

#### **Provider Supports Subcommittee of ELAC**

#### **Temporary Licensing Subcommittee Recommendation Tracker**

Oct. 11, 2023

Translation	Translation, Interpretation, and Language Access									
Recommer	ndation	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	DCYF Accepted? (yes/no/maybe)	<u>If Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislative Action Required? (RCW and/or Funding)	Implementation Timeline				
There should process who providers we don't speak those specifications are quest traininformation documents preferred language.	ere who any of fied an anslated and	ELAC	Yes	<ul> <li>(Update) For the process for providers to request translations, Licensing has created a one-page reference instruction manual in multiple languages. They will begin including it with any legal letters sent to providers. Also looking for additional ways to make the information accessible.</li> <li>(Update) Making improvements to the way that Licensing collects data for language access needs data. Working with partners on the Spanish and Somali translation needs, as well as Child Care Aware. They are looking to create a Glossary of Shared Terms they can all work from and be very intentional with the way they gather this data.</li> </ul>	Yes - funding					

			ernebiteri, roomaritarii
			<ul> <li>(Update) New contract with translation services. Providers should start to see how this new contract the changes current workflow.</li> <li>DCYF agrees this is an area for improvement/partnership. DCYF has current budget request/decision package in with Governor's Office that can be found here.</li> <li>DCYF is also drafting a language access plan report and can share it when final.</li> <li>Licensing is awaiting budget decisions and reviewing data to make plans for quality assurance/improvement projects related to improving language access supports.</li> </ul>
2	The Licensing Division should engage providers as experts in creating a tool, in place of the current checklist, that can be translated and used as a trial run with incoming providers and providers who would like more	Maybe	<ul> <li>(Update) The following resource, while not in place of the checklist, is also now available for individuals and organizations interested in providing licensed child care, to provide an introductory overview. The document is available in English, Spanish, and Somali languages. Child Care Licensing Overview   Washington State Department of Children, Youth, and Families"</li> <li>The checklist is WAC language, so we cannot create anything "in place of the checklist" without going through the process of changing WAC. Initial checklists can be found on the DCYF page here.</li> </ul>

				LDIALIT, 100111 & 17 MILLES	
	clarity on what is				
	expected during		•	However, if the need is for additional resources	
	monitoring visits.			that describe the monitoring process, then we	
				have additional questions as to what kind of	
				document would be useful (e.g. one-pager?)	
			•	Licensing would like to explore the data needs	
				for pro-active translation budgeting and discuss	
				the challenge of finding and ensuring quality	
				translations the Guidebook (recommendation	
				below), as a potential solution to the need for	
				more clarity for providers. This can be part of	
				the DCYF language access planning (see #1	
<u>_</u>	Duna dalama ah a dala			above).	
3	Providers should	Mayba	•	See #2 above	
	be able to request	Maybe		In addition, the Cuidebook is regularly undated	
	a hard copy of the		•	In addition, the Guidebook is regularly updated online and this would need to be incorporated	
	Child Care and			into any planning to provide printed copies.	
	Early Learning			Licensing does have concerns that because the	
	Licensing			Guidebook is updated routinely, the cost and	
	Guidebook in			need to send updated version would be	
	English, Spanish,			ongoing and/or we may end up with outdated	
	Somali, Arabic,			versions being used by Providers.	
	Chinese				
	(simplified), and				
	Russian, free of				
	charge for each				
	classroom in the				
	program and				
	administration.				

Rece	ommendatio	Priority	Accepted		If Yes - How	Legislative	Implementation
1.00	<u>n</u>	Items for	(yes/no/maybe)		If No/Maybe - Why	Action	Timeline
	_	ELAC or				Required?	
		PS Work				(RCW	
		<u>Plan</u>				and/or Funding)	
1 Sche	dule			•	( <mark>Update</mark> ) Nicole Rose and Ruben Reeves have		Currently
quar	terly	<mark>PS</mark>	Yes		been collecting feedback from ELAC and PS to		implemented via
	tings between				help inform stronger engagement with		consistent engagement in
the L	icensing				Licensing.		ELAC and PS
Divis	sion, including			•	(Update) Licensing is doing leadership		subcommittee
	ership, and				restructuring. Hiring an area administrator for		
	hington State				King Co., creates a better regional		
prov	iders. These				representation and regional conversations.		
mee	tings should						
be a	n opportunity			•	Licensing has a representative at PS and is		
for p	roviders to				open to adding a standing agenda item for Licensing if that is desired by the PS		
infor	m DCYF on				committee.		
what	t they are						
facin	g in the child			•	With new/changing leadership for the		
care	sector and				Licensing Division, exploring more		
inclu	ide a question				opportunities for listening and response is		
and a	answer				desired. Regions are also exploring outreach		
sessi	on with				and support sessions, subject to COVID restrictions and lingering impacts.		
conc	rete follow-				restrictions and imgering impacts.		
thro	ugh on			•	Other statewide meetings with providers exist,		
					such as meetings that include Licensing and		
					SEIU or the CCCA.		

