

Early Learning Advisory Council (ELAC)

Temporary Licensing Subcommittee Recommendation Tracker

June 6, 2023

Translation, Interpre	etation, and La	nguage Access			
Recommendation	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	DCYF Accepted? (yes/no/maybe)	<u>If Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislativ e Action Required? (RCW and/or Funding)	Implementation Timeline
There should be a process where providers who don't speak any of those specified languages can request translated information and documents in their preferred language.	ELAC	Yes	 (Update) For the process for providers to request translations, Licensing has created a one-page reference instruction manual in multiple languages. They will begin including it with any legal letters sent to providers. Also looking for additional ways to make the information accessible. (Update) Making improvements to the way that Licensing collects data for language access needs data. Working with partners on the Spanish and Somali translation needs, as well as Child Care Aware. They are looking to create a Glossary of Shared Terms they can all work from and be very intentional with the way they gather this data. (Update) New contract with translation services. Providers should start to see how this new contract the changes current workflow. 	Yes - funding	

	,				iteri, roomaanan		
				• DC' rep • Lice rev	or agrees this is an area for provement/partnership. DCYF has current aget request/decision package in with evernor's Office that can be found here. OF is also drafting a language access plan ort and can share it when final. Densing is awaiting budget decisions and iewing data to make plans for quality urance/improvement projects related to proving language access supports.		
2	The Licensing Division should engage providers as experts in creating a tool, in place of the current checklist, that can be translated and used as a trial run with incoming providers and providers who would like more	PS	Maybe	pla ind pro intrince Car Dep	odate) The following resource, while not in ce of the checklist, is also now available for ividuals and organizations interested in oviding licensed child care, to provide an roductory overview. The document is available english, Spanish, and Somali languages. Child re Licensing Overview Washington State partment of Children, Youth, and Families" The checklist is WAC language, so we cannot attempt anything "in place of the checklist" hout going through the process of changing acc. Initial checklists can be found on the DCYF te here.	Yes - funding	
	clarity on what is				wever, if the need is for additional resources t describe the monitoring process, then we		

				EDICEIN, 100111 & 17 (IVIII EI ES	
	expected during			have additional questions as to what kind of	
	monitoring visits.			document would be useful (e.g. one-pager?)	
			•	Licensing would like to explore the data needs for pro-active translation budgeting and discuss the challenge of finding and ensuring quality translations the Guidebook (recommendation below), as a potential solution to the need for more clarity for providers. This can be part of the DCYF language access planning (see #1 above).	
3	Providers should	Mayaha	•	See #2 above	
	be able to request	Maybe		to addition the Coldshoot to one had a side ad-	
	a hard copy of the		•	In addition, the Guidebook is regularly updated	
	Child Care and			online and this would need to be incorporated into any planning to provide printed copies.	
	Early Learning			Licensing does have concerns that because the	
	Licensing			Guidebook is updated routinely, the cost and	
	Guidebook in			need to send updated version would be ongoing	
	English, Spanish,			and/or we may end up with outdated versions	
	Somali, Arabic,			being used by Providers.	
	Chinese				
	(simplified), and				
	Russian, free of				
	charge for each				
	classroom in the				
	program and				
	administration.				

				inebiteri, rooma irtimeles	
	Transparency a	nd Trust			
	Recommendatio	Priority	Accepted	If Yes - How Legislative	<u>Implementation</u>
	<u>n</u>	Items for	(yes/no/maybe)	If No/Maybe - Why Action	<u>Timeline</u>
		ELAC or		Required?	
		PS Work		(RCW	
		<u>Plan</u>		and/or	
				Funding)	
4	Schedule			• (Update) Nicole Rose and Ruben Reeves have	Currently
	quarterly	PS	Yes	been collecting feedback from ELAC and PS to	implemented via
	meetings between			help inform stronger engagement with	consistent
	the Licensing			Licensing	engagement in ELAC and PS
	Division, including			(Update) Licensing is doing leadership	subcommittee
	leadership, and			restructuring. Hiring an area administrator for	Jabournine
	Washington State			King Co., creates a better regional	
	providers. These			representation and regional conversations.	
	meetings should				
	be an opportunity			Licensing has a representative at PS and is	
	for providers to			open to adding a standing agenda item for	
	inform DCYF on			Licensing if that is desired by the PS committee.	
	what they are			committee.	
	facing in the child			With new/changing leadership for the	
	care sector and			Licensing Division, exploring more	
	include a question			opportunities for listening and response is	
	and answer			desired. Regions are also exploring outreach	
	session with			and support sessions, subject to COVID	
	concrete follow-			restrictions and lingering impacts.	
	through on			Other statewide meetings with providers exist,	
				such as meetings that include Licensing and	
				SEIU or the CCCA.	

