b) would meet national target standards relating to varied physical activity for infants by requiring providers		
					to: • Provide infants and toddlers access to active outdoor play time • Encourage infants and toddlers to play, crawl, pull up, and walk such		
١.	Fm	170 200 020C Infant			as, but not limited to materials and equipment that encouragephysical and cognitive activities in addition, the proposed WAC 170-300-		
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant			0296(2b) would meet national target standards relating to tummy time for infants by requiring providers to allow infants supervised tummy time		
	Infant and	and toddler			at least three times daily when the infant is awake. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0296(2b) as written and ask this language to be included		
_	Toddler	development	No		in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0296 Infant			Ensuring that infants participate in sufficient and varied physical activity is critical to their physical health and development. We strongly support		
	Infant and	and toddler			both the language in WAC 170-300-0296(2b) as well as the strong weighting of this standard at 6. We ask the weighting to remain at 6 in the	_	_
70	Toddler	development	Yes	5,6	final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
١.	F	470 200 0204 lafaat			1(a) Actively supervising infants or toddlers by visibly checking often and being within sight and hearing range, including when an infant goes to		
	Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			sleep, is sleeping, or is waking up;This is impossible for a family home provider to comply with when we are alone. How do we go to the		
	Infant and	and toddler safe	N1 -		bathroom? How do you do the HUGE cleaning list you want us to do? This needs to be amended so providers working alone can to this they	D:	C
_	Toddler Environment -	sleep practices 170-300-0290 Infant	No		need to do and check periodically on the children. Centers can do this, but family home providers can not!	Disagree	Commentary
					20 inches and in the control of the		
	Infant and	and toddler sleep,	NI-		30 inches apart is not necessary. This spacing will cut the number of children down that providers can take. Keep current FCC WAC wording as	D:	College
_	Toddler	rest, and equipment	NO		far as spacing.	Disagree	Substantive
	Environment - Infant and	170-300-0291 Infant			Safe Sleep Practices should be only required for Infants under 12 months old as in the training we currently take. Toddlers should not be		
	Toddler	and toddler safe	No		included in any of these WACs Providers who work alone will not be able to provide care with these new "sight and hearing"	Disagras	Substantive
/3	Toddier	sleep practices	NO		requirements. Please keep wording as in current FCC WAC for supervision	Disagree	Substantive
١.	Environment	170-300-0295 Infant			Many providers rotate toys. This should be acceptable. Not having all toys accessible at all times. FCC has many ages. We need the flexibility to		
	Environment - Infant and	and toddler programs			offer different toys for those ages at different times. To have no choking hazards with infants/toddlers would keep providers from taking those		
	Toddler	and activities	No		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Disagree	Commentary
74	Toddiei	and activities	INU		groups. If the department wants to know why there is a lack of licensed infant care throughout the state, start looking at the regulations you are trying	Disagree	Commentary
I.	Environment -				to pass with the infant/toddler WAC's. The fact that the bottle prep instructions will take a provider 10 minutes to do is only the tip of the		
		170 200 0200 Datala					
	Infant and Toddler	170-300-0280 Bottle preparation	No		iceberg. We are going to see more and more facilities discontinuing infant/toddler care if we do not focus on actual health and safety and remove the early achievers components out of the WAC's.	Disagras	Commentary
_	Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant	INU		1.C This WAC contradicts itself if an infant rolls onto their stomach while asleep, then a provider must place them back onto their back!!!! No	Disagree	Commentary
	Infant and	and toddler safe			Way!!! Obviously if the infant is rolling over while asleep, they are capable of rolling over on their own and do NOT need a provider to do it for		
			No			Noutral	Commentant
_	Toddler Environment	sleep practices 170-300-0290 Infant	No		them!!!	Neutral	Commentary
	Environment -						
	Infant and	and toddler sleep,	No			Discourse	Commonto
_	Toddler	rest, and equipment	INO		Imposible,,no bamos atener espacio suficiente no somos un centro ,,somos un family child care,,no se les olvide	Disagree	Commentary
	Environment -	170-300-0291 Infant			The sefe sleep should only apply to those under 12 months and not place the unusual because difficult to be unitable.		
	Infant and	and toddler safe	No		The safe sleep should only apply to those under 12 months and not older. It would be very difficult to be within sight and hearing when you are a family have provider. This needs to be within sight or hearing.		Cubetantina
78	Toddler	sleep practices	No		family home provider. This needs to be within sight or hearing.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted				
				Weighted		ConcurTypeD	
		SubSections	ment	WacValue	Comments	ef	Comment Type
	nvironment -	170-300-0290 Infant			I believe the 30 inch rule is unnecessary and will only hurts childcare providers. Many home day cares are limited in space and do not have the		
	fant and	and toddler sleep,	NI -		space to accommodate such a large gap. Furthermore, if sanitation is an issue, I do not see why this is an issue, since when these children are	D:	C
_	oddler	rest, and equipment	No		awake they touch and interact with each other, so sleeping in close proximity should not be an issue.	Disagree	Commentary
	nvironment -	170-300-0290 Infant			Library with the section of the difference of th		
		and toddler sleep,	N1 -		I disagree with the motion to have all toddlers sleep in toddler beds. There are currently no issues with toddlers sleeping on mats. Also, toddler	Di	C
	oddler	rest, and equipment	NO		cribs will cause many inconveniences such expense, space, etc.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0291 Infant			1(a) Actively supervising infants or toddlers by visibly checking often and being within sight and hearing range, including when an infant goes to		
		and toddler safe	No		sleep, is sleeping, or is waking up in my opinion is impossible, especially if the provider is working alone. A childcare is not an ICU and these	Disagras	Camanantani
	oddler nvironment -	sleep practices 170-300-0285 Infant	No		children do not need constant supervision while sleeping, especially at a home daycare where everything is in close proximity.	Disagree	Commentary
	fant and	and toddler nutrition					
	oddler		No		Why would it he detrimental for a teddler to close on a mat2 This makes no cases. They are already in a recomplish direct companision	Disagrap	Commentary
82 10	oddier	and feeding	No		Why would it be detrimental for a toddler to sleep on a mat? This makes no sense. They are already in a room with direct supervision. I do not understand why DEL has included children over 12 months to 24 months in the safe sleep requirements. I do not see any evidence for	Disagree	Commentary
Е.	nvironment -	170-300-0291 Infant					
		and toddler safe			this. There is already an infant slot shortage in the state. By increasing the safe sleep requirements to 24 months will now add toddlers to this slot shortage. In addition, a Weight of 8 will make it difficult for parents to find licensed care for children under 24 months. Thank you for your		
	oddler	sleep practices	No		time. William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary
	nvironment -	170-300-0290 Infant	INU		unie. william wicdunggie	Disagree	Commentary
	fant and	and toddler sleep,					
	oddler	rest, and equipment	No		I strongly disagree with this proposed change. 30" apart? This distance is unneeded.	Disagree	Commentary
_	nvironment -	rest, and equipment	140		13though unsagice with this proposed change. 30° apart: This distance is unneceded.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0275 Infant			I don't think toddlers and infants should be viewed as the same in regards to this. By the time an infant becomes a toddler they have established		
		and toddler care	No		a set routine	Disagree	Commentary
05 10	Judici	and toddier care	140		Might this be a place to define infants and toddlers? What is a toddler? In licensing, we really need a definition. With regard to federal funding,	Disagree	Commentary
F	nvironment -				"toddler" defines children up to 36 months. I would like to see children between 30 and 36 months allowed to be in either a toddler or preschool		
	fant and	170-300-0275 Infant			classroom. The range of development in children this age can be quite extreme, and centers should be able to use discretion in classroom		
	oddler	and toddler care	No		placement.	Agree	Substantive
00 10	Judici	and toddier care	110		The Environment Rating Scales call for 36" between nap mats, and that has proven to be next to impossible for most facilities, given the ratio	Agree	Substantive
Er	nvironment -	170-300-0290 Infant			allowed by licensing, and the square footage allowance. When we require mats to be that far apart, it's almost like saying that you can have 12		
	fant and	and toddler sleep,			kids (for example) in a room when they are awake, but not when they are asleep. 30" doesn't align to the ERS, anyway, so I am generally		
	oddler	rest, and equipment	No		opposed to this requirement. We have bigger problems in child care.	Disagree	Commentary
							,
Er	nvironment -				I agree with the rule change, but not the title. Infant and toddler in this and the following sections should be defined as children ages birth to 36		
		170-300-0275 Infant			months. WA State Early Learning and Development Guidelines define children in this way. ESIT defines children in this way. Home Visiting		
	oddler	and toddler care	No		defines children in this way. ECEAP and Head Start define children this way. Please change the title to read "children from birth to 36 months".	Disagree	Substantive
-					l agree with the rule change, but not the title. Infant and toddler in this and the following sections should be defined as children ages birth to 36	- 10-8.00	
					months. WA State Early Learning and Development Guidelines define children in this way. ESIT defines children in this way. Home Visiting		
Er	nvironment -	170-300-0285 Infant			defines children in this way. ECEAP and Head Start define children this way. Please change the title to read "children from birth to 36 months".		
	fant and	and toddler nutrition			Agree with feeding children when hungry, rather than on a schedule. Children under 36 months may show a need for food at different times		
	oddler	and feeding	No		than the scheduled times of a classroom.	Agree	Substantive
					I agree with the rule change, but not the title. Infant and toddler in this and the following sections should be defined as children ages birth to 36		
					months. WA State Early Learning and Development Guidelines define children in this way. ESIT defines children in this way. Home Visiting		
Er	nvironment -	170-300-0290 Infant			defines children in this way. ECEAP and Head Start define children this way. Please change the title to read "children from birth to 36 months".		
	fant and	and toddler sleep,			Please add the phrase "rest mat" to the list of appropriate options, as this is a common term in the field. There are also may be cases where a		
	oddler	rest, and equipment	No		child younger than 12 months, with parent permission may sleep on a rest mat, as a culturally appropriate alternative to a crib.	Agree	Substantive
		,			,	<u> </u>	
Er	nvironment -	170-300-0296 Infant			I agree with the rule change, but not the title. Infant and toddler in this and the following sections should be defined as children ages birth to 36		
	fant and	and toddler			months. WA State Early Learning and Development Guidelines define children in this way. ESIT defines children in this way. Home Visiting		
	oddler	development	No		defines children in this way. ECEAP and Head Start define children this way. Please change the title to read "children from birth to 36 months".	Agree	Substantive
In						-	
In							
91 To	nvironment -	170-300-0296 Infant			I agree with the rule change, but not the title. Infant and toddler in this and the following sections should be defined as children ages birth to 36		
91 To	nvironment - fant and	170-300-0296 Infant and toddler			I agree with the rule change, but not the title. Infant and toddler in this and the following sections should be defined as children ages birth to 36 months. WA State Early Learning and Development Guidelines define children in this way. ESIT defines children in this way. Home Visiting		

The following comments are taken from the Public Comment Portal, and are categorized by comment type as seen below.

Comment Type	Definition
Substantive	This type of comment provides a proposed alternative or change in language.
	This type of comment provides positive or negative opinions on the regulation, and
Commentary	proposed no alternative or change in language.
Mechanical Edits	This type of comment provides grammar or sentence structure edits.
Other	This type of comment is unique from the other categories.

Bucket 3

Comment	Program	Interactions and	
Туре	Administration	Curriculum	Total Count
Substantive	49	61	110
Commentary	211	80	291
Mechanical			
Edits	0	0	0
Other	11	1	12
Total	271	142	413

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Drogram						
	Program Administration						
	and Oversight -	170-300-0400			I agree, and I think that it's important to also have questions related to child		
1	Licensing Process	Application materials	No		endangerment and involvement such as have you ever ?	Agree	Commentary
	2.00.1011.81.100000	ургания пассия			endangement and more ment occur as have you ever.	7.6.00	Commencery
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -	170-300-0400					
2	Licensing Process	Application materials	Yes	1	all weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
					I do not agree with the following change: 170-300-0405 Background check fees. Our		
					industry has high turnover and a hefty expense to facilities. I personally own a		
					facility in a college town and several of our aids rotate out each semester. If an		
					individual wants to work in child care, it should be their responsibility to cover their		
					own background fees as it is something that will remain their after employment is		
					terminated from a certain center. This is the explanation I give to new hires. "The		
	Program				portable background check and fingerprinting is a requirement to work in this		
	Administration				industry, but something you will always have if you would like to remain working in		
_	and Oversight -	170-300-0400			this industry." I do not mind having the CHOICE to pay the fee for the renewal after		
3	Licensing Process	Application materials	No		3 years.	Disagree	Commentary
	D				The funny thing about this one is that it has already been voted in…! received an		
	Program Administration				email this morning from DEL of some WACs that were voted in early (without forewarning I might add) and this is one of them. Just so you know any of your		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0400			comments on this particular WAC won't make a bit of difference they're going to		
1	Licensing Process	Application materials	No		do whatever they want anyways.	Neutral	Commentary
	Licensing Frocess	Application materials	NO		May I suggest the 90 day timeline be changed to 120 day's to complete the licensing		Commentary
					process. It is much more complicated and time consuming for applicants it often		
	Program				takes more than 90 days for applicants to really be ready for inspection and then		
	Administration				more time to make any corrections. It would save time and paperwork withdrawing		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0400			the application and accepting another application , processing it for a few more		
5	Licensing Process	Application materials	No		weeks to complete the licensing process.	Neutral	Substantive
	Program						
	Administration				Please post the Small Business Impact for this WAC on one form. It is too hard to		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0400			search for all the business impacts listed by thumbing through the crosswalk WAC		
6	Licensing Process	Application materials	No		showing the end product through alignment.	Neutral	Other
	Program				Is proposed WAC 170-300-0441 similar to Early Achievers, or a way to envelop Early		
	Administration	170-300-0441			Achievers program into the DEL? I am not against a scoring system, but to have two		
_	and Oversight -	Department action			separate scoring systems in place seems redundant. Where will these scores be		
7	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		posted? Is there any way to contest our scores?	Neutral	Other

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					I do not agree with a weighted license. I think that licensing is already so subjective		
					to who your licenser is and then to make all of the WAC's based on a weight system		
	Program				is not really fair. Locally I know different licensors look for different things, and what		
	Administration	170-300-0441			one licensor does not agree with one does. So locally different centers are allowed		
	and Oversight -	Department action			or not allowed to do things. By having your license weighted will not be fair to the		
8	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		different centers.	Disagree	Commentary
					Seems unfair when so much of the compliance info is subjective and based on a		
					licensor's interpretation of a situation or what they believe to be important to focus		
	Program				on. For example, one licensor might decide a windowsill has too much dust on it and		
	Administration	170-300-0441			say it's a health hazard for children and write it up, while someone else considers		
	and Oversight -	Department action			dust to be something that happens and is not dangerous to children and therefore		
9	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		not write it up.	Disagree	Commentary
					IF NEW WACS STATE SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO BACKTRACK FOR THREE YEARS LAST		
	Program				VISITO.K. AND I FEEL THAT SOMETOIMES WRITE UPS ARE BLOWN UP WHEN THEY		
	Administration	170-300-0441			COME AND WERE BUSY AND THEN PTOVIDERS ARE NOOT ABKE TO DI THEUR JIOB		
	and Oversight -	Department action			PROPERLY AND SME DAYS THEY JUST HAPPEN TO COME ON BAD EVERYTHING		
10	Licensing Process	•	No		WRONG DAY BUT ITS TREATED AS EVERY DAY170=3000441	Disagree	Commentary
		are with the same			I understand the reasoning behind a scoring approach, but am concerned about how		,
	Program				it will be implemented and enforced. Licensing is already so very subjective; what		
	Administration	170-300-0441			one licensor says is OK, another will say it is not. There is very little consistency		
	and Oversight -	Department action			between licensing. It is already confusing. A scoring system approach could make it		
11	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		even more confusing.	Neutral	Commentary
		<u> </u>					•
	Program				While I understand the need for a scoring approach and system, I am concerned		
	Administration	170-300-0441			about the subjectivity in licensing. It often seems what one licensor says is OK,		
	and Oversight -	Department action			another will disagree with and say it is not. How can a center know what to do or		
12	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		how they will be scored when the licensing is so inconsistent and subjective?	Neutral	Commentary
	Program				I find this very unfair. It seems to me that we are already under so much pressure		
	Administration	170-300-0441			every time the licensor shows up. We don't know what kind of mood she will be in		
	and Oversight -	Department action			and how she will view our center. Have had things okay one time (many years in a		
13	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		row) and then all of a sudden it is not okay and is put on a compliance agreement.	Disagree	Commentary
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	•
					There is a concern over the 36 month averaging. Providers are to be reviewed every		
					year and most are, but, there are several examples I have found in King County,		
	Program				where a provider has not had a licensing visit in more than 18 months. This would		
	Administration	170-300-0441			result in inconsistent and unfair licensing scores. There are examples of providers		
	and Oversight -	Department action			with as many as 31 complaints showing in Child Care Check in a period exceeding 36		
1.4		•	No			Dicagras	Othor
14	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		months. How are these accounted for in the averaging?	Disagree	Other

Categor	una Tiel a	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value		Concur	Comment Town
Categor	ory ritte	Subsections	Comment	value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program	m						
_	istration	170-300-0441					
and Ove	ersight -	Department action			I do not remember seeing any results from the survey which asked participants to		
15 Licensin	•	scoring approach	No		assign weight to each item. Can a link to the results be added?	Disagree	Other
		5 11			Really? More scoring. As part of Early Achievers I am so worn out with coaches and		
					ratings and paperwork. So yet one more person with a clipboard comes in and tells		
Progran	m				me a couple times a year what I rate at? I just jump through the hoops of licensing		
Adminis	istration	170-300-0441			so I can be rated by the only people I really care about- the families I serve. I used to		
and Ove	ersight -	Department action			love my job but the true art of what we do is being sucked out and replaced with so		
16 Licensin	ng Process	scoring approach	No		much oversight we can barely do our jobs. It's sad.	Neutral	Commentary
					this is scoring in NOTHING like EA. EA scores you for the good thingsthis is scoring		·
Program	m				us for the bad things. I feel the scoring needs to be removed. Having a licensor		
Adminis	istration	170-300-0441			scourer our homes is hard enough. and now they are going to score us and		
and Ove	ersight -	Department action			embarrass us by posting the score on "childcare check"; ALL weights should be		
17 Licensin	ng Process	scoring approach	No		removedFLCA's are bad enough.	Disagree	Substantive
Program	m				This is not necessary. The scoring should be removed. Providers are under enough		
Adminis	istration	170-300-0441			stress and not knowing how a Licensor will treat us when she walks in is even worse.		
and Ove	ersight -	Department action			Licensing "tag teams" meI always have two licensor visit my home. This is		
18 Licensin	ng Process	scoring approach	No		stressful enough and now you are going to score our mistakes. Son't do this to us.	Disagree	Substantive
					Please see my examples of how penalties would be used in reference to specific		
					WAC's under Compliance and Enforcement. This penalty system has no rhyme or		
					reason. There are MINOR paperwork issues (like a parent leaving blank the spot for		
Program					"date of last dental exam") that are weighed at a SEVEN!! DEL can suspend your		
Adminis		170-300-0441			license for any violation that is as high as a SEVEN. Shouldn't a high risk violation of		
	ersight -	Department action			a 7 be reserved for things that actually put a child at risk of harm - like someone		
19 Licensin	_	scoring approach	No		finding them in a parking lot!?!	Disagree	Commentary
Program		.=			DEL needs to move away from a penalty system for items that have nothing to do		
Adminis		170-300-0441			with keeping children safe. Maybe incentivize programs that ARE meeting these		
and Ove	_	Department action			subjective non-safety related items. Oh wait… that's what Early Achievers is		_
20 Licensin	ng Process	scoring approach	No		doing!	Disagree	Commentary
Program	m						
1 -0		170-300-0441					
Adminis							
Adminis and Ove		Department action					