			• • • • •	EDICEIT, TOOTITICAT/MILETES	
	unanswered				
	questions.		•	Looking forward to continued partnership to	
				bolster the relationships between providers and Licensing.	
5	DCYF should create a call line	PS Maybe	•	Licensing has a legal responsibility to monitor and ensure the safety of children, and this responsibility is paramount. Licensing staff are	
	staffed by	Maybe			
	licensors, or those			not currently available for this purpose, and	
	with licensing			there may be legal and safety risk from the anonymity of these calls, which would need to be explored.	
	knowledge, to				
	answer providers' questions,			Licensing is curious what is the underlying need	
	including			or concern for providers to give these questions to their own assigned Licensor, and can we address that issue with alternative	
	anonymous				
	questions. The call			solutions?	
	line staff should				
	not just direct providers to their				
	specific licensor,				
	but be able to				
	answer questions				
	consistently with				
	the licensing staff in the field.				
	in the field.				
6	Improve the		•	Licensing is open to partnering on how to	
	Licensing Division	PS Maybe		improve communications with providers, while also complying with current requirements and	
	CPS Investigation process by			standards for investigations of abuse and	
	creating as much			neglect.	
	5				

_				/		
	transparency as		•	(Update) In summary, sufficient screening		
	possible. Identify a			criteria do exist for all CPS, (Child Welfare and		
	standard for			Licensing Division), and these criteria must be		
	allegations, so			met in order for an intake to screen into the		
	that providers are			Department for investigation. One of the		
	not being			criteria is that there is an allegation that		
				"minimally meets the WAC definition of CA/N		
	investigated			or it is alleged a child's circumstances place		
	without merit.			them at imminent risk of serious harm." <u>WAC</u>		
	Accusations are			110-30-0030. These allegations are		
	put into writing			documented in writing in the intake taken by		
	and DCYF provides			the Intake unit. They are available to the provider as a part of disclosure at the		
	a redacted copy of			completion of the investigation.		
	allegations to			Applicable WACs   WAC 110-30 and RCW 26.44		
	providers.			Applicable WACS   WAC 110-30 and NCW 20.44		
	pro state of					
7	The Provider		•	Licensing Division CPS does not have the		
	Supports	No		authority to change the outline of the		
	Subcommittee of			investigation process with the Provider		
	ELAC should be a			Supports Subcommittee.		
	partner in creating					
	1.		•	CPS (both Child Welfare and Licensing Division)		
	the outline of the			follows policy and procedures dictated by		
	Licensing Division			RCW, WAC, and changes due to legal		
	CPS Investigation			outcomes.		
	process.					
			•	Licensing is open to partnering with the		
				Provider Supports Subcommittee on improving		
				communications and support for Providers		
				during investigation processes.		
8	Providers should		•	DCYF is working with our community		
	get a report of	Yes		engagement office and across units within		Currently
	what feedback					implemented via
	•	•			•	

	influenced policy decisions, funding requests, and programmatic decisions so that they can see how their hard work is affecting DCYF policy.		•	program teams to provide feedback loops often, such as this one.  We are committed to providing more information to our advisories on feedback that we are able to act upon, or the reason why we haven't yet or can't act upon other guidance or suggestions.		this tracker and consistent engagement in ELAC and PS subcommittee
9	Offer a survey so that providers can review the licensor after the licensing visit.	Yes		Licensing agrees but will need resources or time to devote staff capacity to this.	Maybe	
10	DCYF needs to share more information about the Inter-Rater Reliability (IRR) training tool for licensors. IRR should be clearly communicated to providers as optional, and DCYF sends a survey to	Yes and No		When IRR was a pilot, we did ask for volunteers from the provider community and communicated it as optional. IRR is no longer a pilot and is now being moved into practice, and the IRR visits are now being done at the provider's regular monitor visit, which is not optional.  See #9 above regarding the survey.		



providers to			
evaluate IRR visits.			