			• • • • •	EDICEIT, TOOTITICAT/MILETES	
	unanswered				
	questions.		•	Looking forward to continued partnership to	
				bolster the relationships between providers and Licensing.	
5	DCYF should create a call line	PS Maybe	•	Licensing has a legal responsibility to monitor and ensure the safety of children, and this	
	staffed by	Maybe		responsibility is paramount. Licensing staff are	
	licensors, or those			not currently available for this purpose, and there may be legal and safety risk from the	
	with licensing			anonymity of these calls, which would need to	
	knowledge, to		•	be explored.	
	answer providers' questions,			Licensing is curious what is the underlying need	
	including			or concern for providers to give these	
	anonymous			questions to their own assigned Licensor, and	
	questions. The call			can we address that issue with alternative solutions?	
	line staff should				
	not just direct providers to their				
	specific licensor,				
	but be able to				
	answer questions				
	consistently with				
	the licensing staff in the field.				
	in the field.				
6	Improve the		•	Licensing is open to partnering on how to	
	Licensing Division	PS Maybe		improve communications with providers, while also complying with current requirements and	
	CPS Investigation process by			standards for investigations of abuse and	
	creating as much			neglect.	
	5				

		,	
	transparency as	In summary, sufficient screening criteria do	
	possible. Identify a	exist for all CPS, (Child Welfare and Licensing	
	standard for	Division), and these criteria must be met in	
	allegations, so	order for an intake to screen into the	
	that providers are	Department for investigation. One of the	
	not being	criteria is that there is an allegation that	
	investigated	"minimally meets the WAC definition of CA/N or it is alleged a child's circumstances place	
	without merit.	them at imminent risk of serious harm." WAC	
	Accusations are	110-30-0030. These allegations are	
	put into writing	documented in writing in the intake taken by	
	and DCYF provides	the Intake unit. They are available to the	
		provider as a part of disclosure at the	
	a redacted copy of	completion of the investigation.	
	allegations to		
	providers.		
7	The Provider	Licensing Division CPS does not have the	
	Supports No	authority to change the outline of the	
	Subcommittee of	investigation process with the Provider	
	ELAC should be a	Supports Subcommittee.	
	partner in creating		
	the outline of the	CPS (both Child Welfare and Licensing Division)	
	Licensing Division	follows policy and procedure dictated by RCW,	
	CPS Investigation	WAC, and changes due to legal outcomes.	
		Licensing is open to partnering with the Provider Supports Subcommittee on improving	
	process.	communications and support for Providers	
		during investigation processes.	
8	Providers should	DCYF is working with our community	
	get a report of Yes	engagement office and across units within	Currently
	what feedback	program teams to provide feedback loops	implemented via
	influenced policy	often, such as this one.	this tracker and
			consistent

	,			,	ı	,
	decisions, funding requests, and programmatic decisions so that they can see how their hard work is affecting DCYF policy.		•	We are committed to providing more information to our advisories on feedback that we are able to act upon, or the reason why we haven't yet or can't act upon other guidance or suggestions.		engagement in ELAC and PS subcommittee
9	Offer a survey so that providers can review the licensor after the licensing visit.	Yes	•	Licensing agrees but will need resources or time to devote staff capacity to this.	Maybe	
10	DCYF needs to share more information about the Inter-Rater Reliability (IRR) training tool for licensors. IRR should be clearly communicated to providers as optional, and DCYF sends a survey to providers to evaluate IRR visits.	Yes and No	•	When IRR was a pilot, we did ask for volunteers from the provider community and communicated it as optional. IRR is no longer a pilot and is now being moved into practice, and the IRR visits are now being done at the provider's regular monitor visit, which is not optional. See #9 above regarding the survey.		