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
C	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program						
	Administration	170-300-0441					
	and Oversight -	Department action					
	Licensing Process	scoring approach	Yes	NA	Okay, really! All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
					170-300-0441 - scoring.Unclear about rationale regarding scoring (weights) of many		
					WACs. Some licensor ok with some areas - others come in and cite you. Some WACs		
					weighted to high - EX. on enrollment papers, parent forgot to put down dentist or		
					has no dentist(child is an infant)- and that's considered an extreme safety factor for		
					children? - not. Committee needs to rethink many of the weighted/scoring. Let's get		
F	Program				back to the quality of care for children and not bog down/be cited for paperwork		
	Administration	170-300-0441			which makes us think we aren't doing the great job that we are. Scoring will say we		
a	and Oversight -	Department action			aren't but enrolled parents can see that we are and those looking for care will read a		
23 L	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		crumyy score and not want their children in your program. Not fair.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration	170-300-0441			While a applicant is getting licensed and receives a compliance after getting		
	and Oversight -	Department action			inspected but before they are licensed will the weights already start adding up even		0.1
	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		before the license is issued?	Neutral	Other
	Program Administration	170-300-0442			Proposed WAC 170-300-0442 This WAC proposal is so new that I am not sure how it		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			will play out. I am uneasy, and am not sure how it will affect my business. I don't		
	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		believe it is bad, per se, but the fact that I don't know the effects scares me.	Neutral	Commentary
			110		some reliables, per se, see the recent derivative and the encode see not	Heatrai	Commentary
					170-300-0442 (d) An early learning provider allows a person who is not qualified by		
					training, experience, or suitability under this chapter to care for or be in contact with		
					children in care. This is extreme to me. If a have a volunteer come from a dental		
					practice to do activities with the children, they may not necessarily have training or		
					experience or be suited for working with children but they are there providing		
					education for the children and must actually have contact with the children to be		
					effective. This particular part needs a little more detail to create better		
					understanding of the intention to prevent "contact with children in care." If I have a		
	Program				grandpa who comes to visit with his grandson and is not properly trained or		
	Administration	170-300-0442			experienced in dealing with children, that would mean that I'm out of compliance by		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			letting him have contact with the children in care. There needs to be a more specific		
26 L	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		purpose in this item or more details on the intention of this item.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					This is confusing!!!! "The department shall also assess a civil monetary penalty (fine)		
					if during the site visit the licensor finds that the provider violated a rule of this		
					weight four (five or more times within the previous 36 months." Does this mean		
	Program				ANY rule with a score of 4+ or just that particular rule with the 4??? We do not make		
	Administration	170-300-0442			a lot of money. Providers usually do childcare because they love the children and		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			want to make a difference in the child's lives. And "fining" us will only force		
27	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		providers out of this business. Please remove the mines.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program				Licensing usually stays a a facility until they find something to write a provider up		
	Administration	170-300-0442			for. They only stop when they have actually find something to write down. These		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			fines will be detrimental to a provider and their family. What other independence		
28	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		owned business is fined for such things???	Disagree	Commentary
					<u> </u>		,
					In trying to understand this new scoring/penalty/fine system, and looking at ONE		
					example of how it would be applied â€" a weight of 6 is applied to WAC 170-300-		
					0460, item (5) (f) on Child Records. So if a parent does not fill in the date of the		
	Program				child's last physical and/or dental exam, and this violation occurs two or more times		
	Administration	170-300-0442			in 36 months $\hat{a} \pmb{\in} \text{``THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical assistance}$ and the provider must		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			create a Safety Plan!!! This is about paperwork. A parent may not have yet taken		
29	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		their child in for a dental exam (as is their right, regardless of our opinion).	Disagree	Commentary
					Looking at an example of how the new scoring/penalty system could be applied â€"		
					weight of 6 is attached to WAC 170-300-0460, item (4) (g) (v) on Child Records. A		
					parent must provide permission in writing regarding a very OBVIOUS (with monitors		
					for parent viewing) video camera system, and this violation occurs two or more		
	Program				times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical assistance and the provider		
	Administration	170-300-0442			must create a Safety Plan!!! The camera system cannot be missed as families tour		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			the facility, yet it must be mentioned in writing so that parents can sign permission		_
30	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		for the center to continue to use the system?!	Disagree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to		
					WAC 170-300-0460, item (4) (a) on Child Records. Now providers must document		
					the END date for children no longer enrolled in the child care center/family home. If		
					that END date is not documented and this violation occurs two times in 36 months -		
					THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety		
	Program				Plan!!! This is a minor paperwork note, is a NEW and unnecessary requirement, and		
	Administration	170-300-0442			it does not have any bearing on the safety and well-being of any child. This is absurd.		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			Seriously â€" is DEL not reading and calculating any of this and realizing the		
24	Licensing Process	•	No		absurdity of this over-regulated penalty system?	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to		
					WAC 170-300-0505, item (9) (a) on Postings. A child care provider must post		
					emergency phone numbers for Poison Control, CPS, and 911 (yes, the number for		
					911 must be posted), and the address and directions to the center from a cross		
					street. If the number for 911 is not posted, or any other number/information is not		
	Program				posted and this violation occurs two times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE,		
	Administration	170-300-0442			technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan!!! Things happen –		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			staff rearrange bulletin boards, postings fall down, postings are updated, and if		
32	Licensing Process	•	No		someone forgets to list 911 â€" the provider gets penalized.	Disagree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 4 is attached to	6	
					WAC 170-300-0065, item (2) (b) on School readiness and family engagement		
					activities. This WAC requires that providers supply families with local school district		
					activities. A provider that fails to provide this to families four times in 36 months -		
					THERE WILL BE A FINE and technical assistance. This WAC has no bearing on the		
	Program				safety and well-being of any child in their care. This is relevant to local school		
	Administration	170-300-0442			districts and families should be responsible for seeking this information. Providers		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			should never be penalized for things that are provided to parents as a courtesy –		
33	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		this should not be required or regulated.	Disagree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 4 is attached to		
					WAC 170-300-0055, items (1) and (2) on Developmental screening, communication		
					to parents or guardians. This WAC requires that providers communicate with		
					families the importance of developmental screenings, document such		
					communications, and provide information about agencies that provide screenings. A		
					provider that fails to provide this to families four times in 36 months - THERE WILL		
					BE A FINE and technical assistance. This WAC has no bearing on the safety and well-		
					being of any child in their care. Providers should never be penalized for things that		
	Program				are provided to parents as a courtesy â€" this should not be required or regulated.		
	Administration	170-300-0442			This is due to the State deciding to align the WAC's with State run ECEAP centers,		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			who have the State funding for extra time and staffing to provide additional		
34	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		services.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 5 is attached to		
				WAC 170-300-0195, items (3) (g) on Food service, equipment, and practices. This		
				section of the WAC requires that providers "sit with children during meals and		
				snacks and engage in pleasant conversation" and yes, that is best practice yet there		
				are situations that arise that require a staff member get up and assist children for a		
D				variety of reasons. A licensor would be able to â€" at their discretion â€" write up a		
Program	170 200 0442			provider that is not sitting, and if this occurs three times in 36 months - THERE WILL		
Administration	170-300-0442			BE A FINE and technical assistance. This is another example of over-regulation,		
and Oversight -	Compliance and	No		especially since this is a scenario that does not impact the safety and well-being of	Disagras	Commonton
35 Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		any child.	Disagree	Commentary
				An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 7 is attached to		
				WAC 170-300-0106, items (5) on Training Requirements. Apparently DEL will be		
				providing training on "Recognizing and Reporting Suspected Child Abuse,		
				Neglect, and Exploitationâ€and it must be completed by each employee BEFORE		
				they actually begin working (which is a problem in itself for a variety of reasons). If		
				an assistant or another staff member begins working (under the supervision of		
Program				another qualified staff member) and has not completed that training ON DAY ONE,		
Administration	170-300-0442			and this violation occurs ONE time in 36 months â€" the license could be		
and Oversight -	Compliance and			SUSPENDED or put in a probationary status, there will be a hefty fine (\$250 per day),		
36 Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan!	Disagree	Commentary
				An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 7 is attached to		
				WAC 170-300-0200, items (4) (a) on Handwashing and hand sanitizer. That section		
				of the WAC states that "staff must wash their hand… when arriving at work―		
				can imagine scenarios that could distract a staff member from immediately washing		
				their hands - families engage staff in conversation, a child is having a hard time		
				separating from their parent in the morning, or a child stumbles and bumps their		
				head on something. Sometimes dealing with an immediate issue could take priority		
				over a staff member heading directly to a handwashing sink, yet if a licensor		
				observes this ONE time in 36 months – the license could be SUSPENDED or put in		
				a probationary status, there will be a hefty fine (\$250 per day), technical assistance		
Program				and the provider must create a Safety Plan! This penalty system is just so		
Administration	170-300-0442			disappointing. We ALL can agree that if a child walks out the door of a facility there		
and Oversight -	Compliance and			should be harsh penalties, but some of these weighed items being on equal basis of $% \left\{ \left(1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left(1\right) \right\} $		
37 Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		a serious supervision violation is unbelievable.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 7 is attached to		
					WAC 170-300-0170, item (3) (j) on Fire Safety. This section of the proposed WAC		
					pertains to records of MONTHLY inspections of items that include Fire Extinguishers,		
					which are only inspected yearly in EVERY business in the State. I would venture to		
					guess that ALL child care centers are scheduled with a company that conducts these $$		
					yearly inspections. Yet, this would change that to require fire extinguishers be		
					inspected monthly? AND… if this violation occurs ONE time in 36 months – the		
					license could be SUSPENDED or put in a probationary status, there will be a hefty		
	Program				$fine \ (\$250 \ per \ day), technical \ assistance \ and \ the \ provider \ must \ create \ a \ Safety \ Plan!$		
	Administration	170-300-0442			Please… someone do some reviewing and editing of this weighted system. The		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			idea of the weighted system was to protect children, yet this does nothing to		
38	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		accomplish that.	Disagree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to		
					WAC 170-300-0285, item (2) on Infant and toddler nutrition and feeding. One item		
					in this section states that the provider shall "not allow infants or toddler to be		
					propped with bottles or given a bottle or cup when lying down― As with other		
					sections of this WAC "toddlersâ€⊡need to be separated from "infantâ€⊡n from		
					the language. A child that has never been in child care may have difficulty at		
					naptime without their bottle (that they use at home to fall asleep) and a sippy cup of		
	Program				water sometimes help with the transition. This would not be allowed, and if this		
	Administration	170-300-0442			violation occurs two times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan!! How is this in the best		
39	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		interest of the child?	Disagree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to		
					WAC 170-300-0285, item (2) (b) on Infant and toddler nutrition and feeding. As with		
					other sections of this WAC "toddlersâ€⊞eed to be separated from "infantâ€⊡		
					in from the language. This item in the WAC states that providers must be		
					"feeding infants and toddlers when hungry…―Toddlers are on a schedule,		
					with planned mealtimes. This would not be allowed anymore? We sometimes have		
					parents arrive after a mealtime and they know they are welcome to sit with their		
	Program				child so he/she can have the meal, but the staff are keeping to their schedule and		
	Administration	170-300-0442			cannot be expected to move the class back into the dining room to accommodate		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			one late arrival. If this violation occurs two times in 36 months - THERE WILL BE A		
40	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		FINE, technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety Plan!!	Disagree	Commentary
	Program					-	•
	Administration	170-300-0442			DEL needs to move away from a penalty system for items that have nothing to do		
	and Oversight -	Compliance and			with keeping children safe. Maybe incentivize programs that ARE meeting these		
41	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		subjective non-safety related items. Oh waitâ $\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ that's what Early Achievers is doing!	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program Administration and Oversight -	170-300-0442 Compliance and			170-300-0442 This rule as written states that fine will be imposed if a violation with the same weight occurs X amount of times. So if during an inspection four separate rules weighted as a 5 are violated, it's an automatic fine. It doesn't have to be the same rule, correct? I haven't totaled the weighted numbers yet (how many 5s 6s ect.)but at first glance, most of the rules seem to be above a 6 which could be a		
42	Licensing Process	enforcement actions	No		great deal of money. Where would the money collected from fines go?	Disagree	Substantive
43	Program Administration and Oversight - Licensing Process	170-300-0443 Enforcement actions, notice and appeal	No		Please do not fine providerswe work for such little money and when DEL imposes HUGE licensing requirements and strains the providers income, we then have to pass that on to the parents which then stresses the families we care for. Our taxes are high enoughdoes DEL really need this money? Where will this money be placed and what will it be used for? Will a licensor fine a provider out of business? Please remove all weights and fines.	Disagree	Commentary
44	Program Administration and Oversight - Licensing Process	170-300-0443 Enforcement actions, notice and appeal	No		Please see my comments under Compliance and Enforcement.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
#	Category Title	SubSections			170-300-0455 Attendance records. When it gets down to it the records only needs to show the child's name, time of arrival and departure with parents signature, and if the child leave for none childcare activities the times of departure and arrival with providers or parents initials. If staff attendance is needed due to provider having staff then that attendance should be in staff's personal record, not taking the time to mark every individual child's record. (2) (e) Time of departure and return to the early learning program, and a staff signature, when the child leaves the early learning program to attend school or participate in offsite activities authorized by the parent or other authorized person. Do not feel that a signature is necessary. Initials should be fine. (3) An early learning provider must keep daily attendance records on paper or in an electronic format. The attendance record must list the specific staff, staff assigned to care for children with special needs or circumstances one-on-one, and volunteers who count in staff-to-child ratio. The attendance record must clearly document: (a) The name of staff, one-on-one care staff, or volunteer; (b) The number of children in classrooms and staff-to-child ratio, if applicable; (c) The date; and (d) Start and end times of assigned staff. To start with this rule is for a classroom situation not a home babysitting situation. I could understand if we were running a school, but if a childcare provider is just babysitting the child with children of various days, kind of acting as a mother would do, does not make sense for this rule. Doing things such as this a childcare provider needs to hire another person just		Comment Type
					to do paperwork. Making it hard to do what was set out to do (watch the children in a home environment while parents are gone for any reason). (6) An early learning provider must be in compliance with attendance record requirements of WAC 170-		
		170-300-0455			290. Does not need to be included here since it involves Working Connections and		
45		Attendance records	No		Seasonal Child Care Subsidy Programs.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration and Oversight -						
	, ,	170-300-0450 Parent					
46	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		If it is not a Health and safety, it should not be in the wac	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category Title Si	ubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 1	70-300-0455					
47 Reporting and Pos A	ttendance records	No		again, if it is not a health and safety it should not be in the wac!	Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	70 200 0400 Child					
Records, Policies, 1		Na		It had to the same	Name	
48 Reporting and Pos re	ecoras	No		It looks the same	Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight - 1	70-300-0465					
Records, Policies, Re	etaining facility and					
49 Reporting and Pos p	rogram records	No		No comments	Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight - 1	70-300-0470					
Records, Policies, E	mergency					
50 Reporting and Pos p	reparedness plan	No		Safety and health!	Agree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight - 1	70-300-0475 Duty to					
Records, Policies, pr						
51 Reporting and Pos re	eport incidents	Yes	6,7,8	170-300-0475 safety and health!	Neutral	Commentary

Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
Program						
Administration	470 200 0400					
and Oversight -	170-300-0480					
· · ·	Transportation and off-			This is a safety and health issue 170, 200, 0400	A =====	C
52 Reporting and Po	s site activity policy	No		This is a safety and health issue 170 -300 -0480	Agree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies	, 170-300-0450 Parent					
53 Reporting and Po	s or guardian handbook	No		It is not a safety and health issue. Should be deleted from the WAC.	Disagree	Substantive
,	, 170-300-0450 Parent os or guardian handbook	No		For the proposed WAC 170-300-0450 regarding the Parent or Guardian Handbook, I do not agree with the inclusion of information regarding the health risks of pets or animals. I understand that we must disclose if we have animals or pets on the premises (for allergy reasons), but I think that adding additional information seems like fear-mongering. We are already required to make sure our pets are safe for the children to be exposed to, such as keeping up with immunizations and ensuring the pet is not aggressive. I do not feel like this particular information should be included in the handbook, I think that it is unnecessary busywork.	Disagree	Commentary
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies 55 Reporting and Po	, 170-300-0455 s Attendance records	No		I do not believe that we should be required to write down staff to child ratios. We are already required to write the children's in and out times, as well as keep staff in and out time records. This is unnecessary busywork.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,	170-300-0460 Child			In regards to proposed WAC 170-300-0460 (4)(g)(iii) Bathing - I believe that we should not have to get permission to bathe the children. When we have a child who has a blowout and poop going up the back, I will not wait for permission before caring for the child. Bathing is an essential element of care, especially for small children. I don't believe that requiring permission to bathe will stop others from abusing children during bath time, or lessen the chance that a child may drown. It simply adds another piece of paperwork for providers. Also, if parents do not give permission, are we to simply allow a child to be filthy? It doesn't make sense in practice. In proposed WAC 170-300-0460 (5)(f) in regards to keeping records of a child's last physical/dental exams, I do not agree with this because this is not our job as providers. We are not required to take them to the doctor, yet would be putting ourselves in a position to be written up if they were not current. It is the responsibility of parents to keep their children current with doctor/dental checkups. There are already systems in place for child care providers to talk to parents to ensure the child is healthy, or turn them in to child protective services. We should		
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,	170-300-0470 Emergency	No		I strongly believe that child care facilities should be prepared for emergency situations, and am glad that the DEL is implementing rules that ensure appropriate	Disagree	Commentary
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	•	No		I believe that the safety of the children in our care is of utmost importance.	Agree	Commentary
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 9 Reporting and Pos	170-300-0480 Transportation and offsite activity policy	No		Proposed WAC 170-300-0480, this is all health and safety information, and I agree that these rules help keep the children in our care safe.	Agree	Commentary

Category Title S	ubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
					.,,,,	,,,,
Program				Proposed WAC 170-300-0485 (2) - I think that any child care facility should be able		
Administration				to terminate care without having to provide warnings or written documentation of		
	.70-300-0485			risk. If a child or their parent/guardian are a risk to the other children in our care, we		
· ' '	ermination of services	N1 -		should be able to terminate immediately with or without prior notice. I do agree	No. Last	
60 Reporting and Pos p	olicy	No		that we should document our reasoning, and dates of incidents.	Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight - Records, Policies, 1	.70-300-0495			I love proposed WAC 170-300-0495! This policy is the cornerstone to providing great care to children. I am not sure how a licensor will be able to gauge the		
61 Reporting and Pos C	Consistent care policy	No		implementation of this WAC, but I do agree with the spirit of it.	Agree	Commentary
				For proposed WAC 170-300-0500 I agree with the spirit of this WAC, but I do not like		
				the amount of proposed paperwork. We are supposed to be spending time with the		
Program				children, and conducting a physical daily is not within the realm of reality for home		
Administration				care providers. Perhaps finding middle ground? For seasoned providers it is already		
and Oversight -				second nature to scan the children to make sure they're feeling well, and speak with		
Records, Policies, 1				parents about their health when necessary. Maybe the state could provide training,		
62 Reporting and Pos p	policy	No		rather than dump more paperwork in our laps?	Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies,						
63 Reporting and Pos 1	70-300-0505 Postings	No		I agree with proposed WAC 170-300-0505	Agree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
	70-300-0485					
, ,	ermination of services			I feel that we should be able to determine on our own when to terminate services. I		
64 Reporting and Pos p	olicy	No		feel like this creates distrust, and makes it feel like we have to justify our reasons.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title Sul	bSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration				Potential risks of pets? I could have a section of my handbook on the potential risks		
and Oversight -				of playing on the playground, the potential risks of being in a group environment.		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0450 Parent			The potential risks ofI really would like to have time to spend with the children in		
65 Reporting and Pos or	guardian handbook	No		my program.	Agree	Commentary
Duagua						
Program				Is it would necessary to give EACH nevent a band head? And it would be a let of work		
Administration				Is it really necessary to give EACH parent a hand book? And it would be a lot of work		
and Oversight -	0.300.0450.0====			to add each menu for 12 months into our handbook. Our menus change from time		
Records, Policies, 170		No		to time and it wouldn't be helpful to have to change our handbook every time we	Disagras	Commontor
66 Reporting and Pos or	guardian nandbook	No		change one item from our menu.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration				I DO NOT BELIEVE WE NEED TO GIVE PARENTS HAND BOOK THEY JUST GLANCE AT		
and Oversight -				REULAR POLICIES AND LET IT GO EVERY TIME WE MADE A CHANGE WE WOULD		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0450 Parent			NEED TO CHANGE PARENTS HANDBOOK TO WE ARE A HOME DAY CARE AND		
67 Reporting and Pos or	guardian handbook	No		PARENTS HAVE OUR POLICIES AND PHOLPSOPHIES W170-300-0450	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0455					
68 Reporting and Pos Att	tendance records	No		THE INFORMATION IS ALREADY IN SIGN IN AND OUTY SHEETS NOT BECESSARY	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration				I FEEL A PROVIDER SHOULD BE ABLE TO GIVE NOTICE IF THERE ARE ISOLVABLE		
	0-300-0485			PROBLEMS BETWERN PASRENTS AND CHILD I TRY YO INFORM PARENTS IF NEED		
Records, Policies, Ter				SHOULD OCCUR EITER BY PRENTS OOR PTOVIDER IT WOULD BE FOR BETTERMENT		
69 Reporting and Pos pol		No		OF BOTH PARTYS	Disagree	Commentary
					0	
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight - 170	0-300-0480			When transporting children it is very important to make sure to do things legally by		
Records, Policies, Tra	ansportation and off-			the book. I agree that the rules we have for transporting kids in our vehicles be		
70 Reporting and Pos site		No		weighted a 7. This is very serious and safety is key.	Agree	Commentary

	Cotogom, Title	Sub Costion -	Weighted	Weighted		Concur	Commont Ton
Ħ	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook I think that it is important for each		
		170-300-0450 Parent			family to receive a handbook. This way the parents know exactly what is expected of		
71		or guardian handbook	No		them, the provider and knows what will be going on at the facility.	Agree	Commentary
/ 1	Reporting and Fos	or guardian nandbook	INU		them, the provider and knows what will be going on at the facility.	Agree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration				WAC 170-300-0460 (4)(g)(iii) Bathing - I do not agree that we should need written		
	and Oversight -				approval to give a child a bath every single time. If you are caring for young children		
	_	170-300-0460 Child			in diapers it is possible that they could have a very bad diaper and require bathing to		
72	Reporting and Pos		No		get clean.		Commentary
	Reporting and 1 00	100103	110		bet death.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -						
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0495			Absolutely! I am happy to see this added. This is essential in an early learning		
73	, ,	Consistent care policy	No		program.	Agree	Commentary
	neperting and res	Consistent care poney			p. 00. 0	7.6.00	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration				170-300-0455(3) This just makes for unnecessary busywork. I'm curious about the		
	and Oversight -				conversation that lead to this WAC, because I don't see how it effects the		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0455			quality of care we provide, or even the health, safety, and well-being of the children		
74	, ,	Attendance records	No		and staff.	Disagree	Commentary
<u> </u>	-,						
	Program				While I agree with most of the WAC rule on the terminations policy 170-300-0485, I		
	Administration				disagree with lack additional rule When a CHILD or parent becomes dangerous to		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0485			the other children in the center, the center should have the right to terminate		
	Records, Policies,	Termination of services			services at that time. This should be done in writing and a copy kept in the child's		
75	Reporting and Pos		No		file.	Disagree	Commentary
		r1			···•		oo.minemeary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				I don't know how it can be enforced that a parent only brings their child for 10 hours		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0455			a day. What if a parent works 10 hour shifts and needs care for 11 hours? Should		
76	, ,	Attendance records	No		they be turned away?	Neutral	Commentary
, 0	reporting and FOS	Acceluance records	110		they be turned away:	recutial	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category Title Sul	bSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				I read at the end (ee) menu for parent handbooks doesn't state whether it's a		
				sample needing to be provided or to give them monthly menu's. Currently our Policy		
				and Procedures have us putting a sample of meals (nutritional info). Most providers		
				use a USDA program that over see what serve which we report daily with		
Program				attendance. Parents already do attendance under WAC in P&P. You don't		
Administration				understand what parent really need. They need to get to work and home to get		
and Oversight -				dinner before it's to late. The more when hand them all the menu's changes that		
Records, Policies, 170				occur and get them to sign off parents are frustrated. In most cases these go in the		
77 Reporting and Pos or	guardian handbook	No		trash at home.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170				I like that this item is being addressed; consistent care is so important through the		
78 Reporting and Pos Co	nsistent care policy	No		early years. Though I wonder how this will be enforced, I do support it.	Agree	Commentary
				170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook I do think that each family should have		
				a handbook for reference. I agree that most don't read it, but if you have a signed		
				statement saying that they have read it, if you have any concerns or a problem		
				arises, you can refer back to your handbook. I don't think that some of the		
				requirements need to be in the handbook. We have seasonal menus that can vary		
				depending on what ingredients are available at the time. We have them posted for		
				the parents to see but it would be way too time consuming to change the handbook		
				that often. I believe pointing the parents to where they are posted should be		
Program				enough. I also don't think that you need the potential health risks of animals/pets		
Administration				included in the handbook. I think having a sign posted by the animal (in a center) or		
and Oversight -	0.000.0450.0			in the entry way with other documents (in home care) should be sufficient. If an		
Records, Policies, 170				animal dies or is replaced with another, you would have to redo the whole		
79 Reporting and Pos or	guardian handbook	No		handbook.	Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Program Administration						
	0 200 0475 Duby +0					
~	0-300-0475 Duty to otect children and					
· · ·		Voc	670	I think this provides good information and stresses the importance	Agroo	Commonton
80 Reporting and Pos rep	port incluents	Yes	6,7,8	I think this provides good information and stresses the importance.	Agree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
81	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos		Yes	1,5	170-300-0455 Attendance records I do think that accurate attendance records need to be kept, however, I do not think that there needs to be a staff/child ratio record kept. You can look at the sign in/out sheets for the child and the staff schedule to make sure that there is enough staff if you need to. To require providers to also keep track of how many staff are working and with which child and for how long, is just more paperwork for us! I think that section (3) should be deleted.	Disagree	Commentary
82	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos		No		I like what this states but I think it should re-iterate what examples of appropriate restraint are.	Neutral	Substantive
83	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos		No		170-300-0495 Consistent care policy I love that this is being included even though I am not sure how it can be enforced. I believe that children should have consistent care by a consistent adult as much as possible. I think it is easier for them to form trusting relationships with a caring adult if they know what to expect each day. If they feel safe in the classroom (or home) they will be able to learn and grow.	Agree	Commentary
84	· ·	170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook	No		170-300-0180 (3) I DO NOT think it is the childcare's responsibility to brush the children's teeth. This is something families can do with their children before coming to childcare, and again at home, before bed.	Disagree	Commentary
85		170-300-0485 Termination of services policy	No		Providers should not have to keep documentation when a family fails to pay in a timely manner. Usually a family is aware of the termination policy and given something in writing at the time of enrollment that explains that child care may be terminated immediately if payment is not made. Waiting for a paper trail would cause providers to have accounts unpaid, while a child is able to continue services. That child care slot is not reserved if not paid, and should be able to be filled by another family.	Disagree	Commentary
86	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos		No		Who provides training on restraint in child care? This training is harder to find than medication management. Sometimes a child has to be physically restrained from injuring others in care.	Neutral	Other