Ove	Overregulation										
	Recommendation	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	Accepted (yes/no/maybe)		If Yes - How If No/Maybe - Why	Legislative Action Required?	Implementation Timeline				
11	Licensors should be trained in expectations from other agencies and able to provide information in writing so that they can be a resource to providers who have questions.		No	•	DCYF does not have the regulatory authority for the requirements or expectations of other agencies, and must refer providers to the entities and agents with the appropriate scope of authority.						
12	Build in a growth period for incoming providers who wish to be licensed, with		Maybe	•	The Initial License period(s) is considered by Licensing as a growth period, where the requirements are all still regulated to and that providers are becoming proficient at meeting and maintaining those rules. "First time forgiveness" is a process by which that growth period is honored						

				,		
	gradual			and the first incident of non-		
	requirements.			compliance to WAC is not noted in the		
	·			reports on child care check, so that		
				information remains private to the		
				provider and Licensing. Open to		
				partnering on messaging or if this		
				process does not meet an underlying		
				need for this recommendation.		
13	Establish clearly		•	Please see #12 above.		
	defined timeline					
	goals. Assign a		•	Additionally, Licensors provide		
	licensor as a			technical assistance as part of the		
	technical support			initial licensing and ongoing		
	representative and			monitoring practices.		
	a current provider					
	as a mentor.					
	as a memor.					
14	Create a stipend		•	DCYF has limited funding and capacity		
	program for	Maybe		to contract for mentor organizations	Yes for	
	mentor			to assist new providers, currently that	funding.	
	organizations to			is limited to family home providers		
	assist new			only, based on funding stream.		
	providers. Basic		•	It appears this suggestion would like a		
	health and safety			'one-stop' approach to the health and		
	needs should be in			safety requirements for center staff.		
	place upon			DCYF has a unique opportunity		
	opening a new			through our PDG grant to explore		
	center (First			some of these possibilities, watch for		
	Aid/CPR, Food			more to come on informing this		
	Handler Card,			approach.		
	/					

background checks).  15 Professional developme education so be a very granger requirement concerns are	I nt and hould radual of unless	•	This is in licensing WAC – they have a progressive timeline. WAC 110-300-0100.	
raised.  16 Eliminate unnecessar training and education requiremer may not be necessary to successfully perform the and do not with staff compensat DCYF shoul require mires afety standard identification and identification recognizing incentivizing	distincts that  points that  points job align  on. di only imum dards, y other and		Individuals who complete education that goes beyond their staff qualifications may qualify for an education award.  Early Achievers provides higher tiered reimbursement rates and access to Quality Improvement awards to eligible sites that go above and beyond the foundational quality standards of licensing and earn a quality level 3 or higher.  Professional development standards in Early Achievers offer sites the opportunity to earn points toward a higher quality level.	

				,		
	providers who go					
	above and beyond.					
17	DCYF should eliminate mandates that do not come with funding for providers. The costs for unfunded mandates are passed on to families or absorbed by providers through low wages and few, if any, benefits.	ELAC				
18	Required trainings should qualify for STARS hours and DCYF should create a stipend system for substitutes who fill in for staff attending training.		No	<ul> <li>Most required trainings qualify for inservice hours (STARS hours), such as Child Care Basics or EQEL for example.</li> <li>The ones that do not are ones that are considered health and safety requirements that are outside of DCYF oversight, but required for health and safety requirements (ex: CPR, First Aid, Food Safety).</li> <li>Here is information about the substitute pool</li> </ul>	Yes for funding re: more substitutes	

					,	
					https://www.dcyf.wa.gov/services/ear	
					<u>lylearning-profdev/substitute-pool</u>	
19	Notify providers			•	During the Temporary Licensing	
	that a licensing visit	<mark>PS</mark>	No		Subcommittee of ELAC in 2022, DCYF	
	will take place				reached out to the Administration for	
	within 30-60 days.				Children and Families (ACF) to see if	
	,				this was allowable under Child Care	
					Development Fund (CCDF) regulations,	
					however the response from the	
					Federal Government is that this is not	
					allowed.	
20	Separate violations					
	that are against an					
	individual					
	(employee) from					
	true violations or					
	complaints against					
	the facility.					
21	Violations directly			•	If a violation by a staff person impacts	
	related to a staff		Yes		their background check, they would	This is already in practice
	person should				not be allowed to work in other	
	follow that staff				facilities.	
	and be viewable by					
	1					
	other potential					
	employers.					
22	Eliminate the			•	This has been done. Providers can still	
	emergency WAC		Completed		indicate their openings but it is no	Implemented
	,		1		longer required. It is a tool they can	r
	around reporting				use to help parents looking for care to	
	openings.				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