Ove	erregulation						
	Recommendation	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work	Accepted (yes/no/maybe)		<u>If Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislative Action Required?	Implementation Timeline
		Plan					
11	Licensors should be trained in expectations from other agencies and able to provide information in writing so that they can be a resource to providers who have questions.		No	•	DCYF does not have the regulatory authority for the requirements or expectations of other agencies, and must refer providers to the entities and agents with the appropriate scope of authority.		
12	Build in a growth period for incoming providers who wish to be licensed, with gradual requirements.		Maybe	•	The Initial License period(s) is considered by Licensing as a growth period, where the requirements are all still regulated to and that providers are becoming proficient at meeting and maintaining those rules. "First time forgiveness" is a process by which that growth period is honored and the first incident of noncompliance to WAC is not noted in the reports on child care check, so that		

				,		
13	Establish clearly defined timeline goals. Assign a licensor as a technical support representative and a current provider as a mentor.		•	information remains private to the provider and Licensing. Open to partnering on messaging or if this process does not meet an underlying need for this recommendation. Please see #12 above. Additionally, Licensors provide technical assistance as part of the initial licensing and ongoing monitoring practices.		
14	Create a stipend program for mentor organizations to assist new providers. Basic health and safety needs should be in place upon opening a new center (First Aid/CPR, Food Handler Card, background checks).	Maybe	•	DCYF has limited funding and capacity to contract for mentor organizations to assist new providers, currently that is limited to family home providers only, based on funding stream. It appears this suggestion would like a 'one-stop' approach to the health and safety requirements for center staff. DCYF has a unique opportunity through our PDG grant to explore some of these possibilities, watch for more to come on informing this approach.	Yes for funding.	

_		
15	Professional development and education should be a very gradual requirement unless concerns are raised.	This is in licensing WAC – they have a progressive timeline. WAC 110-300-0100.
16	Eliminate unnecessary training and education requirements that may not be necessary to successfully perform the job and do not align with staff compensation. DCYF should only require minimum safety standards, and identify other avenues for recognizing and incentivizing providers who go above and beyond.	 Individuals who complete education that goes beyond their staff qualifications may qualify for an education award. Early Achievers provides higher tiered reimbursement rates and access to Quality Improvement awards to eligible sites that go above and beyond the foundational quality standards of licensing and earn a quality level 3 or higher. Professional development standards in Early Achievers offer sites the opportunity to earn points toward a higher quality level.

	I			
17	DCYF should			
	eliminate	ELAC		
	mandates that do			
	not come with			
	funding for			
	providers. The			
	costs for unfunded			
	mandates are			
	passed on to			
	families or			
	absorbed by			
	providers through			
	low wages and few,			
	if any, benefits.			
4.5	B			
18	Required trainings		No	Most required trainings qualify for in- service hours (STARS hours), such as funding re:
	should qualify for		INU	service hours (STARS hours), such as funding re: Child Care Basics or EQEL for example. more
	STARS hours and			substitutes
	DCYF should create			The ones that do not are ones that are
	a stipend system			considered health and safety
	for substitutes who			requirements that are outside of DCYF
	fill in for staff			oversight, but required for health and
	attending training.			safety requirements (ex: CPR, First Aid, Food Safety).
				Aiu, i oou saletyj.
				Here is information about the
				substitute pool
				https://www.dcyf.wa.gov/services/ear
				<u>lylearning-profdev/substitute-pool</u>
19	Notify providers		.	During the Temporary Licensing
	that a licensing visit	<mark>PS</mark>	No	Subcommittee of ELAC in 2022, DCYF

		-		DICEIN, TOOTH & TANNELE	
20	will take place within 30-60 days. Separate violations that are against an individual (employee) from true violations or			reached out to the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) to see if this was allowable under Child Care Development Fund (CCDF) regulations, however the response from the Federal Government is that this is not allowed.	
	complaints against				
	the facility.				
21	Violations directly related to a staff person should follow that staff and be viewable by other potential employers.	Yes	•	If a violation by a staff person impacts their background check, they would not be allowed to work in other facilities.	This is already in practice
22	Eliminate the emergency WAC around reporting openings.	Completed	•	This has been done. Providers can still indicate their openings but it is no longer required. It is a tool they can use to help parents looking for care to know they have openings and for what age groups.	Implemented
23	Ensure staff		•	(<mark>Update</mark>) DCYF confirmed with Reg. 10	
	members' full			(Feds) and received confirmation that	
	names are not				

listed in Child Care	the full name is not required in the
Check to protect	notes that end up on Child Care Check.
employee privacy.	(Update) Licensing is reviewing the current practice to make adjustments to this workflow.