_	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
	ategory ritie	Jubsections	Comment	Value	Comments	Турс	comment type
					The proposed WAC on Attendance 170-300-455, item (3) would require a new		
					system of merging staff timesheets and child attendance records, OR transferring the already recorded timesheet information onto the daily attendance records. This		
					is a portion of the proposed WAC that would create an unnecessary administrative		
					burden on providers. There is already a requirement that staff work hours be		
					posted, and that seems sufficient. If DEL wants more information, why not simply		
					add to the required posting WHAT CLASSROOM each staff person work in? This is		
Р	Program				another example of additional paperwork that is likely already being done in an		
	Administration				ECEAP setting â€" with STATE funding for extra administrators. Child care centers do		
a	and Oversight -				not have time to add more paperwork to the abundance already required, nor do		
R	Records, Policies,	170-300-0455			providers have the State funding to hire additional staff to deal with all of the new		
7 R	Reporting and Pos	Attendance records	No		paperwork requirements.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Program Administration						
	and Oversight -				Centers should not have to track teacher/child ratio on an attendance record. This		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0455			would be too complicated to document and is not relevant to our daily attendance		
		Attendance records	No		records.	Disagree	Commentary
							-
					Having to document staff to child ratios is unnecessary busy work. There are		
	Program				documented child start and end times as long as staff clocking in and out. If there is		
	Administration				a concern that ratios are not being met then these two records can be compared. To		
	and Oversight -	470 000 0455			have to do this on a daily basis for a center that is always in ratio compliance is a bit		
	Records, Policies,		Na		much. This sounds like something to have the center do if they have a	D:	C
9 1	Reporting and Pos	Attendance records	No		noncompliance in this area.	Disagree	Commentary
P	Program						
Α	Administration				My only issue with this WAC is that many points are taken straight from Early		
а	and Oversight -				Achievers such as curriculum philosophy and kindergarten transition plan. Our		
R	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			center is already compliant with about 95% of these requirements as we are Early		
0 F	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		Achiever participants. I am questioning why WAC is duplicating Early Achievers.	Neutral	Commentary

Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
category ritte	Subsections	Comment	value	Comments	Турс	Comment Type
				170-300-0485 I agree with this requirement. Terminating childcare services should		
				be the last action taken after many options have been exhausted and all of those		
				options have been discussed and documented by director/management, teachers,		
				parents and child, also any other parties involved in the well-being of the child i.e.		
Program				therapists, doctor, etc. Documentation of resources provided and steps taken to		
Administration				provide the best care possible will only prove that the center staff did everything in		
	170-300-0485			their power to provide the best care for the child. It is our responsibility as early		
	Termination of services			learning professionals to do everything we can to keep a child in a program rather		_
91 Reporting and Pos	policy	No		than looking for the first opportunity to kick a child out when things get difficult.	Agree	Commentary
Program				It seems a bit redundant to have both a nurse or doctor and the department sign off		
Administration				on the health plan. I would think that the DEL would be able to sign off on a health		
and Oversight -				plan, most are going to look similar to each other. I am hoping that teeth brushing is		
Records, Policies,	170-300-0500 Health			not going to become required. Most dentist will tell you that brushing teeth twice a		
92 Reporting and Pos	policy	No		day is sufficient, this can be done at home with the parents.	Disagree	Commentary
Brogram						
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos		No		This is not needed as long as this information is up somewhere in the building. It would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work.	Disagree	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,		No		would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work.	Disagree	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Page 12 - Policies, Reporting and Pos		No		would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines.	Disagree	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,		No		would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines. It needs to be remembered that this is a privately owned business. The WAC should	Disagree	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos Program Administration		No		would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines. It needs to be remembered that this is a privately owned business. The WAC should not be telling people how to run their business. Yes we want what is best for the	Disagree	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Program	Attendance records	No		would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines. It needs to be remembered that this is a privately owned business. The WAC should	Disagree	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos Program Administration and Oversight -	Attendance records 170-300-0495	No No		would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines. It needs to be remembered that this is a privately owned business. The WAC should not be telling people how to run their business. Yes we want what is best for the children, but this is a very fine line. It is also not written well, using phrases like	Disagree Neutral	Commentary
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 93 Reporting and Pos Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 94 Reporting and Pos Program Administration	Attendance records 170-300-0495			would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines. It needs to be remembered that this is a privately owned business. The WAC should not be telling people how to run their business. Yes we want what is best for the children, but this is a very fine line. It is also not written well, using phrases like "when possible" and "try to" what are the boundaries for these terms? This seems like more of a suggestion, not something that can be enforced.	J	,
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 93 Reporting and Pos Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 94 Reporting and Pos Program	Attendance records 170-300-0495 Consistent care policy			would just be busy work and in a center the important thing is being with the kids not doing more paper work. I understand the point behind this WAC, but these seems to be crossing some lines. It needs to be remembered that this is a privately owned business. The WAC should not be telling people how to run their business. Yes we want what is best for the children, but this is a very fine line. It is also not written well, using phrases like "when possible" and "try to" what are the boundaries for these terms? This seems	J	,

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# (Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
i i	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0455 Attendance records	No		Staff hours are already posted in plain sight for all parents, staff, and licensing to see. This is unnecessary. Centers who are licensed should already be following ratios and this is covered in other areas. This change is unnecessary and does not interfere with the protection and well being of children in care. Again this information is posted in other areas of the center and unnecessary paperwork for staff.		Commentary
i i	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0470 Emergency preparedness plan	Yes	NA,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
i i		170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
á	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0455 Attendance records	Yes	1,5	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
i i	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0460 Child records	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive

# Category Title SubSections Comment Value Comments Type Comment Ty Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child 101 Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Emergency Records, Policies, Emergency 103 Reporting and Pos preparedness plan Yes NA,5,6 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive				Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child 101 Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency	#	Category Title	SubSections	_	_			Comment Type
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child 101 Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		category ritie		Comment	raide	Comments	1,400	comment type
Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child 101 Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency								
and Oversight - Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		Program						
Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		Administration						
Records, Policies, 170-300-0460 Child Reporting and Pos records Yes 5,6,7 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		and Oversight -						
Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		_	170-300-0460 Child					
Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency	101	Reporting and Pos	records	Yes	5,6,7	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency								
Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency								
and Oversight - 170-300-0465 Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		Program						
Records, Policies, Retaining facility and 102 Reporting and Pos program records Yes 1,4 All weights need to be removed. Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		Administration						
Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		and Oversight -						
Program Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency								
Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency	102	Reporting and Pos	program records	Yes	1,4	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency								
Administration and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency								
and Oversight - 170-300-0470 Records, Policies, Emergency		· ·						
Records, Policies, Emergency								
103 Reporting and Pos preparedness plan Yes NA,5,6 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive			· ,					
	103	Reporting and Pos	preparedness plan	Yes	NA,5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Program Program		Program						
Administration		_						
and Oversight - 170-300-0475 Duty to			170-300-0475 Duty to					
Records, Policies, protect children and		_						
104 Reporting and Pos report incidents Yes 6,7,8 All weights need to be removed. Disagree Substantive		, ,	•	Yes	6.7.8	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
After looking at the Child Care Check app on the DEL website - looking at centers in		map a man a a			-7.7-			Jastanare
the area and in other regions, it's become clear that there are centers that have						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
been found out of compliance on staff-to-child ratios on multiple occasions. That's						- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Program likely the reason DEL wants the staff hours on attendance forms. BUT - to place a		Program				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Administration paperwork burden (and yes, it would be time-intensive) on everyone is unfair. It's		_						
and Oversight - reasonable to expect a provider to be able to have records of dates/times each staff		and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170-300-0455 member worked, which would be sufficient to provide the documentation without		_	170-300-0455			· ·		
105 Reporting and Pos Attendance records No achieving it in the way this WAC proposes. Disagree Commentary	105	Reporting and Pos	Attendance records	No		achieving it in the way this WAC proposes.	Disagree	Commentary

m stration ersight - s, Policies,	170-300-0475 Duty to protect children and report incidents 170-300-0480 Transportation and offsite activity policy	No	Value	l agree.	Agree	Comment Type Commentary
ersight - ersight - es, Policies, ing and Pos m estration ersight - es, Policies,	protect children and report incidents 170-300-0480 Transportation and off-	No		I agree.	Agree	Commentary
ersight - ersight - es, Policies, ing and Pos m estration ersight - es, Policies,	protect children and report incidents 170-300-0480 Transportation and off-	No		l agree.	Agree	Commentary
ersight - ersight - es, Policies, ing and Pos m estration ersight - es, Policies,	protect children and report incidents 170-300-0480 Transportation and off-	No		I agree.	Agree	Commentary
ersight - es, Policies, ing and Pos m estration ersight - es, Policies,	protect children and report incidents 170-300-0480 Transportation and off-	No		I agree.	Agree	Commentary
m stration ersight - s, Policies,	protect children and report incidents 170-300-0480 Transportation and off-	No		I agree.	Agree	Commentary
m stration ersight - s, Policies,	170-300-0480 Transportation and off-	No		l agree.	Agree	Commentary
stration ersight - s, Policies,	Transportation and off-					
stration ersight - s, Policies,	Transportation and off-					
ersight - s, Policies,	Transportation and off-					
s, Policies,	Transportation and off-					
ing and Pos	site activity policy					
		Yes	5,6,7	All weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
		No		other drivers of an emergencythis is not necessarywe so no need to buy these triangles to transport the childrenwe would be leaving the children unattended in the car while placing these and taking the children with us as we place these is more dangerousworking flasher that come with the vehicle is enough. 4(g) Assure the driver has a valid Washington state driver's license for the type of vehicle being driven and a safe driving record for at least the last five yearshow are we supposed to check their diving history??? We can ask but they could lie.		Commentary
m						
	170 200 0495					
ū						
		Voc	NA 5 6	All weights should be removed	Dicagree	Substantive
is is in	ersight - , Policies, ng and Pos n tration ersight - , Policies,	tration ersight - 170-300-0480 Transportation and off- ng and Pos site activity policy n tration ersight - 170-300-0485 Termination of services ng and Pos policy	erration ersight - 170-300-0480 c, Policies, Transportation and off- ng and Pos site activity policy No no ersight - 170-300-0485 c, Policies, Termination of services ng and Pos policy Yes	Atration Persight - 170-300-0480 The provided of the provided	triangles to transport the childrenwe would be leaving the children unattended in the car while placing these and taking the children with us as we place these is more dangerousworking flasher that come with the vehicle is enough. 4(g) Assure the driver has a valid Washington state driver's license for the type of vehicle being driven and a safe driving record for at least the last five yearshow are we supposed to check their diving history??? We can ask but they could lie. Transportation and off-site activity policy No supposed to check their diving history??? We can ask but they could lie. Tration tration tration policy Termination of services and and Pos policy Yes NA,5,6 All weights should be removed.	triangles to transport the childrenwe would be leaving the children unattended in the car while placing these and taking the children with us as we place these is more dangerousworking flasher that come with the vehicle is enough. 4(g) Assure the driver has a valid Washington state driver's license for the type of vehicle being driven and a safe driving record for at least the last five yearshow are we supposed to check their diving history??? We can ask but they could lie. Disagree 170-300-0485 Policies, Termination of services ag and Pos policy Yes NA,5,6 All weights should be removed. Disagree

Cotogomy Title	hCastians	Weighted	Weighted	Commonte	Concur	Commont Torri
Category Title Su	bSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program				Another ANNUAL training that providers will need to do and a policy that will need		
Administration				to be written. the odds of this actually happening is very slim. I do not feel annual		
and Oversight -				training of this kind needs to happen so often. If you make this a WACthis class		
Records, Policies, 17	0-300-0490 Child			needs to be FREE and at times that all people are available which are evening and		
Reporting and Pos res	straint policy	No		and weekends.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 17	0-300-0495					
.12 Reporting and Pos Co	nsistent care policy	Yes	1	all weights need to be removed	Disagree	Substantive
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -				This is what all providers wantbut due to the over regulation of		
Records, Policies, 17				childcareproviders and staff are "running"from this field and it is hard to retain		
13 Reporting and Pos Co	misistent care policy	No		staff so consistent care can actually happen. :(Neutral	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 17	0-300-0500 Health					
14 Reporting and Pos po	licy	Yes	5	all weights need to be removed	Disagree	Substantive
Program Administration						
Administration and Oversight -				I understand the purpose of this WACbut you are burying us all in paperwork. DEL		
Records, Policies, 17	'0-300-0500 Health			needs to update their forms for providers use that has all these things listed so we		
15 Reporting and Pos po		No		can hand them to parentswhy do we have to write EVERYTHING???	Disagree	Commentary
Program Administration						·
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies,						
.16 Reporting and Pos 17	'0-300-0505 Postings	Yes	1,5,6	all weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title Sub	Sections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -				(0) 0:		
Records, Policies,	200 0505 0	N1 -		(3) Dietary restrictions, known allergies and nutrition requirements for particular	D'	
17 Reporting and Pos 170	J-300-0505 Postings	No		childrenHELLO confidentiality herePARENTS SHOULD NOT have access to this.	Disagree	Commentary
Program				day care and centers have their policies and regulations that are already covered in		
Administration				their handbook= policies signed and dated by parents and parents given a copy		
and Oversight -				covered 170=300=-0450 which include requirements policies reporting and I see no		
Records, Policies, 170)-300-0450 Parent			need for further paperwork which also includes early learning and kindergarten		
18 Reporting and Pos or g		No		which should only be required for those programs	Disagree	Commentary
Lo neporting and 1 03 Of g	5 daraidii ildiidbook	110		Third should only be required for those programs	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -				sign in by parents guardian are recorded daily if you have staff the same type of		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0455			daily records there is no need for more paperwork and I don't feel electronic sign ins		
19 Reporting and Pos Atte		Yes	1,5	should be forced but in providers own agenda	Disagree	Commentary
			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170				do not believe and when asked parents they along with me thought that would be		
20 Reporting and Pos reco	ords	No		included in the normal daily care of child if bathing would become necessa 300-060	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration				300=0470 these requirements are already given and plans when parents register as		
and Oversight - 170)-300-0470			to home day cares on fire marshal I called the fire dept. near me they do not visit		
Records, Policies, Eme	ergency			but have taken down address and that I am a home day care would that be required $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) $		
21 Reporting and Pos pre	paredness plan	Yes	NA,5,6	to call them yearly to update your still doing home day care	Disagree	Commentary
Danaga						
Program Administration						
	300 0495			200-040E termination is usually in contract and if there is look of shildtibility.		
	0-300-0485			300=0485 termination is usually in contract and if there is lack of child compatibility		
<i>'</i>	mination of services	Vos	NAEC	and it effects the home day care termination would be best for parents provider and	Noutral	Commercial
.22 Reporting and Pos poli	ıcy	Yes	NA,5,6	child for parents to seek another day care that child would be more compatible with	neutral	Commentary

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
•	caregory mas			70.00		.,,,,	
					As a private center I repeatedly deal with parents lack of payment and I spend a lot		
					of time tracking payments down. While most of my relationships with the families I		
	ID				serve are very good occasionally I am unable to come to connect with families and		
	Program Administration				feel that there is a partnership. You can not make someone form a partnership. As a business owner I have rights I should not have to document my every attempt to		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0480			create a relationship with a family. At some point we need to be trusted to do our		
	· ·	Transportation and off-			job. Documentation is getting out of control and the time I get to spend in the		
	Reporting and Pos	•	No		classroom doing what I love is less and less. I love children not paperwork.	Disagree	Commentary
							Commencery
	Program				While I agree with the concept of consistency of care, if DSHS subsidies do not		
	Administration				increase the rate of pay it is going to be increasingly more difficult. I have closed my		
	and Oversight -				infant room and am looking at closing the toddler room because I can not afford the		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0495			cost to run them with full time staff. It is more cost effective to run the program		
١24	Reporting and Pos	Consistent care policy	No		with more part time staff.	Neutral	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				I do not feel it is necessary to have the children brush their teeth. It is a nice practice		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0500 Health					
125	Reporting and Pos				but I have 20 preschoolers in my class each day and a total enrollment of 35		
123 1	<u> </u>		No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes.	Disagree	Commentary
-			No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in	Disagree	Commentary
-			No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate	Disagree	Commentary
-	Program		No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration		No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration and Oversight -	policy	No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,	policy 170-300-0495			preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL presented us examples of what a consistent care policy would look like since I'm	J	,
	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,	policy	No No		preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL	Disagree Agree	Commentary
	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	policy 170-300-0495			preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL presented us examples of what a consistent care policy would look like since I'm	J	,
	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos Program	policy 170-300-0495			preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL presented us examples of what a consistent care policy would look like since I'm	J	,
126	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos Program Administration	policy 170-300-0495			preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL presented us examples of what a consistent care policy would look like since I'm	J	,
126	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos Program	policy 170-300-0495 Consistent care policy			preschoolers on different days. I do not want to store 35 toothbrushes. 170-300-0495 Consistent Care policy I agree that consistent care is very important in a child's life however, I'm struggling on how to write up a policy that is appropriate when I'm already the only caregiver caring for the children in my business. I have spent hundreds of hours over the years building a strong and detailed Parent Handbook, Health Care Practices and Disaster Plan. It would be helpful if DEL presented us examples of what a consistent care policy would look like since I'm	J	,

Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
			70.00		.,,,,	урс
Program				If a parent enters or attempts to enter the facility, or is on the premises (the parking		
Administration				lot)and displays aggressive, violent, or disorderly behavior - including carrying a		
and Oversight -	170-300-0485			weapon - the provider MUST be able to discontinue services immediately. Requiring		
Records, Policies,	Termination of services			documentation would require continuing services with an unstable individual,		
.28 Reporting and Pos	policy	No		thereby putting staff, children, and other families at risk.	Disagree	Commentary
				I will use an example to make a point. To preface, we have had many children over		
				the years that have bitten other children. It is a developmentally normal but		
				unwanted behavior, and children are redirected and as verbal skills increase the		
				behavior usually disappears. In seventeen years we have only ONCE discontinued		
				services for that behavior. Nearly a decade ago, there was a young child enrolled in		
				our center who would lunge at another child - out of nowhere - and bite the other		
				child's cheek, latching on so hard there were visible marks for several days. We had		
				a staff person shadow the child (extra expense) and there was never an indication or		
				clue that he was about to drop what he was doing and lunge at another child. Yes,		
				we took steps – writing Incident Reports, speaking to the parent, putting a		
				shadow staff member on the child - but it became clear very quickly that we could		
				not continue services for this child. We did not have a resource to offer, nor was the		
				parent interested in hearing about any incidents of her child biting. Some		
Program				documentation might have been possible, but services were discontinued fairly		
Administration				quickly. I firmly believe that Incident Reports (signed by the parent) should be ALL		
and Oversight -	170-300-0485			the documentation that's necessary. BUT, these are businesses and the State is		
J	Termination of services			going too far in wanting to over-regulate this particular industry ONLY for the		
.29 Reporting and Pos		No		purpose of alignment with ECEAP - a STATE run organization.	Disagree	Commentary
23 Reporting and F03	policy	NO		purpose of angliment with ECEAF - a STATE full organization.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies,				Insurance information should not be a required posting. Currently, that information		
130 Reporting and Pos	170-300-0505 Postings	No		has to be available to a licensor, but is not posted.	Disagree	Commentary

Category Title Sub	Sections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
Category Title Sur) Sections	Comment	value	Comments	туре	Comment Type
				In trying to understand this new scoring/penalty/fine system, and looking at ONE		
				example of how it would be applied â€" a weight of 6 is applied to WAC 170-300-		
Program				0460, item (5) (f) on Child Records. So if a parent does not fill in the date of the		
Administration				child's last physical and/or dental exam, and this violation occurs two or more		
and Oversight -				times in 36 months â€" THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical assistance and the provider		
Records, Policies, 170				must create a Safety Plan!!! This is about paperwork. A parent may not have yet		_
.31 Reporting and Pos rec	ords	No		taken their child in for a dental exam (as is their right, regardless of our opinion).	Disagree	Commentary
				An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 6 is attached to		
				WAC 170-300-0460, item (4) (a) on Child Records. Now providers must document		
				the END date for children no longer enrolled in the child care center/family home. If		
				that END date is not documented and this violation occurs two times in 36 months -		
Program				THERE WILL BE A FINE, technical assistance and the provider must create a Safety		
Administration				Plan!!! This is a minor paperwork note, is a NEW and unnecessary requirement, and		
and Oversight -				it does not have any bearing on the safety and well-being of any child. This is absurd		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0460 Child			Seriously â€" is DEL not reading and calculating any of this and realizing the		
132 Reporting and Pos rec	ords	No		absurdity of this over-regulated penalty system?	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0450 Parent					
133 Reporting and Pos or g	guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	Remove the weights.	Disagree	Substantive
Program				Fines for missing dates of last day of enrollment is a bit over the top. Why is this		
Administration				needed? How does this keep child safe/unsafe. What is the reason for this WAC?		
and Oversight -				Why is a provider being fined if a parent doesn't fill out the last date or exam		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0460 Child			history? Typically we go thru the paperwork with the parent but to be fined for this.		
Reporting and Pos rec		Yes	5,6,7	Ridiculous .	Neutral	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program Administration and Oversight -	170-300-0450 Parent			Good morning, Last Thursday night after our provider appreciation event we were approached by a fellow provider who has serious concerns about the definition of "active supervision". After reading how DEL defines active supervision we all need to be concerned. DEL's definition of active supervision appears to have come directly from Head Start and was meant for centers only. Here is DEL's definition of active supervision," "Active supervision" means focused attention and intentional observation of children at all times. An early learning provider must position themselves to observe all children: watching, counting, and listening at all times. They must also use their knowledge of each child's development and abilities to anticipate what a child may do, and get involved or redirect children if necessary. Infants, toddlers, and preschoolers must be supervised at all times including daily routines such as sleeping, eating, changing diapers, or using the bathroom. But wait, there's more. Under environment WAC 170-300-0140, 6a describes how it will be used in all programs; be designed to allow for appropriate supervisions on no obstructions to sight such as WALLS, tall shelving, or tall furniture are between the children in care and staff supervising the children; with a Weight of 51 Did anyone from DEL read this and consider how it will affect FHCC? A single provider with 10 children is going to do this how? Another good provider forced to close their door to meet DEL's definition of active supervision! Keeping 10 children in one room is conducive to Early Achievers' standards how? Obviously not a coveted Level 4. I doubt DEL will see many level 3's with this regulation either. We can kiss free play good by, quiet areas, active learning centers, and areas appropriate for older kids, but considered unsafe for younger ones because of choking hazards. You cannot have all of this in one room and expect children to thrive. And if a rogue licensor insists on this draconian learning format, then she writes a provider up b		
135	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		Washington with this WAC alignment. Thank you for your time, William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary
136	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0455 Attendance records	No		It is true that some child care providers unfortunately do not always keep in compliance with their assigned teacher-to-child ratio but I agree with another commenter that it is unfair to inflict this burden and mountain of paperwork on all child care providers. Here at my center, we make a point to NEVER go over numbers and out of compliance. Is it possible to be waived from this WAC (170-300-0455) unless the center receives a write-up over teacher-to-child ratios?	Disagree	Other