		know they have openings and for what age groups.
23	Ensure staff members' full names are not listed in Child Care	(Update) DCYF confirmed with Reg. 10     (Feds) and received confirmation that the full name is not required in the notes that end up on Child Care Check.
	Check to protect employee privacy.	(Update) Licensing is reviewing the current practice to make adjustments to this workflow.

	Compensation and Provider Supports								
	<u>Recommendation</u>	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	Accepted (yes/no/maybe)		<u>If Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislative Action Required?	Implementation Timeline		
24	Use Quality Improvement funds to support the Early Achievers Review Process and develop a different avenue to demonstrate quality child care to receive subsidy, not Early Achievers as the only option.	PS	No	•	Needs Based Grants and the 2% tiered reimbursement while participating in Level 2 are meant as resources to support providers in readying for rating.  State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135	Yes – QI Awards and Early Achievers are <u>in law</u> .			

			$\sim$		,		
25	Look at all of the Early Achievers requirements with an equity lens.	ELAC		•	The Early Achievers revision process brought the latest in-depth review of Early Achievers with an equity lens and re-designed the way providers interact with Early Achievers quality rating cycle. This includes a provider-led approach to sharing about their early care and education environment, as well as opportunities to build quality over time. DCYF has incorporated the use of the Racial Equity and Social Justice framework over the years and used that in the revisions process as well.	More improveme nts would require funding	
26	To incentivize all providers to accept WCCC subsidies, DCYF should increase subsidy payments to 100% now and identify a goal for family participation.	PS		•	Funding for rates is determined by the legislature. The legislature currently caps rates at the 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile. The 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile means that 85% of all child care slots have a private rate less than or equal to the subsidy rate.	Yes	DCYF is in process of transitioning rates from a market rate survey to a cost of quality care model. DCYF expects to provide a recommendation to legislature in the 2025 session.
27	To meet the FSKA goal of increasing provider participation in WCCC subsidies, we recommend eliminating participation in Early	ELAC	No	•	State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135	Yes. Early Achievers participatio n and rating requirement s are in law.	

					,		
28	Achievers as the requirement to serve children on WCCC subsidies.  We recommend making Early Achievers voluntary. In addition, WCCC rate increases for those who achieve Early Achievers ratings of 3-5 should	ELAC		•	State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135  There is no mandate for a rate increase at 3+, only the creation of that level. RCW 43.216.085 (4) (a)-(b) Tiered Reimbursement is in law RCW	Yes. Early Achievers participatio n and rating requirement s are in law.  Yes. Funding needed if	
29	ratings of 3-5 should remain, and fund the rate increase for level 3.5, as already mandated.  DCYF also needs to			•	Tiered Reimbursement is in law RCW 43.216.135 for Levels 3, 4, and 5.  Family Home Child Care Tiered Reimbursement rates are negotiated by SEIU 925. FCC providers do receive a higher tiered reimbursement rate at 3+  DCYF is in process of examining the	needed if change in tiered reimbursem ent rates for level 3+.	DCYF expects to provide a
29	make funding more equitable between regions, as identified in the Cost of Quality Care study commissioned by the Legislature through the Child Care	ELAC	In Process	•	rate regions as required under RCW 43.216.749	action is needed to fund the cost of quality care rate model once submitted.	recommendation to legislature in the 2025 session.

	Collaborative Task Force.				
30	MERIT needs to be fixed to better support providers.			We have a new training site coming.     DCYF will continue to share     information in the Workforce Growth,     Quality, and Recognition Team     (former Professional Development     Team) newsletter. We are also     hosting webinars to share tips on how     to access and navigate the new site.     You can find more information here.	s site
31	Increase supports for providers to allow more guidance and accessibility to become licensed.		Yes	<ul> <li>DCYF is also interested in providing visibility to the services that are available for providers, and working to get providers support as they become licensed.</li> <li>DCYF has some supports in place through both DCYF internal licensing division and some limited contracted</li> </ul>	
32	Make licensing requirements more achievable by focusing only on the health and safety of children and fund any additional requirements. Should this recommendation	PS		capacity.  • More partnership requested	

