

SICC Meeting Minutes

Date: 1/15/2020

Time: 9:00AM to 3:00PM

On-line format: Zoom

<p>Members Present:</p>	<p>Members present via Zoom session: Jen Cole, Magan Cromar, Karen Huber, Evelyn Perez, E Renae Antalan, Stacey Bushaw, Cindy Carroll, Mary Cline-Stively, Janet George, Lisa Greenwald, Michelle Hoffman, Karen Huber, Karen King, Darci Ladwig, Angel Fettig, Anthony Gayle, Ryan Guzman, Evelyn Perez, LTC Daniel Tolson MD</p> <p>Members Absent: Rep. Tana Senn, Sugely Sanchez, Maryanne Barnes</p>			
Agenda Item	Motion/Second	Discussion/Final Motion	Vote Outcome (P/F)	Follow-up
<p>9:00 - 9:15 a.m.</p> <p>Welcome and Approvals Darci Ladwig (SSIC Chair)</p>	<p>October 2019 meeting minutes are approved</p>	<p>Rene Denman's term ended on January 6, 2020.</p> <p>The Chair called for approval of the agenda which was moved by consensus and approved by the council</p>	<p>Passed</p>	
<p>9:15 - 9:30 a.m.</p> <p>DCYF & ESIT Updates 9:30 - 10:29 a.m.</p> <p>Annual Performance Report (APR) Review: Indicators 1 to 4</p>		<p>Ice Breaker: Poll for snowfall</p> <p>Shane Kolle reviewed slides and goes over each indicator and he and Kim Hopkins answer questions accordingly.</p>		



Agenda Item	Motion/Second	Discussion/Final Motion	Vote Outcome (P/F)	Follow-up
(Shane Kolle, ESIT Data Analyst)		Unscheduled public comment at 10:21 a.m.		
10:29 – 10:35 a.m. Break				
10:35 – 11:14 a.m. (Shane Kolle Continues) Annual Performance Report (APR) Review: Indicators 5 to 10		Shane Kolle reviews slides and presented each indicator. Kim Hopkins (ESIT DMS Product Owner) and Shane Kolle responded to questions from members.		
11:14 - 11:16 a.m. Public Comment		No public comment		
11:16 – 11:50 a.m. Break				
11:58 – 12:11 p.m. Retreat planning		Information regarding retreat plans/venues was reviewed by Sue Rose and discussed by members.		
12:12 – 1:45 p.m. Drafted Funding Distribution Proposal (Finance Committee Chair Magan Cromar)	** See motion on page four**	Magan presented recommendations from the finance committee and read a draft motion. **See motion on page four**	Moved. Seconded, passed unanimously	



Agenda Item	Motion/Second	Discussion/Final Motion	Vote Outcome (P/F)	Follow-up
1:45 p.m. Public Comment (General)		Public comment was received		
1:45 p.m. Evaluation and Wrap Up				
1:46 p.m. Meeting adjourned				

DRAFT

Recommend not moving forward with the transfer and keeping the current system IF:

- We don't have assurance that rates will continue to increase in alignment with OSPI rates.
- There is any possibility of loss of apportionment by removing this from the protection of OSPI

Until we see the legislation and how DCYF plans to preserve and grow these dollars, we cannot fully support the funds transfer. In addition we need reconciliation of the budget differences between what's needed and what was in the governors budget.

With above conditions:

I make a motion for SICC support of the Finance Committee's recommendation to distribute the funds according to County rates based on the current weighted BEA x 1.15 for each county, DCYF use part of their 5% set aside for statewide support and training/ technical assistance to help offset impacts and support the transition to the new rate structures structure statewide, continuation of the 5% cap on withholding of pass-through funds as outlined in WAC 110-400-0140, and performance based contracting metrics or measures not be tied to fiduciary incentives or sanctions.

I