Category Title Su		Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
					.,,,,	урс Турс
Program						
Administration				As a business owner I have the right to refuse service as I see fit. Being a very family-		
	0-300-0485			oriented and "homey" feeling center, we ALWAYS reserve resorting to		
Records, Policies, Te				this as the very LAST course of action but I feel that enacting this rule change takes		
137 Reporting and Pos po	olicy	No		away our rights and liberties as business owners.	Disagree	Commentary
				I think we can all agree that consistency is hugely important for a child but I'm a bit		
				confused how this is going to be enforced. In the past, inconsistency at my center		
Program				comes in the form of staff turnover (something I CERTAINLY do not want). This		
Administration				turnover mostly occurs due to the wages in which my staff are paid. I would love to		
and Oversight -	20. 200. 0405			pay them more but that would directly affect our tuition for the hard working		
Records, Policies, 17		N1 -		middle-class privately paying families. How could it possibly be fair to punish a	Nie Leel	
.38 Reporting and Pos Co	insistent care policy	No		center for something like this!?!?!?!	Neutral	Commentary
Dragram				170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook: All of this information is already posted		
Program Administration				on my center's website and as long as that is acceptable I don't see why this would be problematic for me. If it were required that this all be printed out and handed to		
and Oversight -				each and every parent that enrolls then I would be strongly opposed to it as we		
Records, Policies, 17	10-200-0450 Parent			would be handing each family a novel that most likely will just be thrown away once		
139 Reporting and Pos or		No		they get home.	Neutral	Commentary
133 Reporting and F03 Of	guaruiaii iiaiiubook	INO		tiley get nome.	Neutrai	Commentary
Program						
Administration				Most all of this information is already found in each of my students' files here.		
and Oversight -				As long as the parent handbook is allowed to be on my website for the parents to		
Records, Policies, 17				read (and of course sign a signature slip proving that has been done) I have no		
L40 Reporting and Pos red	cords	No		qualms with this.	Neutral	Commentary
Program				Toothbrushing should be done at home by the parents of these children. It's looking		
Administration				more and more like our days will be filled with checking off all of our duties that I		
and Oversight -				don't see a time for each and every child to spend the one-on-one time necessary		
Records, Policies, 17	0-300-0500 Health			with the provider to build a trusting bond that each child absolutely needs. I feel like		
141 Reporting and Pos po		No		we're headed towards all becoming drill sergeants instead of child care providers.		Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					This WAC is a list of records that must be retained for DEL. The list itself is already		
					an "adopted permanent rule" eyet it includes items that are still open for public		
					comment. Should I take that to mean that the public comments aren't really going		
					to be read and considered?? For instance, fire extinguishers are inspected YEARLY		
	D				but this records list says "monthly". I know I'm not qualified to inspect fire		
	Program				extinguishers – why there are companies in business to do just that. At least half		
	Administration	170 200 0465			the list includes items still open for public comment. Compiling a list of required		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0465			records (and making the list a permanent rule) makes no sense when the items		
	Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and	N1 -		aren't yet WAC's. It begs the question about why bother with a public comment	D'	6
142	Reporting and Pos	program records	No		portal?	Disagree	Commentary
	Program				Dietary restrictions, known allergies and nutrition requirements for particular		
	Administration				children; Weight #5 This is supposed to be confidential, why would parents have this		
	and Oversight -				available to them. My staff has it available in different forms, I-Pads, printed out		
	Records, Policies,				allergy list and on the child's health form. The parents should not have access to this		
		170-300-0505 Postings	No		information.	Disagree	Commentary
	1 0	<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				If the background check is portable then the employee owns it and should be		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			responsible for the fee. It isn't fair to the employer, what if after a day or a week or		
144	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		a month the employee quits? This is not justifiable.	Disagree	Commentary
					The funny thing about this one is that numbers 1-3 out of the 4 subsections have		
					already been voted in… I received an email this morning from DEL of some WACs		
	Program				that were voted in early (without forewarning I might add) and this is one of them.		
	Administration				Just so you know it looks like any of your comments on this particular WAC won't		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0465			make a bit of difference they're going to do whatever they want anyways. I		
	Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and			wonder what WACs will be voted in without warning tomorrow while they are		
145	Reporting and Pos	program records	No		simultaneously up for public comment….	Neutral	Commentary
	Program				170-300-0455 Attendance records We already have times each employee works		
	Administration				each day as it is required for licensing and we have an electronic time card machine		
	and Oversight -				that records employee work hours . For our small center the teacher does not		
	· ·	170 200 0455			· ·		
	Records, Policies,	1/0-300-0455			change every day, as in larger places. I do not feel we need an extra log for		

# Category Title S	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
+ Category Title 3	oubsections	Comment	value	Comments	туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration				170-300-0495 This is not a situation that would not work for illness or vacations as		
and Oversight -				we do not have the ability to hire all teachers with degrees. If we need a substitute		
Records, Policies, 1	170-300-0495			all we have to draw from is our support staff we do not have a pool of people to		
147 Reporting and Pos C	Consistent care policy	No		draw from that have the lead teacher qualifications	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -				3. do the math 2 minutes to brush teeth times 10 kids? 20 minutes times 3 meals?		
Records, Policies, 1	70-300-0450 Parent			oh look, an hour gone from the staff's day supervising children brush their teeth or		
148 Reporting and Pos o	or guardian handbook	No		brushing their teeth for them. That's excessive	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	70 200 0450 0			If a small family home provider is the only staff it seems ridiculous to have them sign		
Records, Policies, 1				in and out when she is the only employee/staff. It will just add unneeded weighted	D:	_
149 Reporting and Pos o	or guardian nandbook	No		WAC's against a facility with only 1 licensee who is also the only staff.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 1	70-300-0450 Parent			What is listed for the handbook seems reasonable to me as a Licensed Child Care		
150 Reporting and Pos o		No		Provider.	Agree	Commentary
						,
Program				It makes sense to me to know where each teacher is in regards to attendance.		
Administration				Sometimes we move teachers to different classrooms or send them home early,		
and Oversight -				depending on how many children are in a classroom. If a teacher is in a different		
Records, Policies, 1	70-300-0455			location, was sick and had a sub, or left early, you would not be able to tell by the		
151 Reporting and Pos A	Attendance records	No		schedule who was in what classroom.	Agree	Commentary
			_			
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 1						
152 Reporting and Pos re	ecords	No		This seems fair	Agree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program						
	Administration	170 200 0470			The sale ships should ship is silled a have Fasthan also relieved Contract. This weeken		
	and Oversight - Records, Policies,	170-300-0470			The only thing that I think is silly is to have Earthquake policy in Spokane. This makes sense for the west side of the state, but in the 21 years I have lived in Spokane, I		
152	Reporting and Pos	Emergency	No		have never witnessed an earthquake.	Neutral	Commontant
155	Reporting and Pos	prepareuriess pian	NU		nave never withessed an earthquake.	Neutrai	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -	170-300-0475 Duty to					
	Records, Policies,	protect children and					
154	Reporting and Pos	report incidents	No		These are all things we already do.	Agree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -	170-300-0480					
455	1	Transportation and off-	NI.		All the control of the		
155	Reporting and Pos	site activity policy	No		All things we already do	Agree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -	170-300-0485			Termination is a last option and from a legal standpoint, it makes sense to		
	Records, Policies,	Termination of services			document this. I do however feel that there may be times that the behavior of a		
156	Reporting and Pos		No		parent might warrant immediate termination.	Neutral	Commentary
	, ,	. ,					
					I understand restraint should be a last resort and that if done wrong could injure the		
	Program				child. However, there are many instances where it is needed for safety of the child,		
	Administration				or even the environment and the requirements are very strict if it is done. It makes it		
	and Oversight -				feel like you are making a CPS report, almost. I also think before this is enacted that		
	1	170-300-0490 Child			you create a training that is easily accessible(like Infant Safe Sleep). Making training		
157	Reporting and Pos	restraint policy	No		a requirement without providing said training does not makes sense.	Neutral	Commentary

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
158	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0495 Consistent care policy	No		We already try to keep consistent care, but as child care has high turnover, this can be hard to accomplish. This just seems like a silly thing to have written down as a rule.	Neutral	Commentary
159	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0500 Health policy	No		Already in place	Agree	Commentary
160	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0460 Child records	No		I understand the need for enforcement and weighting the rules is understand able but files for information that is really not part of keeping children safe, happy and healthy need to be eliminated. End date, address for contacts - as long as I know they are coming from an area say Redmond to my Woodinville School, that is all I need. Why a full address, I am never going to contact them by mail. If you will be applying fines for simple paperwork issues you are going to have providers quite just for the harassment. We have long waiting lists, & parents have trouble finding quality care. You are making it harder and harder to spend time with the children.		Commentary
161	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0495 Consistent care policy	No		I do believe that termination should be a last resort and that other paths should be followed first, working with the family is very important and getting services to support the child and family is important and can be very rewarding. In 14 years we have only terminated 3 times. Once a child with special needs that we could not provide adequate care for and we had the recommendation of Kindering. Many families we have gotten them the support they need and they stay with us and work with specialists or move to a special school. But twice it was due to the overly aggression on a parent or child's part. We still worked with those families and tried to offer support and refereed them to special services but needed to end care for the greater good of the class. As a quality school we need to have steps in place so parents understand the guidelines and the termination that can happen. We need to not be penalized if we do terminate.		Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				I feel strongly this is important and it is the manner we opperate under. When I interview teachers I hear about corporate centers that move kids around, have high		
Drogram				turn over and teachers are not always with the same group. The all mighty dollar is		
Program Administration				the most important aspect for them. We enjoy being able to take advantage of the lower numbers and spend more one on one time with our students. The parents		
and Oversight -				have already paid for the time, that ensures the budget is covered and the		
Records, Policies,	170-300-0495			interactions and extra curriculum time creates positives for all. Teachers feel valued		
1 '	Consistent care policy	No		and stay, and they are more bonded with their students that they have every day.	Agree	Commentary
	· ,			I don't feel the handbook needs to be printed and handed in writing to the parent		•
Program				when it is available on our web site. For the lowest denominator the library and our		
Administration				school would have a computer that parents could use to access the handbook. I		
and Oversight -				have the handbook in print in the lobby so they can view it as well. I would print it		
, ,	170-300-0450 Parent			upon request and have offered to several times. Going green we try to do everything		
L63 Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		digitally and in my area parents do not have issues with access.	Neutral	Commentary
	170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook	No		All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Reporting and 1 03	or guardian numbbook	110		7 iii Weights freed to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
1 '	170-300-0490 Child					
.65 Reporting and Pos	restraint policy	Yes	5,6	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies,	170-300-0495					
166 Reporting and Pos	Consistent care policy	Yes	1	All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
	170-300-0500 Health	V	_	All Solds and to be seen ad	D:	C. halaasi
167 Reporting and Pos	policy	Yes	5	5 All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category Title Sub	bSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Drogram						
Program Administration				This proposed WAC is vague. It fails to define the training: who provides, what		
and Oversight -				satisfies "training.‶f required to be a formal, DEL approved training, DEL needs		
· ·	0 200 0400 Child					
Records, Policies, 170		No		to provide evening and weekend options for free. 170-300-0490 Child restraint	Disagras	Cammantani
L68 Reporting and Pos res	straint policy	No		policy	Disagree	Commentary
				I understand the spirit of the rule. Everyone wants this. Unfortunately, it is not in the		
				control of the manager of the center. I cannot control who calls out sick, who leaves		
Program				in the middle of the day because of illness or another emergency. I have to supply		
Administration						
				breakers for teacher time and for lunches and cannot guarantee that they will be the		
and Oversight -	0.300.0405			same each time as these are PT employees. How would this be enforced and how		
Records, Policies, 170		Nie		could a center even make sure it is done. The low pay certainly is a part of high	D:	C
L69 Reporting and Pos Cor	nsistent care policy	No		teacher turnover and until DSHS pays more for childcare it will always be an issue	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration				Privacy needs to be considered. We should not post information on children for all		
				•		
and Oversight -				parents to see. I have multiple children who are in foster care or parents are in a		
Records, Policies,	0 200 0505 Doctings	No		domestic violence situation. This information could be seen by a friend and location	Disagras	C
170 Reporting and Pos 170	U-30U-USUS PUSTINGS	NO		information given to the wrong person. Health issues is a HIPA issue	Disagree	Commentary
				Weighting is just an excuse to hand out fines. We already have DEL licensors writing		
				out-of-compliance reports. They are very helpful as it helps us see where we need to		
D				correct items. If they feel the item is important, they write it, we accept it and		
Program				correct it. Why does DEL think we need their opinion of what is important or not. TP		
Administration				They do not know the circumstances, the facility, the needs, or anything else.		
and Oversight -				Whoever wrote this weighted crap needs to stay out of the way and let the system		
Records, Policies, 170				that is in place (and doing well) do the job instead of reinventing the wheel and		
71 Reporting and Pos or	guardian handbook	No		making it more costly for centers.	Disagree	Commentary
				170-300-0455 Attendance records: We really will see if the people working on the		
				draft listen to our input or not because the huge majority that disagree with		
				including staff on the attendance records should say something. Will DEL be handing		
				out money to pay for a staff just to produce, use, followup the records? How often		
				would it need to be noted? Sift changes, staff going from one room to another,		
				during ten minute breaks, or staff lunch breaks? This is absolutely ridiculous. WE		
				want to be involved with the children, not spending time with this new form. The		
Program				information is ALREADY available through staff schedules, staff time cards, and the		
Administration				children classroom attendance records, and parent sign in and out sheets. TP This is $$		
and Oversight -				time consuming, costly and does not help safety. It only streamlines the procedure		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0455			of an auditor. Not fair to cost centers time and money that does not help the health		
172 Reporting and Pos Att	tendance records	Yes	1,5	and safety of our children.	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title Su	ubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -				All weighting is duplicating the work the licensor is already doing when they write up		
Records, Policies, 17	70-300-0455			an out-of-compliance report. Please, do not put this system in place. If you really are		
73 Reporting and Pos At		Yes	1,5	listening, please see all the disagree votes. Drop weighting.	Disagree	Commentary
75 Reporting and FOS At	tteridance records	163	1,3	170-300-0460 Child records: Part (4a) What is having the end date helpful for? If the	Disagree	Commentary
Program				child is no longer coming, why should the centers be tracking that info for you? The		
Administration				information is already on the parent sign in/out sheets. TP Again, you are duplicating		
and Oversight -				paperwork. We actually know when a child is not coming anymore because we plan		
Records, Policies, 17	70 200 0460 Child			the staff to cover the child; therefore, we keep up with who is coming and not		
174 Reporting and Pos re		No		comingdon't need you or further paperwork.	Disagree	Commentary
174 Keporting and Fos Te	ccorus	NO		170-300-0460 Child Records: (4)g-iii) ARE YOU SERIOUS!?! How many of you have	Disagree	Commentary
				ever gone to change a diaper only to find out the child has poop down his/her legs		
Drogram				and up the back? WAIT! Let's check to see if there is a permission slip filled out and		
Program Administration				·		
				signed before we continue with this changing procedure! Are you nuts? TP We DO NOT need a permission slip for this! All our staff have been thoroughly checked out		
and Oversight - Records, Policies, 17	70 200 04C0 Child					
, ,		No		through the MERIT backgound check, so safety must not be the reason behind this	Disagroo	Commontant
175 Reporting and Pos re	ecorus	INU		oneso what is the reasoning? Please take this out!	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 17	70-300-0460 Child					
176 Reporting and Pos re		Yes	5,6,7	STOP IT!	Disagree	Commentary
reporting una ros re		163	3,0,7	3101 11.	Disagree	Commentary
				170-300-0465 Retaining facility and program records: (2)-2 weeks! Really! I received		
				a request for records for a family of three who attended back in 2015 and given 10		
				days to get it together in the mail. 2 weeks! REALLY! TP Do you realize how long and		
				how much paper it takes to double copy (because I have to have the copies also, in		
				case the same requests comes again when they get lost someplace at the other end		
				(which it often does), remembering to put the case number on every pagewho's		
D				convenience is that for?, so, why can DSHS request records way back when, yet		
Program				providers only have a few days to get the info back to them? This is unreasonable		
Administration	70 200 0465			and causing admin to stop what they are doing, important stuff, without giving them		
	70-300-0465			a proper time line to do it in. Maybe whatever dept is issuing these demands could		
· · ·	etaining facility and			extend the time to a monthmaybe they need to hire more people to process these		
177 Reporting and Pos pr	rogram records	No		request in a reasonable amount of time of the attendance. Ya think!?!	Disagree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration	170 200 0465					
and Oversight -	170-300-0465					
Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and	.,		t it is stop.	5.	
178 Reporting and Pos	program records	Yes	1,4	I continue to say STOP IT.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	170-300-0465					
Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and					
179 Reporting and Pos	σ ,	Yes	1,4	STOP IT.	Disagree	Commentary
1 0	1 0		,		<u> </u>	,
				170-300-0465 Retaining records: (g) Monthly inspections to identify fire hazards		
Program				and elimination records, etcwe do this every morning to make sure it is safe for		
Administration				the day for the childrenif we miss something, TP our licensor writes it up for		
and Oversight -	170-300-0465			usyou are AGAIN, duplicating something that is already taken care of and causing		
Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and			more time DEfficiency and more staff hours=more costly to the centerI'm		
180 Reporting and Pos	program records	No		beginning to wonder if you give a care about how these center are going to survive.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	170-300-0465			170-300-0465 Retainingrecords: (4-o) Lead & Dead & Copper testing-Does this include	j	
Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and			sites on city sewer and city water? TP Is there a kit being given out by the dept? Do	•	
181 Reporting and Pos	• ,	No		you do this testing in your home? REALLY? Stop It.	Disagree	Commentary
					-	Ť
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	170-300-0465					
Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and					
182 Reporting and Pos	program records	Yes	1,4	Stop It	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program				Is section #2 related to the Parent/Guardian handbook? It seems like section #2 is specific to written policies that a program must have in place and should have a different heading than ";Parent or Guardian Handbook". (c) Does "Food service practices"; relate to food safety practices or is this in reference to meals and snack served? Maybe state "meals and snacks served and food services" as used in 170-300-0500 (3) (b) Is the health policy called out in 170-300-0500 a component of the "written policies"; in 170-300-450 (2)? Those WAC's list some common policies but use different terminology. (2) (ee) Suspect that the words "Meal pattern" or "Menu		
	Administration				Pattern" are what is meant here as including actual program menus does not		
	and Oversight -				constitute a policy. Programs must follow the CACFP meal pattern but it seems that		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			the policy could include which meals and snacks are served by the program and		
183	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		could list out the CACFP required meal and snack components.	Agree	Substantive
184	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0460 Child records	No		(5) (d) Should read:" Dates of the child's last physical exam". Leave out the word "annual" as infants and young children have physical exams more frequently than "annually". (h) Does the program need to have parental consent for the monthly Infant Nurse Consultant visit or any Child Care Health Consultation visit? Often these visits by "visiting health professionals" are focused on the facility and not individual children. The intent of this section needs to be more specific. (6) This section seems to be a repeat of much that is included in WAC 170-300-0450 (1).	Agree	Substantive
185	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0465 Retaining facility and program records	No		(4) (j) (k) Eliminate the reference to CACFP as their is no proposed WAC that requires programs to follow the record keeping components of CACFP. Suggest: (j) Six months of menus There is no proposed WAC that requires programs to keep food temperature logs. Suggest: (o) Lead and copper testing results for water used for cooking, drinking of infant formula preparation.	Agree	Substantive
	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0470 Emergency	No		(3) Current wording is confusing. Suggest: "An early learning provider must keep on the premises a 3 day supply of food and water for the enrolled number of children and staff for use Additionally a 3 day supply of medication must be kept on the premises for those children who require routine medication.	Agree	Substantive
187	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0505 Postings	No		(3) This section does not match the requirements included in WAC 170-300-186 (8) which indicates that the posting of individual children's food allergies "be posted in a location easily viewable by early learning staff but NOT viewable by children in care, parents, guardians or other members of the public"	Agree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					I agree with William McGunagle dated 6/19/2017 concern with the proposed Active		
	Program				Supervision being proposed. Align the WAC heading Supervision:Define how it		
	Administration				should be in centers and then list how it should be in licensed Family Homes. The		
	and Oversight -				current WAC for homes is fine. See WAC 170-296A-5750.Does DEL have data		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			collected since the Family Home WAC was adopted in 2012 that it has been a risk to		
188	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		children?	Neutral	Substantive
	Program				170-300-0450. Handbooks are necessary and it is already filled with information		
	Administration				then you want to add more? We don't offer tooth brushing - too time consuming		
	and Oversight -				and our parents are up on child's dental health. Menus are already,by law, posted in		
		170 200 0450 Darant					
100	, ,	170-300-0450 Parent	No		classrooms, on parent board and given to parents so they don't need to be in the	Disagras	Culantametica
193	reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	INU		handbook. This WAC should have no weighted number or at least lower to 1.	Disagree	Substantive
					170-300-0450 I disagree with the weight of this wac. The parent handbook being		
					rated at a 5(highest rate for endangering a child) is not acceptable. The wax states		
	Program				that it must be printed and given to families. Some providers choose to email a copy		
	Administration				to save ink and paper and some parents request it be delivered in this form. I do not		
	and Oversight -				think that the weight given to this wax reflects the true risk to children and should		
		170-300-0450 Parent			be changed to a zero or a 1 as it does not directly affect the health and safety of the		
190	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	children.	Disagree	Substantive
	Program						
	Administration				Remove all weights as this does not directly affect the health and safety of children.		
	and Oversight -				Also, provide a option for electronic delivery. Many parents are asking and prefer for		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			these to be delivered electronically so they do not have another packer to file		
		or guardian handbook	No		somewhere.	Disagree	Substantive
					We should not have to give a 24 hour notice of our field trips. Sometimes if I find		
					out I will have less children in the morning I will go on a field trip such as the		
					Children's Museum which isn't possible to go on with my whole group. I let parents		
	Program				know in the morning and obtain signatures and they appreciate their children are		
	Administration				able to attend enrichment and fun activities. It is the parents' rights to decide the		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0480			care of their children and not the states unless they relate to minimum health and		
	Ŭ	Transportation and off-			safety requirements. The state is intervening in our private daycares and over		
192	Reporting and Pos	•	No		regulating us out of business. WAC 170-300-0480	Disagree	Commentary
	, <u></u>	, p			maria s yono estoy de acuerdo con los canbios q quieren aser no estoy de cuerdo q	8	, , , , , ,
	Program				el wac sea el mismopara un hogar q para un centero #1 no nos pagan hijuael #2		
	Administration				nonosdan la capacidad si yo tengo el espacoi y aora quieren aser lo ok esta bien		
	and Oversight -				pero se va aumentar la capacidade de ninos de acuerdo al espacio y no estoy se		
	Ŭ	170-300-0450 Parent			acuerdo con lo del acistente q PORQUENO SEPEDE QUEDAR SONLO yo soy en ser		
102			No			Dicagree	Commontan
тЭ3	reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	NO		umano q tanbien me enfermo y tengo q ir al doctor NOLO APRUEVO	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program Administration and Oversight -						
	1	170-300-0450 Parent			170-300-0450 - parent handbook. Should not be weighted a 5. We should be given		
194	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	credit for having a handbook and everything that needs to be included.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			I think the weights are unnecessary and create more of a problem for both licensor and licensee. I deliver my handbook via electronic method because parents do not want a paper copy that they can lose. They prefer one they can download and refer back to when necessary. I already have my families sign a paper that they received it so it covers my own behind, but my liability is not the state's responsibility. This whole thing reeks of over regulation due to some individuals lacking proper common sense. Makes the decision to look to get out of childcare a little more appealing. If you want to know why there is such an abundance of unlicensed		
195	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	carewell, you're looking at it.	Disagree	Commentary
196	1	170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook	No		I had to look forever as to what the toothbrushing WAC was and it isn't even clear. Bottom line, I don't have children under 4 and toothbrushing isn't an option for me. That is a lot of time and parents are responsible for oral care, not a childcare provider. Secondarily, menus? For a home childcare? There are days I have 2 children in care. I fix them healthy meals that they want, not dictated by a menu. I got into family home care to meet the individual needs of a child. That's what parents who have their children in family care are expecting. We are not centers for a reason. Over-regulation, sorry folks.	Disagree	Commentary
197		170-300-0475 Duty to protect children and report incidents	Yes	6,7,8	I feel that immediately reporting the death or serious injury of a child to a parent or guardian should be weighted higher than a 6. If abuse and neglect is weighted as an 8 than so should the death or serious injury of a child.	Neutral	Substantive
198	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos		Yes	5,6,7	End dates needed or fined? No. Come on! This isn't ensuring safety! The child isn't coming anymore. And a ledger about a parent receiving a handbook? This is nit picking	Disagree	Commentary
199		170-300-0480 Transportation and off- site activity policy	No		Please change wording on (4)(g) to: "Valid Government Issued Driver's License" instead of Washington State Driver's licence. Some childcare centers are on the border of other states, or may have new hires who recently relocated to the area.	Neutral	Substantive