					,		
	be adopted, we						
	further recommend						
	that any new						
	licensing rules that						
	may have a financial						
	impact should also be						
	supported with						
	funding from DCYF.						
33	Develop a new team						
	within DCYF solely						
	focused on						
	unlicensed care,						
	which would include						
	imposing fines/fees						
	for the operation of						
	unlicensed care						
	facilities, notification						
	and education to						
	families (unlicensed						
	care campaigns),						
	scouting unlicensed						
	care, etc.						
2.4	Day in all and f				6		
34	Require all care of children, regardless		No	•	State law details which child care and	Yes –	
		2	INU		early learning programs are required to be licensed by DCYF. RCW	Legislative	
	of hours provided, in				43.216.365 states that any "agency"	action	
	Washington State to				operating without a license is guilty of	required	
	be licensed and				a misdemeanor. <u>RCW 43.216.010(1)</u>		
	follow the same rules				broadly defines "agency" to mean		

			,
	and regulations of		"any person, firm, partnership,
	DCYF.		association, corporation, or facility
			that provides child care and early
	If exemptions are		learning services outside a child's own
	allowed, a		home." However, there are several
	registry of		exemptions to this broad
	license-exempt		requirement. RCW 43.216.010(2) lists
	facilities and		13 types of care that are not required to be licensed by DCYF. Accordingly,
	providers needs		programs that fall under these exempt
	to be created,		categories can provide child care and
	including an		early learning services without a DCYF
	application		license. State law would need to
	process,		change to accomplish the workgroup's
	Mandated		recommendation that all children,
			regardless of hours provided, in
	Reporter		Washington state be licensed and
	training,		follow the same rules and regulations
	CPR/First Aid		of DCYF.
	training, and		
	background		
	checks. The		
	registry should		
	be updated and		
	maintained		
	regularly and		
	made publicly		
	available.		
35	A Provider Rights and		As this specifically requests an outside
	Resources document	Maybe	organization, uncertain which DCYF
	should be created by		division would lead, if at all.

				,	
36	an outside organization utilizing the Liberatory Design process in partnership with DCYF, Provider Supports, ELAC, WCCA, represented and non-represented family home providers, FFN, WCFC, etc.  A status update on the Internal Review Panel process, for which some providers applied, and were selected to join, but have yet to be contacted, should	Yes	•	(Update) Licensing will provide a Community Review Panel update at the Aug. 9 meeting.  A subcommittee of members of the DCYF Oversight Board reviewed and selected a number of providers for the IRP roster in March of 2021. As of now, there have not been any cases	Timeline of available updates will be determined based on cases reviewed by the panel.
	and were selected to			selected a number of providers for the	



	T T			,	
37	The Rule Making		•	As part of the ongoing integration of	
	Process should have PS	Yes		DCYF and a recent restructure, a Rules	Implementation of the
	a step-by-step guide			and Policy Integration and	finalized recommendations
	so that providers are			Improvements Project (PRIIP)	is expected to begin in Q1
	made aware of the			workgroup.	of 2024.
	process, including		•	This work is ongoing, and the feedback	
	how to appeal a			from the Temporary Licensing	
	decision or submit a			Subcommittee has been shared and	
				incorporated into the draft recommendations. Monthly meetings	
	petition. Recognizing			between the RPU and Community	
	the urgency, the			Engagement team have been	
	step-by-step guide			scheduled for ongoing collaboration	
	should be available			and updates.	
	by March 31, 2023.				
			•	In the meantime, the following	
				resources are available on the	
				rulemaking process:	
			•	To adopt rules (also known as	
				Washington Administrative Code,	
				regulations, or WAC) all Washington	
				state agencies must follow steps that	
				are described in the <u>Administrative</u>	
				Procedure Act. DCYF rules may be	
				found in <u>Title 110 WAC.</u>	
			•	Information on Petition and appeal	
				can be <u>found here.</u>	
			•	Subscribe to the rule-making newsletter on the DCYF website here	
				A draft info sheet on the rulemaking	
			•	process can be <u>found here</u> . Please	
				note that this is a draft document, and	
				a final document will be available once	
<u></u>				a miai document will be available office	

	Washington CHILDREN,	YOUTH &	<b>FAMILIES</b>
0.0	Washington	State Depa	rtment of

			the work of the PRIIP workgroup					
			concludes.					