	Compensation and Provider Supports								
	<u>Recommendation</u>	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	Accepted (yes/no/maybe)		<u>If Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislative Action Required?	Implementation Timeline		
24	Use Quality Improvement funds to support the Early Achievers Review Process and develop a different avenue to demonstrate quality child care to receive subsidy, not Early Achievers as the only option.	PS	No	•	Needs Based Grants and the 2% tiered reimbursement while participating in Level 2 are meant as resources to support providers in readying for rating. State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135	Yes – QI Awards and Early Achievers are <u>in law</u> .			
25	Look at all of the Early Achievers requirements with an equity lens.	ELAC		•	The Early Achievers revision process brought the latest in-depth review of Early Achievers with an equity lens and re-designed the way providers interact with Early Achievers quality rating cycle. This includes a provider-	More improveme nts would require funding			

					DILLIA, IOOTTI ATAMILIL		
					led approach to sharing about their early care and education environment, as well as opportunities to build quality over time. DCYF has incorporated the use of the Racial Equity and Social Justice framework over the years and used that in the revisions process as well.		
26	To incentivize all providers to accept WCCC subsidies, DCYF should increase subsidy payments to 100% now and identify a goal for family participation.	PS		•	Funding for rates is determined by the legislature. The legislature currently caps rates at the 85 th percentile. The 85 th percentile means that 85% of all child care slots have a private rate less than or equal to the subsidy rate.	Yes	DCYF is in process of transitioning rates from a market rate survey to a cost of quality care model. DCYF expects to provide a recommendation to legislature in the 2025 session.
27	To meet the FSKA goal of increasing provider participation in WCCC subsidies, we recommend eliminating participation in Early Achievers as the requirement to serve children on WCCC subsidies.	ELAC	No	•	State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135	Yes. Early Achievers participatio n and rating requirement s are in law.	

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
28	We recommend	ELAC		•	State law requires sites to participate	Yes. Early	
	making Early				in Early Achievers in order to be	Achievers	
	Achievers voluntary.				eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW	participatio	
	In addition, WCCC				<u>43.216.135</u>	n and rating	
	rate increases for					requirement	
	those who achieve			•	There is no mandate for a rate	s are in law.	
	Early Achievers				increase at 3+, only the creation of	Van Eurolina	
	•				that level. RCW 43.216.085 (4) (a)-(b)	Yes. Funding needed if	
	ratings of 3-5 should			•	Tiered Reimbursement is in law RCW	change in	
	remain, and fund the				<u>43.216.135</u> for Levels 3, 4, and 5.	tiered	
	rate increase for level				Family Hama Child Court Timed	reimbursem	
	3.5, as already			•	Family Home Child Care Tiered Reimbursement rates are negotiated	ent rates for	
	mandated.				by SEIU 925. FCC providers do receive	level 3+.	
					a higher tiered reimbursement rate at		
					3+		
29	DCYF also needs to			•	DCYF is in process of examining the	Legislative	DCYF expects to provide a
	make funding more	ELAC	In Process		rate regions as required under RCW	action is	recommendation to
	equitable between				43.216.749	needed to	legislature in the 2025
	regions, as identified					fund the	session.
	in the Cost of Quality					cost of	
	•					quality care	
	Care study					rate model	
	commissioned by the					once	
	Legislature through					submitted.	
	the Child Care						
	Collaborative Task						
	Force.						
30	MERIT needs to be			•	We have a new training site coming.		
	fixed to better				DCYF will continue to share	Yes	DCYF's new training site
	support providers.				information in the Workforce Growth,		will launch in 2023
					Quality, and Recognition Team		

				(former Professional Development Team) newsletter. We are also hosting webinars to share tips on how to access and navigate the new site. You can find more information here.		
Increase supports for providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed.		Yes	•	DCYF is also interested in providing visibility to the services that are available for providers, and working to get providers support as they become licensed.	Yes	
			•	DCYF has some supports in place through both DCYF internal licensing division and some limited contracted capacity.		
requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that may have a financial	PS .		•	More partnership requested		
	providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed. Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that	providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed. Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that may have a financial	providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed. Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that may have a financial	providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed. Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that may have a financial	Team) newsletter. We are also hosting webinars to share tips on how to access and navigate the new site. You can find more information here. Increase supports for providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed. PS Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that may have a financial	Team) newsletter. We are also hosting webinars to share tips on how to access and navigate the new site. You can find more information here. Increase supports for providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed. Per available for providers, and working to get providers, and working to get providers support as they become licensed. DCYF has some supports in place through both DCYF internal licensing division and some limited contracted capacity. Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation be adopted, we further recommend that any new licensing rules that may have a financial