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title Sub	bSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Drogram						
Program Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0490 Child			Please clarify communication of the restrain policy to children in care. Does this		
00 Reporting and Pos resi		No		includes infants and toddlers? If so, what is the communication expectation?	Neutral	Other
	, ,			,		
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies,				The WAC is duplicative. These postings are all covered in other WACs. This has the		
O1 Reporting and Pos 170	0-300-0505 Postings	No		potential for centers to incur two weighted violations for the same infraction.	Disagree	Substantive
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight - Records, Policies, 170	0 200 04E0 Daront					
02 Reporting and Pos or g		Voc	4,5	Weights ned to be removed!	Dicagree	Substantive
oz neporting and ros or g	Buardian nanabook	103	4,5	weights hed to be removed:	Disagree	Jubstantive
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0455					
3 Reporting and Pos Att	endance records	Yes	1,5	remove the weights	Disagree	Substantive
				In proposed WAC 170-300-0460 (5)(f) in regards to keeping records of a child's last		
				physical/dental exams, I do not agree with this because this is not our job as		
				providers. We are not required to take them to the doctor, yet would be putting		
Program				ourselves in a position to be written up if they were not current. It is the		
Administration				responsibility of parents to keep their children current with doctor/dental check-		
and Oversight -				ups. There are already systems in place for child care providers to talk to parents to $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ $		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0450 Parent			ensure the child is healthy, or turn them in to child protective services. We should		
04 Reporting and Pos or g	guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	not be responsible for this documentation.	Disagree	Commentary

ш	Cata and Title	Culculiana	Weighted	Weighted		Concur	Comment Tons
Ħ	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program Administration and Oversight -				The proposed WAC on Attendance 170-300-455, item (3) would require a new system of merging staff timesheets and child attendance records, OR transferring the already recorded timesheet information onto the daily attendance records. This is a portion of the proposed WAC that would create an unnecessary administrative burden on providers. There is already a requirement that staff work hours be posted, and that seems sufficient. If DEL wants more information, why not simply add to the required posting WHAT CLASSROOM each staff person work in? This is another example of additional paperwork that is likely already being done in an ECEAP setting – with STATE funding for extra administrators. Child care centers do not have time to add more paperwork to the abundance already required, nor do		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0460 Child			providers have the State funding to hire additional staff to deal with all of the new		
205	Reporting and Pos	records	No		paperwork requirements.	Disagree	Commentary
206	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0470 Emergency preparedness plan	No		All weights need to be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0490 Child					
207	Reporting and Pos	restraint policy	Yes	5,6	All weights should be removed.	Disagree	Substantive
	,				Daily tooth brushing routine and education. Weight #5 Completely ridiculous we don't even have enough hours in the day to do everything else we need. We eat very low to no sugar in our center and our parents get regular dental care. With all the other new expectations when do you expect us to have quality learning time with the children. Now we are expected to be the parent and have parent		
208	Reporting and Pos	policy	No		responsibilities. We already raise these children.	Disagree	Commentary
209	Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies, Reporting and Pos	170-300-0500 Health policy	Yes	5	Daily tooth brushing routine and education. Weight #5 Ridiculous we don't have enough hours in the day to do what we need to do. We are expected to be the parents instead of educators.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	D						
	Program Administration						
		170-300-0480			24 hours notice for a field trip? Ridiculous. This additional paper work is for the		
		Transportation and off-			birds. I am spending less time with the kids and more time doing paperwork. How is		
210	Reporting and Pos		Yes	5,6,7	this benefiting the children?	Disagree	Commentary
	Reporting and 1 05	site detivity policy	103	3,0,7	this benefitting the chinaren.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration				While I agree that a warning should be issued both verbal and written I do not agree		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0485			that I as the owner can terminate at will. I shouldn't need a reason. If I have a reason		
	Records, Policies,	Termination of services			and it's due to the health and safety of the kids I should be able to terminate on the		
211	Reporting and Pos	policy	Yes	NA,5,6	spot. This is why I work for myself. Please revise.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program				Why is the cut off for comments 8/14/17? NRM is still happening through		
	Administration				September. IF NRM still has the right to make comments and offer suggestions then		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0450 Parent			the rest of us should as well. The public is allowed to attend NRM and make comments in person. There should be no difference between making comments in		
212	1		No		person or here. Thank you for your time. William McGunagle	Dicagree	Commentary
	Reporting and Fos	or guardian nandbook	INO		person of fiere. Thank you for your time. William McGarlagie	Disagree	Commentary
	Program				As a participant in the Weighted WAC survey I am concerned that DEL is getting		
	Administration				carried away with weighted WACS. There should be no weights at all on paperwork.		
	and Oversight -				It is the implementation that should hold the weight, not her paperwork. In addition,		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			some of the weight that is placed on WACS are outrageously high and inconsistent.		
213	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	Thank you for your time. William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration				Toothbrushing: How does DEL have the right to take away parental choice and have		
	and Oversight -				someone brush their child's teeth without their permission and no professional		
		170-300-0500 Health			dental hygienist training. Risking injury, illness while other children are not being		
214	Reporting and Pos		No		supervised or educated because the staff are brushing teeth.	Disagree	Commentary
	g aa.	pens)			Parents appreciate the fact that licensed family home childcare is just that it's not a		- Commentary
					center. So the toothbrushing proposed requirements where we miss out on valuable		
					time where we could be educating feels like a waste. Having to keep dental records		
					on file is not something a child care provider should have to do that's a parents job.		
					The weighted WACs seem more confusing. We have a good system now with the		
	Program				non-compliance reports licensors. I think the previous WACs were appropriate.		
	Administration				License family home child care does not need to be the same as a center. I emailed		
	and Oversight -				all of my parents copy of the handbook. Most of us Child care providers got into this $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($		
	, ,	170-300-0450 Parent			field because we love children we want to make a difference but with these		
215	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		proposed changes I think it's too much.	Disagree	Commentary

Catego	ory Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
curego						.,,,,	,,,,
Prograi	am						
	nistration				We have a sign in/sign out records I don't think we need any staff ratio added		
	versight -				paperwork. The weights system is too much. I don't feel the WAC should have		
		170-300-0455			weighted sections. I like my paper sign in/sign out system just fine. I don't feel my		
16 Report	ting and Pos	Attendance records	Yes	1,5	small family home child care needs an electronic system.	Disagree	Commentary
Prograi	am						
_	nistration						
	versight -				Every parent has a handbook - I email my handbook to the parents. They print it and		
	J	170-300-0450 Parent			sign it and return to me. I keep the signed copy in their file and they have a copy in		
		or guardian handbook	No		their email to reference. Menus - ridiculous. That is just more busywork.	Neutral	Commentary
Переге		or Buaranari Harrascon			their chain to reference menas managed mat is just more busy to m		Commentary
					170-300-0442 (d) An early learning provider allows a person who is not qualified by		
					training, experience, or suitability under this chapter to care for or be in contact with		
					children in care. This is extreme to me. If a have a volunteer come from a dental		
					practice to do activities with the children, they may not necessarily have training or		
					experience or be suited for working with children but they are there providing		
					education for the children and must actually have contact with the children to be		
					effective. This particular part needs a little more detail to create better		
					understanding of the intention to prevent "contact with children in care." If I have a		
Prograi	am				grandpa who comes to visit with his grandson and is not properly trained or		
_		170-300-0442			experienced in dealing with children, that would mean that I'm out of compliance by		
		Compliance and			letting him have contact with the children in care. There needs to be a more specific		
8 Licensi	ing Process	enforcement actions	No		purpose in this item or more details on the intention of this item.	Disagree	Commentary
					An example of applying the new scoring/penalty system â€" weight 4 is attached to		,
					WAC 170-300-0055, items (1) and (2) on Developmental screening, communication		
					to parents or guardians. This WAC requires that providers communicate with		
					families the importance of developmental screenings, document such		
					communications, and provide information about agencies that provide screenings. A		
					provider that fails to provide this to families four times in 36 months - THERE WILL		
					BE A FINE and technical assistance. This WAC has no bearing on the safety and well-		
					being of any child in their care. Providers should never be penalized for things that		
Prograi	am				are provided to parents as a courtesy â€" this should not be required or regulated.		
_		170-300-0442			This is due to the State deciding to align the WAC's with State run ECEAP centers,		
and Ov	versight -	Compliance and			who have the State funding for extra time and staffing to provide additional		
19 Licensii	ing Process	enforcement actions	No		services.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
220	Program Administration and Oversight -	170-300-0441 Department action scoring approach	No		170-300-0441 - scoring.Unclear about rationale regarding scoring (weights) of many WACs. Some licensor ok with some areas - others come in and cite you. Some WACs weighted to high - EX. on enrollment papers, parent forgot to put down dentist or has no dentist(child is an infant)- and that's considered an extreme safety factor for children? - not. Committee needs to rethink many of the weighted/scoring. Let's get back to the quality of care for children and not bog down/be cited for paperwork which makes us think we aren't doing the great job that we are. Scoring will say we aren't but enrolled parents can see that we are and those looking for care will read a crumyy score and not want their children in your program. Not fair.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program Administration and Oversight -	170-300-0441 Department action			I do not agree with a weighted license. I think that licensing is already so subjective to who your licenser is and then to make all of the WAC's based on a weight system is not really fair. Locally I know different licensors look for different things, and what one licensor does not agree with one does. It's all in the about how licensors		
221	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		interrupt the WACS	Disagree	Commentary
222	Program Administration and Oversight - Licensing Process Program Administration and Oversight - Records, Policies,	170-300-0441 Department action scoring approach	No		Licensors already have a big job and rarely are rarely on track with annual visits. There are times licensors work hard to find things out of compliance. Pretty soon it will be impossible to run a program and meet all the WACs unless you are a state or government program. It seems like you want to push mom and pop ECE out as well as corporate care. Its difficult enough to find quality care, soon it will be impossible. Good luck to all the parents who won't be able to find care or who can't afford care. This rule change simply makes more paper work for the providers. It does not necessarily make for better care. Having a health plan for a child in need makes sense. Not all children. Also tooth brushing. I am not a trained hygienist. this is an increased risk for provider and child. We have many to care for. Parents can brush their children's teeth twice a day. Safe, clean storage and replacement becomes a	Disagree	Commentary
223	Reporting and Pos	Consistent care policy	No		problem. Please lets leave parenting to parents.	Disagree	Commentary
224		170-300-0450 Parent or guardian handbook	No		Most of this proposed Wac is all ready in place. a kindergarten transition plan. Is a very vague statement. I am unsure what this would be or how it is my place to implement it. The WAC regarding childrens records. This asks for the last dental and medical exam. this is redundant. a lot of work for providers. You are given the information as		Commentary
	Administration				to whom the dentist and pediatrician are. I do not have time to update records that		
	and Oversight -				often! I am caring for small children and keeping a daycare safe and sanitary.		
		170-300-0460 Child			updating records weekly or even monthly will take away from the quality of care I		
225	Reporting and Pos	records	No		can provide.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Dragram						
	Program						
	Administration	170 200 0470					
	and Oversight -	170-300-0470			Lagrage with those rule changes on WAS 470. We have those in place at our children		
	Records, Policies,	Emergency	No		I agree with these rule changes on WAS 470. We have these in place at our childcare	A ~~~ ~	Commonton
220	Reporting and Pos	preparedness plan	No		already.	Agree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -	170-300-0470					
	Records, Policies,	Emergency			A local fire marshal will not inspect a family home childcare site. This needs to be		
		preparedness plan	Yes	NA,5,6	type of care specific.	Disagree	Commentary
\neg		P P		7-7-			,
	Program						
	Administration				Providers should be allowed to terminate care for any reason. Their policy should be		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0485			written and clear. When ever a staff or another childs well being ins threatened this		
	Records, Policies,	Termination of services			can not be taken lightly or given time. this Wac should only ask for the information		
228	Reporting and Pos	policy	No		to be included in a termination. NOT what or who the termination is to be handled.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				The Wac regarding restraint is not specific and requires more training. Who provides		
		170-300-0490 Child			training and how is the curriculum developed? I believe this is needed but need		
229	Reporting and Pos	restraint policy	No		better wording.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				This posting Wac can cause multiple violations for one infraction. Personal		
	Records, Policies,				information on need of waiver and dietary restrictions should not be posted in		
		170-300-0505 Postings	No		common areas. Children and families should be able to have their privacy intact.	Disagree	Other
230	neporting and POS	110 200-0202 F03(1182	INU		common areas. Comment and families should be able to have their privacy intact.	Disagree	Otilei
	Program				Most parents don't even read the policy guides and only become aware of the		
	Administration				components once a provider has to bring it up. I think that giving this a weight is not		
	and Oversight -				right because no child's health or safety is at jeopardy by a parent not receiving this		
	_	170-300-0450 Parent			packet. Also, not everyone needs all of these policies. The wac should read that a		
	Records, Policies	1/0-300-0430 Faleiii					

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title Sub	Sections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
Program						
Administration				Here is a great example of duplications within the WACS. The previous wac 300-		
and Oversight -				0450 says we need the policies to give to parents, and again this wac states the		
Records, Policies, 170	0-300-0460 Child			same thing. A provider that did not hand out the policy guide could potentially get		
232 Reporting and Pos reco		No		hit with both of these weights for, realistically, one violation.	Disagree	Substantive
	0.00			The their section cheese theights for production and their sections.	2.008.00	343544
Program				I agree that records need to be kept, but we are already dealing with minimal space		
Administration				and now we need to keep a years worth of records withing the licensed space. There		
and Oversight - 170	0-300-0465			is no need for this. The current months records and child enrollments paperwork		
Records, Policies, Ret	aining facility and			needs to be kept in the licensed space in case of an emergency, but previous months		
233 Reporting and Pos pro	gram records	No		should just be required to be kept on the premises.	Disagree	Commentary
						•
				This is my home, my own child lives here and she should be safe in her own home.		
				Example. When my daughter was 3 1/2 she was playing in a room with a then 7 year		
				old boy. He wanted the toy she was playing with, and not knowing that I was right		
				on the otherside of the doorway, he told her that is she didnt give it to him that he		
				was going to really hurt her. She gave him the toy and before I could intervene, he		
Program				hit her upside the head with the toy. I immediately had the child leave the room and		
Administration				attended to my daughter. When I sat down with the child he proceeded to tell me		
and Oversight - 170	0-300-0485			that she deserved being hit. I called the parents, had them pick the child up and		
Records, Policies, Teri	mination of services			discontinued care. The state should not have the right to tell us who we have to		
234 Reporting and Pos poli	icy	No		keep in care for any reason. This isn't a center, it is the home that I own.	Disagree	Commentary
				Weight needs to be removed. Where does it say that as a provider we are		
				responsible to take kids in to get shots, check ups and so on! It is NOT our		
Program				responsibility. Where is the responsibility of the so called parent now days. Parents		
Administration				all ready want the providers to teach them to tie their shoes, get dressed, potty train		
and Oversight -				and so on, if I wanted to be a parent again I would have another child! These rules		
Records, Policies, 170				are getting out of hand, the parents need to be held responsible for THEIR children		
235 Reporting and Pos or g	guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	and stop putting it on Daycare's and schools	Disagree	Substantive
B						
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	200 0460 655			The Consideration of the Alexander of th		
Records, Policies, 170		N		This is an additional burden for childcare providers who work by themselves. Adding	A	
236 Reporting and Pos reco	oras	No		additional paperwork when they should be providing care to the children.	Agree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category Title SubS	Sections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
D						
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -	200 0455			No area la asta funciona mas trabaja nara nasatras y estar antronando alos nadros		
Records, Policies, 170-3		NI-		No creo ke esto funcione mas trabajo para nosotros y estar entrenando alos padres	D:	C
237 Reporting and Pos Atter	ndance records	No		siempre traen prisa,,,,por eso boy atrabajar con clientes de paga privados	Disagree	Commentary
				In regards to proposed WAC 170-300-0460 (4)(g)(iii) Bathing - I believe that we		
				should not have to get permission to bathe the children. When we have a child who		
				has a blowout and poop going up the back, I will not wait for permission before		
				caring for the child. Bathing is an essential element of care, especially for small		
				children. I don't believe that requiring permission to bathe will stop others from		
				abusing children during bath time, or lessen the chance that a child may drown. It		
				simply adds another piece of paperwork for providers. Also, if parents do not give		
				permission, are we to simply allow a child to be filthy? It doesn't make sense in		
				practice. In proposed WAC 170-300-0460 (5)(f) in regards to keeping records of a		
				child's last physical/dental exams, I do not agree with this because this is not our		
				job as providers. We are not required to take them to the doctor, yet would be		
Program				putting ourselves in a position to be written up if they were not current. It is the		
Administration				responsibility of parents to keep their children current with doctor/dental check-		
and Oversight -				ups. There are already systems in place for child care providers to talk to parents to		
Records, Policies, 170-3	-300-0460 Child			ensure the child is healthy, or turn them in to child protective services. We should		
238 Reporting and Pos recor	rds	No		not be responsible for this documentation.	Disagree	Commentary
				I have a full Childcare Handbook on site near the sign out sheet for the parents to		
				view. My licensor was good with that, so long as it's available. Mine is the most		
				professional she has seen. This idea that I must hand it out to each parent is		
Program				ridiculous. There is cost involved and time. I will not spend more than an hour off		
Administration				hours on my business. Also, the more rules and more policies you write, the more		
and Oversight -				people do not read them. Let's keep this simple. Also, "surveillance?" What are you		
Records, Policies, 170-3	-300-0450 Parent			referring to? I have to watch the kids at all times. Is this referring to a hidden		
239 Reporting and Pos or gu	uardian handbook	No		camera?	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration	200 0465			What the back does "Strongthoning Families Brown Salf Assessment" 2.14/L-t		
· ·	-300-0465			What the heck does "Strengthening Families Program Self-Assessment" mean? What		
	ining facility and	No		are Chromated arsenate tests? And what are you saying with "pesticide 7 years."	Noutral	C
240 Reporting and Pos prog	grain records	No		Unclear information here.	Neutral	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				Of course, I would care for children in an emergency, but to require that we have		
Program				three day's supply of food and water and other items is ridiculous. In all		
Administration				emergencies one does the best they can. But, DEL could require that I have 12 nap		
and Oversight -	170-300-0470			mats and 12 blankets and 12 sheets and so forth and so forth, just in case they have		
Records, Policies,	Emergency			to stay overnight. Unaffordable and nowhere to store them. Noit's okay to require		
Reporting and Pos	0 ,	No		the amount of food and water, but not the rest of it. We will make do.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
· ·	170-300-0490 Child			Once every three years is enough to be trained in this. Unless you allow it as		
42 Reporting and Pos		No		continuing education, then okay.	Disagree	Commentary
1 0	. ,			No to requiring tooth brushing. That is up to the parents. I have some children who		•
Program				come very early and they brush their teeth here and again at home at night. Dentists		
Administration				require two thorough brushings per day. To find counter space for 12 children to		
and Oversight -				keep all their brushes and toothpaste separate and to allow 5 to 6 minutes per child		
Records, Policies,	170-300-0500 Health			after breakfast, makes it 72 minutes and we have to have them at school by 8:30		
43 Reporting and Pos	policy	No		a.m. Not realistic. But, if parents ask, then okay.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -				Why do we have to write down all the specific plans we have for the day per child		
Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			and how they might or might not learn. Every child has a different learning style		
44 Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		even by age and gender of the child. Not needed in a handbook. Common sense.	Disagree	Commentary
Program						
Administration						
and Oversight -						
	170-300-0500 Health			Not our responsibility to be brushing childrens teeth. That is the parents		
45 Reporting and Pos		No		responsibility. We already have enough to do.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					I DID A PUBLIC DISCOSURE AND WANTED INFORMATION /INJURIES IN CHILDCARE.		
					THE RESPONSE WAS IT WOULD BE VERY COSTLY AND TAKE A MANY MONTHS. I		
					WITHDREW MY REQUEST. THE REASON WAS THEY ARE JUST FILED, SO IF THERE IS A		
					PHONE CALL OR E-MAIL REPORTING THE INJURY AND THEY CANNOT GET THE		
					DOCUMENT TO DEL WITHIN 24-48 HOURS BECAUSE IT IS MAILED - TO BE CITED		
					WITH A WEIGHT SCORE OF #7 SEEMS A BIT EXTREME FOR A DOCUMENT THAT		
	Program				WHEN RECEIVED IS SENT TO ANOTHER LOCATION TO BE FILED ALONG WITH ALL		
	Administration				THE OTHER INCIDENT/ ACCIDENT REPORTS DEL RECEIVES. (3) In addition to		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0475 Duty to			reporting to the department by phone or e-mail within 24 hours, an early learning		
	Records, Policies,	protect children and			provider must also submit a written incident report on a department form within 48		
246	Reporting and Pos	report incidents	No		hours	Disagree	Commentary
		•			I always provide parents handbooks, but I want to say this: If Washington is an "AT	-	·
					WILL" work state, then why do I need to have such strict regulations on who I can		
	Program				and can not let go of in my business. I currently have a LEGAL contract for all my		
	Administration				parents to sign, stating what my termination process is, and that is it. I will not be		
	and Oversight -				bullied by a group of people who have no idea what it's like to work in a Family Child		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			Care Home. These WACS are intended to control us and make us pre-school		
247	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	teachers without the true compensation for our work.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program				Family home child care is a family home as well. To expect temperature logs of		
	Administration				foods is serious over regulation. Do you check the temp of your foods? I think not -		
	and Oversight -	170-300-0465			because you can feel the temp of your fridge - it's cold, so you know the foods kept		
	Records, Policies,	Retaining facility and			inside are cold as well. A log is just overkill and yet another example of regulators		
248	Reporting and Pos	program records	No		taking the impetus to protect to an extreme and unnecessary level.	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -						
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0460 Child			This whole "weighted" system seems flawed and ineffective. It is subjective and		
249	Reporting and Pos	records	No		penalizing - neither effective for childcare.	Disagree	Commentary
					WAC 170-300-0180(3) It is so time consuming to brush 12 children's teeth! Different		
					age groups that need assistance. This should be the parents responsibility to take		
	Program				care of the oral hygiene of their children. My daughter is a Dental Hygienist,		
	Administration				brushing teeth twice a day, with before bed being the most important time, should		
	and Oversight -				be done at home with the parents. In my preschool curriculum we talk about oral		
		170-300-0450 Parent			hygiene and practice on fake teeth how to brush. This should be sufficient for a		
250	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		childcare program. This WAC needs to be reconsidered. Definitely not weighted a 5!	Disagree	Commentary

	Catanama Titla	Cultications	Weighted	Weighted		Concur	Community Trans
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Program				WAC 170-300-0180 (3) This WAC should not be weighted a 5! Teeth brushing is		
	Administration				definitely a parent responsibility! As child care providers we should not be taking		
	and Oversight -				over parents responsibilities of caring for their children. We are to provide a learning		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			environment that will assist in their preparation to become school ready. Parents		
251	Reporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	Yes	4,5	have got to have some accountability in the care of their children!	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				This weight should be removed. We have children sign in/out attendance logs and		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0455			staff sign in/out attendance logs. We DO NOT need more paper work! They cross		
252		Attendance records	Yes	1,5	reference already!	Disagree	Substantive
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -	470 200 0455			WAC 170-300-0455 (3) This is getting ridiculous. More paperwork is not necessary or		
252	Records, Policies,		NI-		needed in this area, for a FHCC. Cross referencing the children's sign in/out and the	D:	
253	Reporting and Pos	Attendance records	No		staff sign in/out attendance logs should suffice! This is what I see. More paperwork, more busy work like teeth brushing. Aleady if	Disagree	Commentary
					you have them wash their hands as much as DEL requires you are tying up 2 hours a		
	Program				day. Also! FHCC are self-employed!!!! We as owners have the right to decide our		
	Administration				programs. The parents have the right to choose a program. All DEL should be		
	and Oversight -				concerned with is safety. Stop trying to make FHCC centers. I said in 1994 that DEL		
	· ·	170-300-0450 Parent			wanted to close us and I said it 2004 and in 2014 and it took awhile but the day is		
254		or guardian handbook	No		here.	Disagree	Commentary
		Bannananananananananananananananananana					- Commencery
	Program						
	Administration						
	and Oversight -				Leave ti alone we have sign in and sign out sheets for parents that work just fine all		
	Records, Policies,				this paper work and changes is ridiculous and time consuming to keep up with all		
255	Reporting and Pos	Attendance records	Yes	1,5	the crap	Disagree	Commentary
					We have an overload of paper work already taking time from providing quality care.		
	Program				If a parent takes their kid to the dentist or Doctor or not is upon the parent, as long		
	Administration				as i have one I can call in an emergency what does it matter that i document a		
	and Oversight -				DATE? We are mandated reporters if we have concerns we report them but WE ARE		
	Records, Policies,	170-300-0460 Child			NOT THE PARENT and to have to say we need dentist visits etc that again is the		
256	Reporting and Pos	records	No		parents job! You already want me to brush teeth and that is ridiculous!	Disagree	Commentary

# C	ategory Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
					Mary and the address of a second second by the size is / sub-sheet beautiful and second		
	rogram Idministration				My parent handbook is clearly marked by the sign in/out sheets there is no reason to be handing them out to parents. I can see if you have an uodate to post that		
	nd Oversight -				there has been revisions to your policy and mark where they can be found but		
	_	170-300-0450 Parent			handing them out is costly and cuts into my overhead tremendous,especially for		
			No		parents that may use drop in care or limited care.	Disagree	Commentary
237 10	eporting and Fos	or guardian nandbook	INO		parents that may use drop in care of infinited care.	Disagree	Commentary
Р	rogram						
	dministration						
aı	nd Oversight -						
R	ecords, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			Paperwork itself should not be weighted. Only the implementation of serious health		
		or guardian handbook	No		and wellness rules should be weighted	Disagree	Substantive
						-	
P	rogram						
Α	dministration						
aı	nd Oversight -				170-300-0460 (4) Enrollment Record - Including section is unnecessary. As the home		
R	ecords, Policies,	170-300-0450 Parent			register is already self-explanatory. At time of enrollment this is gone over with		
259 R	eporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	No		parent's as well.	Disagree	Commentary
	rogram						
	dministration				Coverent wass on this matter are sufficient. It is not a providers responsibility to		
	nd Oversight -	170 200 0450 Darant			Current wacs on this matter are sufficient. It is not a providers responsibility to		
		170-300-0450 Parent	NI-		oversee the overall health of a child including tooth brushing and dr checkups.	D:	C
260 K	eporting and Pos	or guardian handbook	NO		Proposed changes would take time away from learning and social opportunities.	Disagree	Commentary
Р	rogram						
Α	dministration						
aı	nd Oversight -						
	ecords, Policies,	170-300-0455			Documented signatures for family home childcare is unnecessary, especially if it's a		
261 R	eporting and Pos	Attendance records	No		lone person providing care	Disagree	Commentary
							,
Р	rogram						
Α	dministration						
aı	nd Oversight -	170-300-0485			It's my business or is it still with all these regulations If i terminate Childcare i should		
R	ecords, Policies,	Termination of services			be able to for any reason that is not discrimination. NON PAYMENT IS A REASON!		
262 R	eporting and Pos	policy	No		Safety of the other children, employees and myself is a good enough reason to me.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Catego	ory Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					Consistent care is extremely important for children's social emotional health. While I		
Progran	ım				agree that staff turnover does make consistent care difficult at times, many centers		
Admini	istration				CAN do better than they are. In many centers, I see teachers and children moved		
and Ov	versight -				constantly, and not because a teacher is out on leave or because someone quit.		
Record	ds, Policies,	170-300-0495			Often it happens because sites are trying to keep labor costs down, or because		
263 Reporti	ting and Pos	Consistent care policy	No		teachers request moves to other rooms.	Agree	Commentary
Progran	ım						
J	istration				It is the parents responsibility and privacy to go to whatever doctor and dentist they		
-	versight -				want. Why is it necessary for us as the providers to know when and whom they see		
	-	170-300-0460 Child			and for what reason. What happened to privacy of the child and the family. If it is		
	ting and Pos		No		something serious, the parents already inform us. We do not need this documented.	Disagree	Commentary
201 Reports	ting unu i os	1000143			John Carring Serious, the parents uneauty mornings. We do not need this documented.	Disagree	Commentary
Progran	ım				As a family home provider, we should be able to terminate services for safety, non-		
_	istration				payment, child's behavior, etc. We should not have to document reasons why it may		
	versight -	170-300-0485			not be working out and put into a file. Can open up a lawsuit because of wrongful		
	J	Termination of services			termination or what? Really should be a free country and our prerogative to		
	ting and Pos		No		terminate if not working out.	Disagree	Commentary
and Ove Records	istration versight - ds, Policies,	170-300-0495 Consistent care policy	No		170-300-0495 Forming relationships with consistent adults is important for brain development, especially for infants and toddlers. Policies for continuity of care and primary caregiving are essential for building baby brains.	Agree	Commentary
Progran	ım						
	istration				Waivers are a necessary to this business. not every situation is the same. I am not		
and Ov	versight -	170-300-0435 Waiver			sure why we must have it posted. In some cases that could violate a families privacy.		
267 Licensir	ing Process	from department rules	No		How can this be addressed in the wording and meet the intent of the WAC?	Agree	Other
					As a level 3 early achievers rated facility, I have always reminded myself of the first		
					words I heard during orientation "We are not here to change your program or		
					devalue the work that you are doing, we are here to enhance your program." After 4		
					years and 2 level 3 ratings I can tell you that being rated does nothing to actually		
					advance your program but instead makes your incredible program seem sub par.		
					That being said, I see providers feeling the same way about the weighting of the		
					wacs. The department is supposed to be working with providers to help them		
Progran	ım				achieve a partnership when caring for children. Instead, you are going to have		
Admini	istration	170-300-0441			providers that are scared to talk with their licensor's in fear of getting hit with fines		
and Ov	versight -	Department action			and potentially worse. These weights are punitive and will not help build a strong		
268 Licensin	ing Process	scoring approach	No		relationship in any way.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					Washington state is hurting for foster parents. As licensed FHCC we should be		
					allowed to have a dual licensed to not only provide childcare but also be a foster		
					parent. I understand not getting double paid for foster care and childcare for the		
					same child but there are other circumstances. FHCC could send children to another		
					licensed provider for childcare, children who are school age and don't need		
	Program	170-300-0425 Initial,			childcare or children who are older that age 13 who don't qualify for childcare. All		
	Administration	non-expiring, and dual			these children need a loving, safe home and who is better suited then a childcare		
	and Oversight -	licenses and license			provider?? Our homes are inspected, background checks and so much more. Let us		
269	Licensing Process	modification	No		help those children in need in Washington state!	Disagree	Commentary
	Program						
	Administration	170-300-0441			Only if we are allowed to go into the licensing department office and find out if they		
	and Oversight -	Department action			are in violation of codes too. Maybe we should be able to score our licensor too		
270	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		while we are at it. Get real. Don't we already do enough paperwork and now more.	Disagree	Commentary
					Ridiculous! I smell a possible lawsuit here. WE are FHCC. We are SELF employed. We		
					develop and offer a program for potential parents to decide if they want it or not.		
					DEL should only be concerned with safety and I agree with most of those. Fines?		
					Penalties? Seriously? What was wrong with our licensors giving us out of compliance		
					notes and plans to fix what's wrong? That works well and does not cause ill will		
					between providers and licensors. This causes a new level of nervousness that could		
					actually cause more breaking of rules. I am appalled DEL, if you plan to control every		
					aspect, then start paying health care and retirement and then I will do as you wish,		
					which means not spending time with kids. If the kids wash their hands every time		
					mandated by DEL and brush their teeth, ADA only requires two good brushings a		
	Program				day, parents can do that, and the daily sanitation and cleaning, this would take		
	Administration	170-300-0441			several hours away from the kids. Read the evidence, extreme sanitation actually		
	and Oversight -	Department action			helps kids get sick. I really suspect all the State of Washington is concerned with is		
271	Licensing Process	scoring approach	No		liability, not actual interest in seeing kids do well	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and	170-300-0325					
	Curriculum -	Creating a					
	Emotional Support						
	and Classroom	healthy child			I just had to say that WAC 170-300-0325 is great, and I'm glad to see it gets a good bit of		
1	Organization	development	No		weight.	Agree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum -				Proposed WAC 170-300-0340, in regards to expulsion I think that as an owner of the facility I should be able to expel any child(ren). If I am not comfortable with a child's parents or guardians, I should not be forced to continue care. Barring of course discrimination (care		
	Emotional Support				should not be discontinued for discriminatory reasons), if a child, or their parents/guardian		
	and Classroom	170-300-0340			make me uneasy, or threaten the health and safety of the children, I should be able to		
2	Organization	Explusion	No		discontinue care.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support				I believe all providers should have the choice to remove a child from care for behavior issues that cause a harmful or intimidating environment to the other children. I also feel providers should be allowed to remove a family form care if one or more of the child's family is disruptive towards staff or behaves poorly during drop off and pick up, for example, yelling, swearing and aggressive behavior in front of other children. Providers should give a child or		
	and Classroom	170-300-0340			family member an opportunity to change the harmful or intimidating behavior but a provider		
3	Organization	Explusion	No		should not feel helpless to expel a child on their own terms.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support	170-300-0325 Creating a climate for					
	and Classroom	healthy child			I would like to see more of an emphasis placed on providing multicultural toys and activities,		
4	Organization	development	No		especially picture books.	Agree	Commentary

Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur	Comment Ty
Category Title	Subsections	Comment	value	Comments	Туре	Comment Ty
				The proposed expulsion WAC is a burden on providers and would do a disservice to children		
				and families. It's true that some providers terminate care for small behavior issues, and		
				sometimes the issue lies with the lack of providers that are experienced in child care. The		
				problem with requiring a paper trail prior to an expulsion is that it leaves the child in an		
				environment that is not able to meet the needs of the child â€" for another day, another		
				week, and possibly several more months. Meanwhile, the behavior issues are impacting other		
				children (and possibly staff), and oftentimes this causes anger among other families. If a center	•	
				is beyond their depth in dealing with the behaviors a child is exhibiting, it would be better for		
				all involved for the family to find a better fit elsewhere. Meanwhile, providers that are part of Early Achievers can work on gaining skills and training to be able to better deal with future		
				behavior problems in other children. Even in a suitable child care environment, sometimes the		
				issues facing the child are due to the home environment and a lack of parenting skills. Many		
				children learn the expectations at child care, and even though they test those boundaries, on		
Interactions and				most days they build on what they learn from day-to-day. When providers are unable to gain		
Curriculum -				the cooperation of the family to implement any at-home boundaries, or create any type of		
Emotional Support	t			partnership between provider and parent, it can be incredibly frustrating to start at zero every		
and Classroom	170-300-0340			day with the child. It can mean that one staff member is spending most of the day dealing with		
5 Organization	Explusion	No		one child, which can create a supervision issue for the rest of the class. It's unfair to everyone.	Disagree	Commenta
				Proposed WAC on Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of children, I want to		
				address item 3. I do not believe there should be such a short time limit for separating an out-		
	170 200 0221			of-control child from the other children. Typically a child that is lashing out at other physically		
	170-300-0331 Prohibited			DOES self-calm in a few minutes, but not always. I think the language should be more specific		
Interactions and	behavior,			about addressing that the child shall be allowed to rejoin the group as soon as the child has calmed and is no longer a cause of concern of physicality towards other children. There are		
Curriculum -	discipline, and			circumstances in which the child is upset, and it might not be related to anything occurring at		
Emotional Support	• •	'		child care – like upon return from a CPS ordered visit with a parent, or a parent or family		
and Classroom	removal of			member stopped by unexpectedly and the child becomes inconsolable for longer than 5		
6 Organization	children	No		minutes.	Disagree	Commenta

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
7	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0340 Explusion	No		170-300-0340 Expulsion. I think that it is fine for the WAC to require every center to have an Expulsion Policy but it is going to far to tell a center how that expulsion policy should be written. This crosses a line into telling a person how to run their business. Sometimes a center is just not a right fit for a child. For center to be able to write a policy that covers every example of what could cause a child or family to be asked to leave a center.	Disagree	Commentary
8	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of children	No		Recommend adding back a WAC section that states that: "Caregivers should not force or bribe a child to eat nor use food as a reward or punishment" This standard is included in Caring For Our Children. Currently this WAC includes a section (6) (f) (1v) which indicates that a caregiver must not "deprive a child of sleep, food (water is not included in this list and should be)" but this WAC does not address using food as a bribe or reward.	Agree	Substantive
9	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0335 Physical restraint	No		170-300-0335 -physical restraints. Yes, I can see if a child has extreme and frequent behavioral issues there is a need for a written safety plan for both child and providers. For isolated incidents when a child gets out of control and cannot self soothe, I don't see a need to involve the child's doctor and DEL. Don't licensors have other things to do besides monitoring a restraint? Maybe change the wording or give examples when this protocol would be needed.	Neutral	Commentary
10	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0340 Explusion	No		170-300-0340-expulsion. Maybe need to include explusion for the family if families do not abide by WACs/center rules and regulations. Some families are given many chances. Don't like the idea of having the state assist with writing an expulsion policy. As long as we have a policy that should be it. This is weighted too high.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of			Although I do believe that children should not be removed from the group for long periods of time, there are times where heildren are so upset it may take them longer than 5 minutes to calm down and re-enter the group. And there have been many times in which I have needed to carry an older child out of their classroom when they are having a meltdown. Requiring children old enough to walk to be guided out of the classroom is unrealistic. Would this then be considered restraint and then have to be called in to the licensor? I think this will bring a ton of reports that are unnecessary to the licensor. Also, although I do also agree that profanity should not be allowed, there is probably one child every year who goes through a swearing phase (usually a 2/3 year old learning to talk who overheard a fun word that gets a great response from adults). It has been my experience to redirect the child rather than making a big deal out of the word, but it can take months to stop sometimes. When worded and weighted the way it is, parents may think that a child going through a swearing phase may need to be kicked out because they are breaking licensing rules. I think a note on development, the way in which the profanity is used, as well as use of redirection would be		
11	Organization	children	No		appropriate.	Neutral	Commentary
12	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	: 170-300-0335 Physical restraint	No		I understand that we want to limit restraint used, but we have several children who go into meltdowns and need removed from their classroom via restraint to protect the children, staff, and physical environment in the classroom. I think having to let the licensor know everytime this happens is excessive and a waste of their time. We let the parents know, and in most situations, they are aware of their child's behavioral issues and we are working towards a solution.	Neutral	Commentary
13	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	: 170-300-0340 Explusion	No		I almost never kick out a child and work very hard with teachers, parents, and the children to fix situations. However, there are time when the parent is the issue and they are not following policy, WACs, or following through with requirements to help the child. The only time I have kicked a child out of our care since working as the Director was when a parent refused to follow our policy and directly went against what I was asking them to do. I should not have to follow multiple steps in these situations, as that is what the parent who is manipulating you wants.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
14	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of children	No		While the proposed WAC 170-300-0331(2f.iv) does address the national standard for not using food as punishment by including language under WAC 170-300-0331(2f.iv) saying a provider must not allow anyone to deprive a child of food, there is no language prohibiting the use of food as a reward, which is pervasive and is detrimental for children's health, learning, and behavior. A previous drafted WAC included language that stated, "Using or withholding food or liquids as punishment or reward" is not permitted. We recommend this language from previous drafts be added back in to provide clarity and addresses concerns around using food as reward. The weighting should remain at the current proposal of level 8 for both using food as punishment and as reward.	Disagree	Substantive
15	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of children	Yes	6,7,8	Ensuring that food is not used as punishment is very important to a child's health and how they approach food. We strongly support both the strong weighting of WAC 170-300-0331(2f.iv) at 8. We ask the weight to remain at 8 in the final WAC. In addition, we hope to see language added to this WAC that prohibits the use of food as reward and ask that standard to also be weighted at 8 once added.	Disagree	Substantive
16	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of children	No		While the proposed WAC 170-300-0331(2f.iv) does address the national standard for not using food as punishment by including language under WAC 170-300-0331(2f.iv) saying a provider must not allow anyone to deprive a child of food, there is no language prohibiting the use of food as a reward, which is pervasive and is detrimental for children's health, learning, and behavior. A previous drafted WAC included language that stated, "Using or withholding food or liquids as punishment or reward‶s not permitted. We recommend this language from previous drafts be added back in to provide clarity and addresses concerns around using food as reward. The weighting should remain at the current proposal of level 8 for both using food as punishment and as reward.	Neutral	Commentary
17	Interactions and Curriculum - Emotional Support and Classroom Organization	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and physical removal of children	Yes	6,7,8	Ensuring that food is not used as punishment is very important to a child's health and how they approach food. We strongly support both the strong weighting of WAC 170-300-0331(2f.iv) at 8. We ask the weight to remain at 8 in the final WAC. In addition, we hope to see language added to this WAC that prohibits the use of food as reward and ask that standard to also be weighte at 8 once added.	Agree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Typ
					DISAGREE. As this is our last resort in many cases I as a business owner have "The right to		
		170-300-0331			reserve service to anyone" that is something you can't take away from me. Lets be honest		
		Prohibited			every child that we let go is a loss in revenue. We have to go through the process of registering		
	Interactions and	behavior,			another child and it would be so much easier to remain with the child that is already in care. If		
	Curriculum -	discipline, and			it is something detrimental I should not have to explain myself or give them options before we		
	Emotional Support	physical			ask that they leave. Most of the time they are given way more chances then they really should		
	and Classroom	removal of			have in the first place. We (owners) try our very best to work with all families and children but		
18	Organization	children	No		sometimes enough is enough.	Disagree	Commentary
					The proposed WAC on Special Needs Accommodations places a huge paperwork requirement		
					upon providers that will likely create a barrier to enrollment for some facilities. ECEAP and		
					Developmental Preschools are staffed with extra administrative staff that centers and family		
					home providers do not have. They have State funding that allows the additional staff to deal		
					with the additional paperwork load this proposed WAC would create, and in fact those		
					agencies are likely already doing all that paperwork. Child care providers have much more		
					limited budgets and cannot just hire extra staff to deal with all the new paperwork DEL seems		
		170-300-0300			to want to create. This is one of the areas of alignment that puts a huge burden on child care		
	Interactions and	Special needs			providers. As it is, many families have expressed that they have been turned away from other		
	Curriculum -	accommodati			centers upon mentioning that their child is special needs. This admin workload would be yet		
19	Learning Supports	ons	No		another reason that providers turn these families away â€" despite the laws of the ADA.	Disagree	Commentary
Ī		170-300-0310					
		Concept			While everything included in this WAC is appropriate, these are goals providers are working on		
	Interactions and	development			with Early Achiever's. The idea that DEL is wanting to mandate "best practice" means it's a		
	Curriculum -	and feedback			compliance issue, which is a negative. Many of these items should be left to EA to work on		
20	Learning Supports	quality	No		with providers through training and coaching.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0300					
	Interactions and	Special needs					
	Curriculum -	accommodati			In the event a provider doesn't want to remodel to accommodate a special need, or finds the		
21	Learning Supports	ons	No		requirements too exhausting, can they implement a "no special needs accepted" policy?	Neutral	Commentary

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
	Interactions and	170-300-0300 Special needs			I agree with this previous comment below, as a private provider we do not have the resources, time or money to become ECAP!!!! "The proposed WAC on Special Needs Accommodations places a huge paperwork requirement upon providers that will likely create a barrier to enrollment for some facilities. ECEAP and Developmental Preschools are staffed with extra administrative staff that centers and family home providers do not have. They have State funding that allows the additional staff to deal with the additional paperwork load this proposed WAC would create, and in fact those agencies are likely already doing all that paperwork. Child care providers have much more limited budgets and cannot just hire extra staff to deal with all the new paperwork DEL seems to want to create. This is one of the areas of alignment that puts a huge burden on child care providers. As it is, many families have expressed that they have been turned away from other centers upon mentioning that their		
22	Curriculum - Learning Supports	accommodati	No		child is special needs. This admin workload would be yet another reason that providers turn these families away â€" despite the laws of the ADA.";	Disagree	Commentary
23	Interactions and Curriculum - Learning Supports	170-300-0300 Special needs accommodati ons	No		(3) (b) Recommend changing (i) to state the following: "licensed health provider"This more general term would include physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, Physical therapists, dietitians, occupational therapists, etc any of whom might provide documentation on the child's special needs. (4) WAC section (3) (a) indicates that the early learning provider must submit an "Individual Care Plan" to DEL documenting how the special needs of a child will be met. in (4) the requirement is now different and requires that a written plan for accommodation should be in the form of an IEP, IHP etc rather than an "Individual Plan of Care".	Agree	Substantive
24	Interactions and Curriculum - Learning Supports	170-300-0300 Special needs accommodati ons	No		I think we are adding a ton of extra unnecessary work to the poor licensors in this WAC, as well as a few others I mentioned. Submitting to the licensor an individual care plan for a child with alergies is not needed. I feel that as long as we have a plan in place, it should be good enough. They are not going to have enough time in the day to deal with all the extra paperwork we are sending there way.	Disagree	Commentary