	<u> </u>		11-2 11-11, 1 0 0 111 0 17 11 111-11-1		
	supported with funding from DCYF.				
33	Develop a new team within DCYF solely focused on unlicensed care, which would include imposing fines/fees for the operation of unlicensed care facilities, notification and education to families (unlicensed care campaigns), scouting unlicensed care, etc.				
34	Require all care of children, regardless of hours provided, in Washington State to be licensed and follow the same rules and regulations of DCYF. • If exemptions are allowed, a registry of license-exempt	No	• State law details which child care and early learning programs are required to be licensed by DCYF. RCW 43.216.365 states that any "agency" operating without a license is guilty of a misdemeanor. RCW 43.216.010(1) broadly defines "agency" to mean "any person, firm, partnership, association, corporation, or facility that provides child care and early learning services outside a child's own home." However, there are several exemptions to this broad requirement. RCW 43.216.010(2) lists	Yes – Legislative action required	

			,	
	facilities and		13 types of care that are not required	
	providers needs		to be licensed by DCYF. Accordingly,	
	to be created,		programs that fall under these exempt	
	including an		categories can provide child care and	
	application		early learning services without a DCYF license. State law would need to	
	process,		change to accomplish the workgroup's	
	Mandated		recommendation that all children,	
	Reporter		regardless of hours provided, in	
	training,		Washington state be licensed and	
	CPR/First Aid		follow the same rules and regulations	
	training, and		of DCYF.	
	background			
	checks. The			
	registry should			
	be updated and			
	maintained			
	regularly and			
	made publicly			
	available.			
25	A.D D			
35	A Provider Rights and	Mayba	As this specifically requests an outside	
	Resources document	Maybe	organization, uncertain which DCYF division would lead, if at all.	
	should be created by		division would lead, if at all.	
	an outside			
	organization utilizing			
	the Liberatory Design			
	process in			
	partnership with			
	DCYF, Provider			
	Supports, ELAC,			

			\sim		,	
36	WCCA, represented and non-represented family home providers, FFN, WCFC, etc. A status update on the Internal Review		Yes	•	(<mark>Update</mark>) Licensing will provide a Community Review Panel update at	Timeline of available
	Panel process, for which some providers applied, and were selected to join, but have yet to be contacted, should be provided to ELAC, who we recommend determine accountability measures. Quarterly updates should be provided to all providers and ELAC.			•	A subcommittee of members of the DCYF Oversight Board reviewed and selected a number of providers for the IRP roster in March of 2021. As of now, there have not been any cases that have elevated to the request of an IRP review. DCYF is in the process of organizing the panel to prepare for future cases, and will provide updates on panel activity as it becomes available. DCYF requests further clarification on ELAC's request to determine accountability measures.	updates will be determined based on cases reviewed by the panel.
37	The Rule Making Process should have a step-by-step guide so that providers are made aware of the process, including how to appeal a	PS	Yes	•	As part of the ongoing integration of DCYF and a recent restructure, a Rules and Policy Integration and Improvements Project (PRIIP) workgroup. This work is ongoing, and the feedback from the Temporary Licensing Subcommittee has been shared and	Implementation of the finalized recommendations is expected to begin in Q1 of 2024.

 ·		,	•
decision or submit a		incorporated into the draft	
petition. Recognizing		recommendations. Monthly meetings	
the urgency, the		between the RPU and Community	
step-by-step guide		Engagement team have been	
should be available		scheduled for ongoing collaboration	
		and updates.	
by March 31, 2023.			
	•	In the meantime, the following	
		resources are available on the	
		rulemaking process:	
	•	To adopt rules (also known as	
		Washington Administrative Code,	
		regulations, or WAC) all Washington	
		state agencies must follow steps that	
		are described in the Administrative	
		Procedure Act. DCYF rules may be	
		found in <u>Title 110 WAC.</u>	
	•	Information on Petition and appeal	
		can be <u>found here.</u>	
	•	Subscribe to the rule-making	
		newsletter on the DCYF website here	
	•	A draft info sheet on the rulemaking	
		process can be <u>found here</u> . Please	
		note that this is a draft document, and	
		a final document will be available once	
		the work of the PRIIP workgroup	
		concludes.	