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
#	Category Title	Subsections	Comment	Value	Comments	туре	Comment Type
					We agree that a plan needs to be in place for children with special needs. However, requiring		
					individual centers to write these complex plans in not feasible with the resources most centers		
					have access too. Certified Special Needs Educators have specialized expertise and are better		
		170-300-0300			equipped to appropriately prepare these plans. Placing this responsibility on the childcare		
	Interactions and	Special needs			provider, who is not certified in this area, could be detrimental to the well being of the special		
	Curriculum -	accommodati			needs child. Should DEL continue down this path, a Special Needs Educator should be available		
25	Learning Supports	ons	No		to provide assistance to centers.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and						
	Curriculum -	170-300-0345					
	Program Structure						
26	and Organization	children	No		I agree with the high weight for WACs associated with supervising children.	Agree	Commentary
		170-300-0357					
		Center mixed					
	Interactions and	age grouping					
	Curriculum -	capacity,					
	Program Structure				170-300-0356 (12) I believe the school age teacher to student ratio should be lowered to 1:10		
27	, and the second	group size	No		for the safety of children, teachers, and quality of school age program.	Disagree	Commentary
		-					•
					Proposed WAC on Indoor early learning program space capacity. On item 2, "floor space		
					occupied by shelves, … children's individual storage space and early learning program		
		170-300-0354			staff equipment‶HIS INDOOR SPACE MUST NOT BE COUNTED IN THE OVERALL CAPACITY.		
		Indoor early			Would you recommend centers provide LESS shelving to store the classroom materials, blocks,		
	Interactions and	learning			books, cars, people, math & Description of the classification materials, blocks,		
	Curriculum -	program			space for children to store their personal items? This proposed WAC is designed to reduce		
	Program Structure				square footage, thereby reducing the number of children that may be served in every		
28		capacity	No		classroom.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum -	170-300-0356 Center capacity,			Why does the teacher/child ratio go down when mixing age groups? A staff member can have 7 toddlers in their group but if a 2 year old toddler joins a 3 year old preschool group then the ratio goes down to 5 children? That does not make sense. It is more challenging to take care of 7 toddlers. Mix age grouping also helps toddlers develop language and other skills when they are mixed with preschoolers. Making the ratio go down when mixing age groups has impacted our center and we are no longer taking toddlers. The ratio should be the same for mixed aged groups as toddler groups 1:7. Also, a second staff should not be required on site if the staff is within ratio. It is impossible to always have 2 staff on site. Especially during transitions times		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			when enrollment is low, like opening &closing times. These new requirements are hurting		
29	and Organization	group size	No		small centers!!	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		you should not mess with our age groupsthere is a high demand for infant care and our current WAC is for under the age of 18months. changing back to the age of 2 years will force children to be "kicked out" of their current childcareand you are mandating "Consistent care"please return our ages 18 months.	Disagree	Substantive
31_	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		I would like a capacity ratio considered for a family home that cares for only infants and toddlers or only infants. A ratio for two staff similar to two staff at a center. An example family home with 2 staff, primary had two + years experience can have 8 infants similar to a center or 8 children under 2, 4 must be walking independently. Some kind of consideration to have a similar capacity ratio for a home provider who would like to provide strictly infant care or strictly toddler care or infant/ toddler mixed care no children over 30 months infant/ toddler care is desperately needed in my city v and I would love to have a ratio for just infant, just toddler or infant toddler mix for my home to meet the needs of the communitya ratio that is enough to pay for a staff member and cover costs.	Disagree	Substantive
22	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		(2) A Family Home Licensee must not exceed the total capacity or enroll children outside the age range stated on their license at any time. All children in care, on the premises, at offsite activities, or being transported by the early learning provider, staff, or household members are counted towards total capacity. Many providers have large premises and may have other family members living outside of licensed space and not needing care or supervision by the provider as they are elsewhere on the premises with their own parent or a person the parent has designated to care for them and not enrolled into the facility and cared away from the licensed space.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and					
33	and Organization	group size	Yes	NA,1,6,7	Please return our ages 18 months!	Disagree	Substantive
34	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		(6) (b) Toddler should be defined as a child 13-35 months of age (6) (c) Preschooler should be defined as a child 36 months- 6 years of age. These age groupings are consistent with Caring For Our Children and are more appropriate for the maximum group sizes and adult-child ratios included in the WAC.	Disagree	Substantive
35	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity schedule	Yes		(3) The weighting of this WAC section is not consistent with the weight given to similar content focused on infants (170-300-0296 (2). The importance of regularly scheduled time for movement and physical play is no less important for toddlers and preschoolers than it is for infants. The consequence of providing less than optimal time for daily movement and physical activity for young children can have long-lasting impacts on development, learning and behavior. This WAC should be weighted at a level #6 to match the weight of the similarly I focused WAC for infants.	Disagree	Substantive
36	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0350 Supervising children during water activities	No		Water play is a vague wording. I assume this means swimming, but it could also be assumed to mean water in sensory tables. We have this available at all times, so if sensory tables were included in "water play" then we would always have to have extra staff in classrooms. Please clarify.	Neutral	Substantive
37	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0357 Center mixed age grouping capacity, ratio, and group size	No		The mixed age groupings are very wide in range and very specific to requirements of abilities of children. It makes sense if an infant is with a 3 year old to have it be so specific, however, if the grouping is smaller, they seem unnecessary. We have a 2's room, 24-36 months, under these rules, our room will no longer be able to function this way as we cannot guarantee 5 children under the age of 30 months. At the beginning of the year, most will be under 30 months, and by the end of the year most will be over 30 months. In this situation, no one is in danger from an older child and it functions perfectly for a potty training room. These rules also say nothing about combining children under 4 with children over 4. Will this be allowed? At night when we have only a handful of children left, will we be able to combine a 1 year old with a 4 or 5 year old? Or will I be required to pay 2 staff members to stay with 2 children due to their ages? There are times we may only have 2 children left on site for an hour at night and this would add up on the payroll.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
38	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization		No		The proposed WAC 170-300-0360(3) would meet national target standards relating to access to outdoor physical activity by requiring providers to have daily opportunities for active outdoor play, and specifically requires full day programs to include no less than 60 minutes of active outdoor play and part day programs to include a minimum of 20 minutes of active outdoor play for every 3 hours of programming. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0360(3) as written and ask this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Substantive
39	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity schedule	Yes	1	While the proposed language of WAC 170-300-0360(3) is very strong relating to access to outdoor physical activity, we are concerned that the weighting of this standard is extremely low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over a sustained period of time creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, we are concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the physical activity standards for infants versus young children, i.e. physical activity for infants is currently weighted at 6 while physical activity for children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Physical activity is vital for the healthy development of children at all ages; the importance and weight assigned to physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an infant grows into a toddler. We recommend WAC 170-300-0360(3) be weighted at a 6, which is consistent with the weighting for infant physical activity.		Commentary
40	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity schedule	No		The new proposed WAC 170-300-0360(3a) makes significant progress toward meeting national target standards relating to defined time periods for physical activity by requiring that: • Full day programs must provide the child daily morning and afternoon active outdoor play time for a total of not less than 60 minutes daily for toddlers and 90 min daily for preschool aged children • Part day programs must provide a minimum of 20 minutes of active outdoor play time for infants and toddlers and 30 min for preschoolers for each 3 hours of programming. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0360(3a) as written and ask this language to be included in the final WAC.		Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					While the proposed language under 170-300-0360(3a) is very strong relating to defined time		
					periods for physical activity, we are concerned that the weighting of this standard is extremely		
					low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and		
					wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over a sustained period of time		
					creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In		
					addition, we are concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the physical activity		
					standards for infants versus young children, i.e. physical activity for infants is currently		
					weighted at 6 while physical activity for children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Physical activity is		
	Interactions and	170-300-0360			vital for the health and development of children at all ages; the importance and weight		
	Curriculum -	Program and			assigned to physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an infant		
	Program Structure	daily activity			ages into a toddler. We recommend WAC 170-300-0360 (3a) be weighted at a 6, which is		
41	and Organization	schedule	Yes	-	1 consistent with the weighting for infant physical activity.	Disagree	Substantive
					WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of		
					preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more		
					children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group		
		170-300-0356			sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended		
	Interactions and	Center			levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside		
42	and Organization	group size	No		playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
					WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of		
					preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more		
					children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group		
		170-300-0356			sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended		
	Interactions and	Center			levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside		
43	and Organization	group size	Yes	NA,1,5,6,7	playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
44	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
45	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
46	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		This rule requires clarification relating to outdoor space. To comply with this rule, larger preschools would have to limit outdoor, active play time for children, even in if the school has a large, elementary school-sized play ground. Outdoor play keeps children active, promotes collaborative play, and allows them to interact with more children. Outdoor play is particularly important for pre-school aged children. Please consider exempting outdoor space from this proposed policy.	Disagree	Substantive
47	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
48	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play is essential for kids' development. The small group sizes would limit my daughters from having access to the point where it's far below the recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children being able to play together is also an essential part of social/emotional development. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Neutral	Substantive
49	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		I agree with the rule change overall, as long as the rule is modified. Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Agree	Substantive
50	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
# Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
				170-300-0356 Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool		
				children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children.		
				Different centers have different outside capacity. Centers should be evaluated on a case by		
				case basis and not restricted by an arbitrary number when more outside capacity exists. One		
				of the reasons we chose our current center was the abundant outside play space and I do not		
				want my child';s outside time restricted by your proposed small group rule. Outside play time		
				is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's		
	170-300-0356			time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play		
Interactions and	Center			for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for		
Curriculum -	capacity,			social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal		
Program Structure	ratio, and			recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground		
51 and Organization	group size	No		space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
				WAC 170 200 03EC. Places add planification to this mule to qualisity allow larger groups of		
				WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of		
				preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group		
	170-300-0356			sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below		
Interactions and	Center			recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for		
Curriculum -	capacity,			collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align		
Program Structure	•			DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude		
52 and Organization	group size	No		outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
32 dila Organization	Pi oab size	110		outside pluggiound space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
				WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of		
				preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more		
				children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group		
				sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended		
				levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative		
				play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with		
	170-300-0357			federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside		
	Center mixed			playground space from the group size requirement. I also agree that the age ranges should be		
Interactions and	age grouping			changed as mentioned in a previous comment "(6) (b) Toddler should be defined as a child 13-		
Curriculum -	capacity,			35 months of age (6) (c) Preschooler should be defined as a child 36 months- 6 years of age.		
Program Structure	ratio, and			These age groupings are consistent with Caring For Our Children and are more appropriate for		
53 and Organization	group size	No		the maximum group sizes and adult-child ratios included in the WAC."	Disagree	Substantive

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
54	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		This rule is the opposite of what we should be doing, which is allowing children to have MORE time outside! Please add clarification to allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my two children's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
55	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
56	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
57	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
58	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
59	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Neutral	Substantive
60	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structur 60 and Organization	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity schedule	No		The proposed WAC 170-300-0360(3) would meet national target standards relating to access to outdoor physical activity by requiring providers to have daily opportunities for active outdoor play, and specifically requires full day programs to include no less than 60 minutes of active outdoor play and part day programs to include a minimum of 20 minutes of active outdoor play for every 3 hours of programming. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0360(3) as written and ask this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary

		Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity			While the proposed language of WAC 170-300-0360(3) is very strong relating to access to outdoor physical activity, we are concerned that the weighting of this standard is extremely low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over a sustained period of time creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, we are concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the physical activity standards for infants versus young children, i.e. physical activity for infants is currently weighted at 6 while physical activity for children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Physical activity is vital for the healthy development of children at all ages; the importance and weight assigned to physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an infant grows into a toddler. We recommend WAC 170-300-0360(3) be weighted at a 6, which is consistent with		
-	schedule	Yes		1 the weighting for infant physical activity.	Disagree	Substantive
Curriculum - F	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity schedule	No		The new proposed WAC 170-300-0360(3a) makes significant progress toward meeting national target standards relating to defined time periods for physical activity by requiring that: • Full day programs must provide the child daily morning and afternoon active outdoor play time for a total of not less than 60 minutes daily for toddlers and 90 min daily for preschool aged children • Part day programs must provide a minimum of 20 minutes of active outdoor play time for infants and toddlers and 30 min for preschoolers for each 3 hours of programming. We strongly support WAC 170-300-0360(3a) as written and ask this language to be included in the final WAC.	Agree	Commentary
	170-300-0360 Program and daily activity			While the proposed language under 170-300-0360(3a) is very strong relating to defined time periods for physical activity, we are concerned that the weighting of this standard is extremely low. Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and wellness of a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over a sustained period of time creates a cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, we are concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the physical activity standards for infants versus young children, i.e. physical activity for infants is currently weighted at 6 while physical activity for children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Physical activity is vital for the health and development of children at all ages; the importance and weight assigned to physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an infant ages into a toddler. We recommend WAC 170-300-0360 (3a) be weighted at a 6, which is		
i rogiani structure t						

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			RE: 170-300-0356. I have grave concerns about the limiting the number of children who may be on a playground at one time, especially if the playground is large enough to accommodate for more children with appropriate staff ratios, in a safe manner. My children attend Small Faces Child Development Center, where the school is the site of former Crown Hill Elementary School. The outdoor space which includes a blacktop, two substantial playground structures, grass area and sandbox is roughly the square footage of a 10-classroom Elementary school (i.e. HUGE!!!) and can very safely accommodate more than 20 children. Children learn kinesthetically through movement, and when on the playground, can particularly explore the limits of their physical bodies. Having children from different classrooms on the playground at once also allows for more social-emotional growth and development. Research shows how		
	Curriculum - Program Structure	capacity,			important both these things are to child development overall. Please allow facilities with large playground spaces that can safely handle more than 20 children to make the best use of their		
	and Organization	group size	No		space and and give our kids the best chance to move and play by revising this proposed rule.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Clarification is needed for this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and			Regarding a Licensee working alone with at least one year of experience Currently a provider may have 8 children with 4 under the age of 3 and 2 of those may be between 18 months and 2 years. This new WAC has eliminated the 18 month to 2 years. Is this a change in capacity that		
	and Organization	group size	No		is being made, or is this a typo or oversight? I would like to see it remain the same as it is now.		Other

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
	category rine	Subsections	Comment	Value		. , p c	comment type
67	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0354 Indoor early learning program space capacity	Yes	NA,1,4	WAC 170-300-0356, I think. Regarding Center Capacity: Please do not take space away from licensed childcare facilities. Including teachers in the square footage capacity is not needed. Centers are already counting on the existing square footage rules. Cutting back the space available would drive many centers into extreme financial stress. I have no doubt that many centers would be forced to close. Many children would lose licensed spots. Where do you think that they would go? Not to a better situation. You know that almost all childcare centers operate at the brink of survival. Please don't hurt children or providers in this way. Preserve the old rule, not counting teachers in the square footage rule or offer to pay for the remedy. Thank you.	Disagree	Commentary
68	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Neutral	Substantive
69	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Neutral	Substantive
	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			Having kids outside is a very important part of childhood development. All ages, all group sizes		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			(large, medium, small). The last thing we want to do is limit outdoor activity due to a		
	Program Structure	• •			regulation. Kids need to move and explore! Let kids be kids. They can do their thing in all sized		
70	and Organization	group size	No		groups as it works within the confines, judgement and rules of each facility.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					My son goes to an amazing daycare in Seattle - Small Faces. The large playground, where children of all ages can play together was one of the benefits that drew us to the school. WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more		
		470 200 0256			children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group		
	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with		
	Program Structure				federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside		
	and Organization	group size	No		playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. My child attends a top-rated child care center with a large outside play area. This outdoor space is one of the main reasons my family chose this option for our son. One glance at the spaceeven at times when the whole school is using itand one could see there is plenty of room for safe play. Changing the rule without accommodating child care centers like ours would reduce our kids'; time outside and impact their well being, which I assume is the opposite of the intended effect of the rule. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with		
	Program Structure	•	N		federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside	Diagram	C. harani'a
72	and Organization	group size	No		playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
<u></u>	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. I specifically chose a child care center for my child with a very large outdoor play area, moving from one with a small play area on top of a parking garage. The small group sizes required by this rule would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside		
73	and Organization	group size	No		playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			Please clarify further to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play is essential for the development and growth of children. The ability to be outside with a large group of multi-age children opens up new avenues of learning not only in the social realm but also in the physical realm. Children are all at different abilities and being with children older or younger than themselves provides them peers that can challenge them and help them grow. Small group sizes would limit children's time outside to less than an hour a day, far below the		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			recommended levels of gross motor play for preschoolers. Please align DEL rules with federal		
	Program Structure				recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground		
74	and Organization	group size	No		space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
		470 200 0256			WAC 170-300-0356 - The rule requiring a maximum of 20 students at a time on a playground is troubling and unnecessary. It would be better to eliminate a maximum and instead requiring a staffing ration. Numerous studies indicate outside play time is essential for the development of young children. However, the rule as proposed would limit my child's time outside to less than he currently receives at his preschool, which hurts his gross motor play development. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional		
	1.1	170-300-0356			development of children. This rule change is unnecessary and would significantly damage		
	Interactions and	Center			ongoing operations at existing preschools, as well as hurt children. DEL rules need to be		
	Curriculum - Program Structure	capacity,			aligned with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude		
75	and Organization	group size	No		outside playground space from the group size requirement - or remove the group size requirement altogether and stick with a staffing ratio requirement.	Disagree	Commentary
		8				- 10 10 10 10	,
76	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	group size	No		170-300-0356: I strongly disagree with the group size limitations set out in this rule change. On a large playground, if there are enough instructors, children in groups larger than those in the recommendations can play with complete safety, while also achieving the goal of getting more time outdoors and more time playing with kinds across different age groups. My son's preschool has a very large playground, and he enjoys a lot of active time outside. If these new rules were to be implemented, the school will have to spend more time and energy rotating kids back inside to allow other groups to come out, which benefits no one and results in less outside time for all. The national guidelines, as far as I can tell, do not include an outdoor group size limit, but DO focus on giving kids sufficient time outdoors (which is already hard enough in the pacific northwest). I think the new rule would result in an unnecessary limitation that would make it impossible for many preschools (including my son's) to achieve even the basic outdoor time guidelines, which is not a step forward. Please revise the proposed rules so that they do not make arbitrary limits on outdoor group size. Please feel free to contact me if I can add anything more to help you reconsider this rule.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and	170-300-0356 Center			170-300-0356 - qualified staff in ratios/field trips. With your propose staff qualifications - how		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			is one to provide ratios if they aren't meeting those expectations? close classrooms? Center?		
	Program Structure	*			As long as staff have the other requirements - minus the ECE intial certificate or state	D:	
77	and Organization	group size	No		certificate, I would think we would be good to go. Drop the high weight.	Disagree	Substantive

atawam, Titla	CubCastiana	Weighted	Weighted	Commande	Concur	Comment Tune
ategory litie	Subsections	Comment	value	Comments	туре	Comment Type
				WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of		
				preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more		
				children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group		
	170-300-0356			sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below		
nteractions and	Center			recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for		
urriculum -	capacity,			collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align		
rogram Structure	ratio, and			DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude		
nd Organization	group size	No		outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
	170-300-0356					
nteractions and	Center					
urriculum -	capacity,			We have a childcare crisis in this country. New rules to make it more unaffordable are		
rogram Structure	ratio, and			absolutely unnecessary. I am 100% confident in the care my child is getting under the current		
nd Organization	group size	No		rules. Please do not continue to make good childcare a luxury only the wealthy can afford.	Disagree	Commentary
	170-300-0356					
nteractions and	Center			It is not clear to me that the department has studied the potential impact of these regulations		
urriculum -	capacity,			on childcare access and affordability. Seattle residents are willing to pay \$3000/ mo but are		
rogram Structure	ratio, and			still on waiting lists 2 years long for childcare. Please do not enact regulations further		
nd Organization	group size	No		decreasing the supply of childcare spots without very careful consideration of the benefits.	Neutral	Commentary
				Re: 170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size. 2 (b) The idea of a center's capacity		
				changing based on the years of experience that the provider has is wrong. If you have a center		
	170-300-0356					
nteractions and						
	• •			·		
· ·	•	No		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Disagree	Commentary
nur nur nur	urriculum - rogram Structure nd Organization Iteractions and urriculum - rogram Structure nd Organization Iteractions and urriculum - rogram Structure nd Organization Iteractions and urriculum - rogram Structure nd Organization	170-300-0356 teractions and Center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size 170-300-0356 teractions and Center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size 170-300-0356 teractions and Center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size 170-300-0356 teractions and Center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size 170-300-0356 teractions and Center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size 170-300-0356 teractions and Center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size	170-300-0356 teractions and center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size No 170-300-0356 teractions and center capacity, rogram Structure ratio, and group size No 170-300-0356 teractions and group size No 170-300-0356 teractions and center capacity, ratio, and group size No 170-300-0356 teractions and center capacity, ratio, and group size No 170-300-0356 teractions and center capacity, ratio, and group size No 170-300-0356 teractions and center capacity, ratio, and group size No	170-300-0356 Iteractions and capacity, ratio, and organization group size No 170-300-0356 Iteractions and Center capacity, ratio, and organization group size No 170-300-0356 Iteractions and capacity, ratio, and organization group size No 170-300-0356 Iteractions and capacity, ratio, and organization group size No 170-300-0356 Iteractions and capacity, ratio, and organization group size No 170-300-0356 Iteractions and capacity, ratio, and organization group size No 170-300-0356 Iteractions and capacity, ratio, and organization group size No	WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for capacity, collaborative play that is essential for social emotid development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement. 170-300-0356 terractions and Center absolutely unnecessary. I am 100% confident in the care my child is getting under the current of program Structure ratio, and absolutely unnecessary. I am 100% confident in the care my child is getting under the current rules. Please do not continue to make good childcare a luxury only the wealthy can afford. 170-300-0356 terractions and Center It is not clear to me that the department has studied the potential impact of these regulations on childcare access and affordability. Seattle residents are willing to pay \$3000/ mo but are rogram Structure ratio, and still on waiting lists 2 years long for childcare. Please do not enact regulations further decreasing the supply of childcare spots without very careful consideration of the benefits. Re: 170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size. 2 (b) The idea of a center's capacity changing based on the years of experience? Even if they are very qualified, the fact they are younger would potentially decrease the center's capacity? What then of the families which are already enrolled, does the center need to send families away? I feel that this is a biased terractions and center very difficult to reach the highest capacity, 2 (e) It also seems that this requirement would leave much up to the licensor, I don't	WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child&ral93 time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for unriculum—capacity, collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align open young roup size No outside playground space from the group size requirement. 170-300-0356 - Very Capacity, We have a childcare crisis in this country. New rules to make it more unaffordable are absolutely unnecessary. I am 100% confident in the care my child is getting under the current of Organization group size No rules. Please do not continue to make good childcare a luxury only the wealthy can afford. 170-300-0356 - Very Capacity, On childcare crisis in this country. New rules to make it more unaffordable are absolutely unnecessary. I am 100% confident in the care my child is getting under the current vales. Please do not continue to make good childcare a luxury only the wealthy can afford. 170-300-0356 - Very Capacity, On childcare access and affordability. Seattle residents are willing to pay \$3000/ mo but are still on waiting lists 2 years long for childcare. Please do not enact regulations further decreasing the supply of childcare spots without very careful consideration of the benefits. Re: 170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size. 2 (b) The idea of a center's capacity changing based on the years of experience that the provider has is wrong. If you have a center with a director who's been there for 30 years and retry bank aft is someone younger steps in who has just 5-10 years of experience? Even if they are very qualified, the fact they are younger would potentially decrease the center's capacity? What then of the families

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
Cate	egory Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	eractions and	170-300-0356 Center capacity,			As a parent of a child in a licensed learning center in Seattle, I am concerned about the proposed rule that would limit a provider's capacity based on "A center early learning provider's years of experience in licensed child care." First, years of experience doesn't equal quality childcare. Second, a diverse, well-rounded childcare staff means teachers of all levels		
Prog	gram Structure	ratio, and			of experience. Third, this proposed rule would discriminate against young people, in effect. As		
82 and	Organization	group size	No		a parent, I want my child to be around people of all ages at childcare.	Disagree	Commentary
Curi Pro ₈	eractions and rriculum - gram Structure d Organization	group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356Regarding the section outlining how the department determines capacity: this sections adds vague language about determining capacity based on a center's "history with the department"and "education level of the provider" etc. A providers capacity should be a stable and understandable number. This section appears to give DEL wide latitude to change a provider's capacity for just about any reason without recourse. This rule is just asking to be abused and could open the department up to legal challenges based on discrimination if provider's are not treated in a consistent and fair manner. This section should be clearly written to spell out exactly how capacity is determined to make sure that providers and licensors will be able to be on the same page.	Disagree	Commentary
Curi	eractions and	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and			A 23 month and a 4 year old should not both be considered toddlers. The younger is a todder, while the older is a pre-schooler. While having mixed ages provides important skills for bothit shouldn't mean we need to double down on the ratios with more teachers. This makes		
84 and	d Organization	group size	No		everything more expensive, and provides no added value.	Disagree	Commentary
	eractions and	170-300-0356 Center capacity,			Sub-section 170-300-0356 My grandchildren attend a large fully staffed preschool on Crown Hill. As I read these regulations it is not possible for them to be outside unless there are a limited number of children present. This makes sense to me if the center has a small outside area, but if there is a very large playground and the staffing ratios are maintained per age and development, I believe that having mixed age children and even the entire school outside together so that they can all get LOTS of outside "free" play is essential to the children's growth and development. As I read the rule change our large center with a huge play outside area would have to limit the number of children outside at any given time. This would not allow our kids more than 15 minutes outside a day. There aren't enough minutes in the day. Please clarify the rule so that many children can be together as long as space and staffing		
	gram Structure	•			regulations are met. I want my kids to be outside while they are little ones. Thanks. B Greenlee		

		c heartain	Weighted	Weighted		Concur	0
Ħ	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
86	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	No		WAC 170-300-0356 - My daughter attends Small Faces preschool, which provides a large, safe, wonderful space for many students with lots of supervision to play outside together. While I understand the spirit of the proposed rule change, the result at Small Faces would be to severely and unnecessarily curtail the amount of time my daughter and other classmates could spend outside together. Consequently, I would please ask that you consider clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Thank you Andrew, Lillian and Avery Bleiman		Commentary
87	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0345 Supervising children	No		The maximum group size when outside should not be the same as inside. If an outdoor space is large enough (square footage wise) for more children, or multiple classes at the same time, this is a benefit. It allows for more flexible play with a wider variety of children than within the classroom. Please revise so that the maximum group size for outdoor play is more than the inside maximum group size, as long as appropriate adult to child ratios are maintained.	Disagree	Substantive
88	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0356 Center capacity, ratio, and group size	Yes	NA,1,5,6,7	WAC 170-300-0356 - Please add clarification to this rule to explicitly allow larger groups of preschool children in outdoor spaces that meet size requirements to accommodate more children. Outside play time is essential for the development of young children. Small group sizes would limit my child's time outside to less than one hour a day, far below recommended levels of gross motor play for their age. Larger groups of children also allow for collaborative play that is essential for social emotional development of children. Please align DEL rules with federal recommendations and the practices of most states to explicitly exclude outside playground space from the group size requirement.	Disagree	Substantive
89	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0345 Supervising children	No		We feel there should be some wording changes to this WAC. If parents give authorization for visitation from a family member or friend in writing, they should have unsupervised access to the child without DEL's approval.	Neutral	Commentary
90	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0357 Center mixed age grouping capacity, ratio, and group size	No		This WAC requires centers to be rated at a Level 3 or high in the Early Achiever's Program before mixing age groups. This WAC would require programs to participate in this "optional" quality program. Early morning and late day childcare often requires age groups to combine based on small enrollment numbers. As long as a center in maintaining appropriate staff to child ratios, mixed ages should be allowed for a limited amount of time.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
					(1) An early learning provider must not allow any person other than a child's parent or guardian to have unsupervised access to a child in care unless authorized and cleared by the		
	Interactions and				departmentcome on!! what about other family members that have permission to pick up		
	Curriculum -	170-300-0345			the child??? I understand the need for this WAC but this will not allow any emergency contact		
	Program Structure	Supervising			person to get a child in case of an emergency. DEL makes us have them but we will not allow		
91	and Organization	children	No		them to take them since that will be "unsupervised"	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0355			Current WAC of 2 or 4 children under the age of 18 months need to remain in effect. This will		
	Interactions and	Family home			cause displacement of children and the lose of continuity of care. One day a provider is in		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			compliance and the day this goes into effect they will be over capacity and force the removal		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			of a possible 22 month only because DEL has changed the rulesPLEASE leave 18 month WAC		
92	and Organization	group size	No		in.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0355			Please consider a fcc infant/toddler only license!!! Something that allows us enough kids to		
	Interactions and	Family home			also pay staff. I would love to have infants only, toddlers only or infants toddlers. I'm a fcc and		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			have two full time staff (3 providers here at all time) so we'd be able to care for infants and		
	Program Structure	• •			toddlerscurrent ratios and those suggested limit the amount too much to be financially		
93	and Organization	group size	No		sustainable with staff.	Disagree	Commentary
	J	<u> </u>					,
					I am a single parent with 5 children. I am probably one of the most affected people when		
					changes such as this are implemented. Daycare is expensive as it stands. Reducing the ratio, as		
		170-300-0355			this proposal would do, would only serve to increase the costs further. In order for providers		
	Interactions and	Family home			to keep their current enrollments, they would be required to hire more employees. This of		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			course causes an increase in costs for the providers, which I'm sure you know will be passed		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			on to us parents. This is an unfair and unnecessary increase and I truly hope that you consider		
94	and Organization	group size	Yes	NA,1,6,7	all those in similar positions to mine as we simply cannot afford higher daycare costs.	Disagree	Commentary
					Counting staff into max group sizes will bankrupt many centers. Cost are extremely high with		
					leases, building and staff cost. If you take two incomes of children attending that contribute		
					towards staff and building cost away, this will affect programs quality, staff wages and no		
		170-300-0356			doubt raise tuition. In my one center alone I'd need to charge parents 125 more a week to		
	Interactions and	Center			make up the loss of income from loss tuition. This is absurd. I can't build larger classrooms to		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			make up the difference of loss income. Dshs families will no doubt have even less choice in childcare because no one will be able to afford to take it. This is the worse idea ever that the		
0.5	Program Structure and Organization	,	No		Del has come up with.	Disagros	Commontari
95	anu Organization	group size	INU		Del has come up with.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
96	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0357 Center mixed age grouping capacity, ratio, and group size	No		170-300-0357 It is hard to understand why a toddler classroom of 1 year olds can be a 1:7 ratio, but when you add 2 year olds to the group, the ratio drops to 2:12. I would think a group of 14 toddlers would be more challenging than adding children that are more self-sufficient and interactive with their peers. The age group for toddlers should be changed to include children through 36 months and is more developmentally appropriate. Potentially mixing children who are 30 months with four year olds provides a much greater developmental gap and yet the ratio is 1:10. This really needs to be examined more carefully so that providers can provide a 2's group through 36 months with a 1:7 ratio.	Disagree	Commentary
97	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	Yes	NA,1,6,7	I will it be able to afford all of my expenses as a family in-home provider and will have to close if this goes into effect. I do a great job and provide quality and hands-on care; it is not harmed but gives me the ability to pay for extra hands by having a few more toddlers.	Disagree	Commentary
98	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	Yes	NA,1,6,7	Outrageous! Why? Do you know how hard it is at this moment for parents to find care for their kids under 18 months? It would just be harder! This would be awful for so many home care providers! Please do not allow this change to happen. I'd have to let so many kids go. I run a full to capacity daycare. I have kids coming and going for short spats of time because finding care is hard. Please don't change it back to 2	Disagree	Substantive
99	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	Yes	NA,1,6,7	WAC 170-300-0355. How will this benefit the well being of the children to have to move kids to a new daycare because we suddenly are now over capacity? This WAC is NOT in the best interest of the kids to do this! Keep the WAC the same.	Disagree	Commentary
_ 100	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		I believe that the WAC currently in place should remain the same. If these new age restrictions are put in place many parents of toddlers will need to find alternative child care, which will likely have an extremely negative impact on the children, siblings and parents.	Disagree	Commentary
101	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Why is DEL retreating on 170-300-0355? The age has already been set at 18 months. Why is DEL taking us backwards on this? This will impact families. Families cannot find care now. If DEL enacts this, parents will have an even more difficult time finding care. And what happens to children who are in care now that are hoovering between 18 months and 2 years? They get kicked out? Is DEL that insensitive? Thank you for your time. William McGunagle	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum -	170-300-0331 Prohibited behavior, discipline, and					
	Emotional Support				170 200 0221 Places shows the condition in (2) to see "Pirester Pressure Constitution Op Land		
103	and Classroom	removal of	NI -		170-300-0331 Please change the wording in (3) to say "Director, Program Supervisor, OR Lead	D:	C. baranti a
102	Organization Interactions and	170-300-0300 Special needs	No		Teacher" instead of &"AND" We agree that a plan needs to be in place for children with special needs. However, requiring individual centers to write these complex plans in not feasible with the resources most centers have access too. Certified Special Needs Educators have specialized expertise and are better equipped to appropriately prepare these plans. Placing this responsibility on the childcare provider, who is not certified in this area, could be detrimental to the well being of the special provider shills (Should DEL continue down this path a Special Needs Educators hould be available.	Disagree	Substantive
100	Curriculum -	accommodati	NI -		needs child. Should DEL continue down this path, a Special Needs Educator should be available	D:	C
103	Learning Supports	ons	No		to provide assistance to centers.	Disagree	Commentary
104	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Changing the capacity of the family home will negatively impact a significant number of family's for the sack of change. 170-300-0355 there is no justification made for this change. The current capacities came from a significant shortage of infant care available. This WAC needs its own financial impact statement from both the provider and the family perspective infant care will raise by over 25%. With more families choosing unlicensed care.	Disagree	Commentary
105	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		The new law will affect all FCC Our income depends on enroll children and infants and toddlers are in great demand- I personally have 4 on waiting list as cannot enroll with licensing rules Once kid reach 2.5 and over they start preschool- in my case and they leave that means I do not have enough income source Please keep current law and support local business	Disagree	Substantive
106	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		please keep current law and support local business I will not be able to make payment if law makes changes and will force me to close I thought DEL supports FCCnow I am ?ing	Disagree	Substantive
107	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		why make this changes when providers able to care following DEL rules?	Disagree	Commentary

	C	c heart	Weighted	Weighted	• marata	Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
108	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		I believe that the current ratio by age group is working well for our community. Returning to the original rules and eliminating the added 18 month to 2 allowance will adversely affect our community and the financial stability of family home providers because we will have to eliminate children from our programs. I do not see any justification for the proposed changes.	Disagree	Substantive
109	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		I completely disagree with this change as it is unfair to the families we serve. It is hard enough for many of our families to find a licensed provider and this would make it virtually impossible. This would drive them to find care with an unlicensed caregiver, therefore putting the safety and well being of their children at risk. As providers we work hard and take on going trainings yearly to improve and maintain the quality and safety of our programs. If you want providers to continue to operate licensed programs then please stop penalizing us and the families we serve. If you do pass this then I will personally expect DEL to call the parents in my care and explain to them why they have to find a new place to take their children.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity,	No		Regarding 170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size # 2. I do not believe children who are offsite at school should count towards the total number of children on premise. They are not physically there and away for an extended period of time. In this case we would be charging parents full time rates to make up potential revenue lost. since most people only charge school age rates. There needs to be a financial look at this for both providers and parents.	Disagree	Commentary
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity,	No		The new law will affect all FCC. Enrollment for infants and toddlers are in great demand and I have not been able to enroll them. Most children leave once they are in preschool age. PLEASE keep current law and support FCC.	Disagree	Substantive
112	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	Yes	NA,1,6,7	Leave the existing rule as it is. Changing the rule does not add value or benefit the providers.	Disagree	Substantive
113	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		This will create a further shortage in care for this age group and turn more families to unlicensed care. This is not a way of improving care for families that are in much need of quality childcare.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and					
114	and Organization	group size	No		this will force many many FCC to shut down	Disagree	Commentary
44.5	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	*	No		This is sooooo scary DISAGREE we need to pay bills and this law will highly affect our small business and may need to shut down WHich will also means more unlicensed care in	Diagona	6
115	and Organization	group size	No		community that does not support early learning program	Disagree	Commentary
116	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size I disagree with this rule it day's that the ratio is 4 children under 2 years of age when their are 2 providers, and I imagine what would happen if I where giving care by myself it's non sense, also it would be unfair for families to leave family home childcares, also it would be unfair for family home childcare providers, we have to pay our assistants and that is expensive.	Disagree	Commentary
110	and Organization	group size	NO		providers, we have to pay our assistants and that is expensive.	Disagree	Commentary
117	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		this law will create more unlicensed/nanny care which will directly affect children future Please keep the same law WE WILL APPRIciate	Disagree	Substantive
118	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Why change the age for toddler care??? It is working fine as is and make it easier for parents to find the care they desire. This is why we changed it a few years ago from four kids 2 and under. The old wac age restriction was causing difficulty for providers and parents. Leave it alone	Disagree	Commentary
119	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		Juggling back and forth between 18 months and 24 months should be stopped. Why break what is working! When the 24 month guideline changed to 18 months parents and providers were given a tiny bit of WAC relief. Even with the 18 month change finding infant care remains difficult. Changing it back to 24 months very likely will force even more parents to place their children in undesirable circumstances rather than being placed in a licensed environment.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value		Туре	Comment Type
·					Juggling back and forth between 18 months and 24 months should be stopped. Why break		
					what is working! When the 24 month guideline changed to 18 months parents and providers		
					were given a tiny bit of WAC relief. Even with the 18 month change finding infant care remains		
		170-300-0355			difficult. Changing it back to 24 months very likely will force even more parents to place their		
	Interactions and	Family home			children in undesirable circumstances rather than being placed in a licensed environment.		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			Caring for a 18 mo vs a 24 mo isn't all that different for a provider. in my environment the 24		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			mo actually needs a bit more care due to potty training and pre preschool activities. Please do		
120	and Organization	group size	No		not change the 18 mo age back to 24 mo!	Disagree	Substantive
					I have been running an in home childcare for over 26 years, I am not new to this game and the		
					constant changes/restrictions/burdens placed on us by the State. We finally have a ratio that		
					allows a provider to somewhat meet the needs of the families out there and you are		
					proposing to take it away. I constantly have a waiting list, it currently consists of 7 children,		
					ALL UNDER 18 MONTHS. In addition to the families I currently have on my waiting list, I get		
					calls on a weekly basis for infant and toddler placements, there are not enough licensed child		
					care providers to care for the undr 18 month old population that is out there needing care.		
					Not only would I not be able to meet my financial obligations with a decrease in the number of		
					infant and toddlers I care for, I would have to kick children out of my care, leaving their		
		170-300-0355			parents unable to work. The local big box center is full in this age range as well, I called and		
	Interactions and	Family home			checked. Where are these parents supposed to take their children? How do they work and pay		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			their bills with no child care available to them? The new proposal is unfair and		
	Program Structure	ratio, and			overburdensome for families and providers, it provides no consistency for the children and		
121	and Organization	group size	No		families we serve. Keep the ratios where they are.	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0355					
	Interactions and	Family home			I completely disagree with this change. This will not only effect me and my ability to pay all of		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			my assistants but will effect current families that i have. The demand for infant care is great in		
	Program Structure	•			this community. Most of my parents cannot afford center infant fees and would much rather		
122	and Organization	group size	No		have their infants in an in home daycare. Please leave the capacity for in home providers as is .	Disagree	Substantive
		<u> </u>			, , , ,		
		170-300-0355					
	Interactions and	Family home					
	Curriculum -	capacity,					
	Program Structure	ratio, and			I disagree with this proposal. I believe the current ratio is working well and no changes are		
123	and Organization	group size	No		needed to be done.	Disagree	Commentary
		170-300-0355					
	Interactions and	Family home			I disagree with the new proposal. I receive phone calls everyday from parents that need infant		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			care in this area. I am one of few that take infants. Moving towards only 4 children under the		
	Program Structure				age of 2 would hurt my daycare roster and have an adverse affect on my community. I strongly		
12/	and Organization	group size	No		disagree with this change!!!	Disagree	Commentary
124	and Organization	81 Juh 312C	110		disagree with this change;::	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and					
125	and Organization	group size	No		I absolute disagree with this change. It would great flux in the daycares	Disagree	Commentary
126	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and group size	No		We in FCC Waited many years to get our infant ratios changed from 4 under the age of 2, to 6 under 2 with two 18 months and walking. There is a huge need for this. It is working. Why would you take that away from us? and in another change up above not allow us to get a waiver for the children we already have either? Why are we taking two steps back? I get calls everyday for infant care that I must turn away.	Disagree	Commentary
127	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure and Organization	•	No		Please do not change the current ratio the 4 under 18 months that has been in effect has worked perfectly in my FCC and I still have infants on a waiting list. It is the only way to keep siblings in the same FCC I had 3 siblings born this summer I would not be able to turn these babies away I thrive on watching babies, toddlers and preschoolers. Please do not change this WAC. I am licensed for 12 and have 2 employed assistants. I am staffed appropriately for these children. At this point I don not have to charge out rages rates for infants I charge the same for all ages. This would make me have to change this, affecting my community. I see no benefit in making this change. My FCC is known for the care I provide infants and toddlers. WAC 170-300-0355 needs to remain the same for the	Disagree	Substantive
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and	NO		Why are you trying to make these changes? You're trying to take away the 18months-2yrs bracket. Why would you want to do that? at 18months most are walking and eating independently. This will make it more difficult to fill our empty spots. And we'd have to tell our families to find new daycares and more than likely they wouldn't be able to find them because they too have to follow the rules you impose on us. The last time there was a ratio change many in-home daycares had to close and it will happen again. Making it more difficult for us to make a living is unfair. I will also add that many of us take care of siblings so if we have to turn the 18month old away that we currently have in our care the siblings will also be leaving because parents don't want to have to run around from daycare to daycare. This proposal isn't	Disagree	Substantive
	and Organization Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	•	No		fair for both the providers and their families. A ratio decrease back to 4 children under2 years would be a great disservice to all working families in Washington state. Too many infants currently are in unlicensed care and reducing the ratio of family childcare will only put infants in greater risk for the very quality of care you are intrusted to regulate. Many providers are curently unwilling to offer infant care with the early achievers current standards. I urge you to consider backtracking infant ratios, it wouldn't	Disagree	Commentary
129	and Organization	group size	No		be a improvment for anyone.	Agree	Commentary

	C.1 7711.	c besites	Weighted	Weighted		Concur	0
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments Changing the capacity of the family home will negatively impact a significant number of	Туре	Comment Type
ļ		170-300-0355			families for the sake of change. 170-300-0355 there is no justification made for this change.		
ļ	Interactions and	Family home			Having the law changed will cause us providers to have to tell parents that they have to find		
ļ	Curriculum -	capacity,			childcare elsewhere. Which would cause displacement of the children and loss of continuity of		
ļ	Program Structure	• •			care. Since it is difficult to find licensed providers parents have will have to resort to		
	and Organization	group size	No		unlicensed care. Which would cause children be placed in unsafe care.	Disagree	Commentary
		8. 0 a p 0.20			ambended date. When head dates only on se placed in allouis date.	2.008.00	- Commentary
		170-300-0355					
ļ	Interactions and	Family home			Reducing the number of children that a FCC facility can care for is going to put even more of a		
ļ	Curriculum -	capacity,			hardship on parents looking for infant care. You are basically forcing providers to stop caring		
ļ	Program Structure	ratio, and			for infants in order to make a living. The current WAC regarding provider child ratio is		
131	and Organization	group size	No		appropriate.	Disagree	Commentary
ļ		170-300-0355					
Ų	Interactions and	Family home					
ļ	Curriculum -	capacity,					
ļ	Program Structure	ratio, and			This is a ridiculous rule! I have 2 kids and this would effect them greatly. I make minimum		
132	and Organization	group size	No		wage and would not be able to afford daycare if this was implemented.	Disagree	Commentary
ļ					The current ratio by age group is working well for our daycares so why make the changes		
ļ		170-300-0355			when providers are able to care and manage following the DEL rules? My income depends on		
ļ	Interactions and	Family home			enrolling children that are infants and toddlers and they are always in great demand since		
ļ	Curriculum -	capacity,			parents need care for them. Once kids reach 2-3yrs of age parents put them in preschool or		
	Program Structure	•			Montessori making us loose our steady income. I say NO! Support local daycares in not		
133	and Organization	group size	No		moving forward with this proposal. It will do more harm then good.	Disagree	Commentary
ļ		170 200 0255					
ļ	Interactions and	170-300-0355					
ļ	Curriculum -	Family home			Infant care is always needed and this will greate significant shortage of Licensed infant care		
ļ		capacity,			Infant care is always needed and this will create significant shortage of Licensed infant care		
	Program Structure	•	No		available at an affordable rate. The suggested ratios limit the amount to be financially	Disagroo	Cubatantina
134	and Organization	group size	No		sustainable with hiring additional staff. PLEASE leave 18 month WAC in.	Disagree	Substantive
ļ		170-300-0355					
ļ	Interactions and	Family home					
	Curriculum -	capacity,			I disagree with the changes of the number of children under the age of two. We are doing		
	Program Structure				good with the current ratio and this change will cause hardship on many families. we are		
	and Organization	group size	No		qualified childcare providers and many of us have lots of years experience.	Disagree	Commentary

#	Category Title	SubSections	Weighted Comment	Weighted Value	Comments	Concur Type	Comment Type
π	Category Title	Jubsections	Comment	Value	Comments	туре	Comment Type
		170-300-0355					
	Interactions and	Family home			By taking the 18 month range away you will be forcing a lot of families to seek unlicensed child		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			care. As it is, it's extremely hard for families with infants to find quality care for their children.		
	Program Structure				There's a huge issue with infant care in this State and removing the 18 month slot will make it		
136	and Organization	group size	No		worse.	Disagree	Commentary
							,
		170-300-0355					
	Interactions and	Family home					
	Curriculum -	capacity,					
	Program Structure	ratio, and					
137	and Organization	group size	No		Please keep 18mths in the WAC please remove weighted wac	Disagree	Substantive
		170-300-0355					
	Interactions and	Family home			I am in agreement with the previous comments. Family Child Care Providers and the families		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			we serve will be impacted by this change in policy negatively. The costs of doing business is		
400	Program Structure		NI.		already increasing and to make changes with the ratio will only make it more difficult	D'	
138	and Organization	group size	No		financially.	Disagree	Commentary
					While the proposed language of WAC 170-300-0360(3) is very strong relating to access to		
					outdoor physical activity, I am concerned that the weighting of this standard is extremely low.		
					Missing this standard one time may not have a dramatic impact on the health and wellness of		
					a child, but repeated neglect of this standard over a sustained period of time creates a		
					cumulative effect that could result in negative impacts to children's health. In addition, I		
					am concerned with the inconsistent weights assigned to the outdoor physical activity		
					standards for infants versus young children, i.e. physical activity for infants is currently		
					weighted at 6 while physical activity for children over age 1 is weighted at 1. Outdoor physical		
	Interactions and	170-300-0360			activity is vital for the healthy development of children at all ages; the importance and weight		
	Curriculum -	Program and			assigned to outdoor physical activity standards should not suddenly decrease just because an		
	Program Structure	daily activity			infant grows into a toddler. We recommend WAC 170-300-0360(3) be weighted at a 6, which		
139	and Organization	schedule	Yes	1	1 is consistent with the weighting for infant physical activity.	Disagree	Substantive
					Raising the age from 18 months to 2 years for some capacity rules will greatly effect small		
		170-300-0355			home daycares. Adding an additional 6 months to the time frame of being able to add		
	Interactions and	Family home			additional children would create even more of a shortage for young children because daycares		
	Curriculum -	capacity,			will choose not to accept them. For providers serving younger children it will have a huge		
	Program Structure	•			impact on their income. Children of 18 months are usually walking, feeding themselves and		
140	and Organization	group size	No		are independent enough to allow for extra children.	Disagree	Commentary

			Weighted	Weighted		Concur	
#	Category Title	SubSections	Comment	Value	Comments	Туре	Comment Type
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity, ratio, and			Please leave the WAC at 18 months instead of 2 years! This change will force many family child care businesses to close their doors. Parents will be faced with even higher costs and have to quit working to stay at home. Please protect family child care by keeping the WAC as it is. This is not sustainable. This rule may sound good for ratios, but not practical or financial sense!		
141	and Organization	group size	No		Thank you.	Disagree	Substantive
	Interactions and Curriculum - Program Structure	170-300-0355 Family home capacity,			It is already extremely difficult for families to find infant care, and now you want to change the age from 18 months to age 2. Ridiculous. Imagine trying to find a family provider who can take them under the age of 2. This will not happen, so who is to care for the 0 to age 2? Do you have a plan for this too? Get real and get with real parents seeking daycares for their most		
142		group size	No		precious young ones without paying a fortune or going to unlicensed care just to make it work.	Disagree	Commentary